

City of Progreso
Professional Engineering Services
Street Improvements Project
Years 25, 26 & 27 (2012, 2013 & 2014)
Grading-Ranking Grid

Criteria	Fulcrum Consulting Services			Javier Hinojosa Engineering			Quintanilla, Headley and Associates, Inc.		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Project Team	20	20	20	10	18	16	20	15	20
Experience of Project Team/ Ability to Commit Resources	25	25	19	25	25	21	10	22	23
Methodology/ Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	20	20	14	20	20	18	20	20	18
Understanding of Project/Similar Projects	25	19	25	25	22	25	25	19	25
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	3	10	10	3	10	10	3	10
TOTALS	100	87	88	90	88	90	85	79	96
AVERAGES	$275 \div 3 = 91.67\%$			$268 \div 3 = 89.33\%$			$260 \div 3 = 86.67\%$		
RATING	1			2			3		

EVALUATION CRITERIA (
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV

EXHIBIT "B"

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
<i>All requirements were submitted in the request for qualifications</i>		
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 25
<i>All requirements submitted</i>		
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
<i>All requirements submitted.</i>		
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 25
<i>All requirements submitted.</i>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
<i>All requirements submitted.</i>		
Total Score		100

Firm/Participant's Name: Fulcrum Consulting Services

Evaluator: [Signature]

Department: City of Progreso

Project Name: Progreso Street Improvements

Date: 7/14/15

EVALUATION CRITERIA (
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV

EXHIBIT "B"

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>meets all requirements & criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all requirements & criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all requirements & criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not address Federal/State/Local regs. or policies, need more information to be gathered and not RFQ responsive</i>		TOTAL 19
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Need more information on proposed Firm Knowledge</i>		TOTAL 3
<i>ABOUT applicable regs. and did not include a narrative on applicable regulations.</i>	Total Score	87

Firm/Participant's Name: Fuzrum Consulting Services

Evaluator: Antonio Dorco Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Progreso Street Improvements Date: 7-27-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV

EXHIBIT "B"

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	05
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, Information of team provided		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	03
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	08
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	08
➤		
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included,		TOTAL 19
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	03
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	03
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	08
Comments/Rationale For Points: Not clear with involvement; appendix not included		TOTAL 14
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm meets Criteria		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria.		TOTAL 10
Total Score		88

Firm/Participant's Name: Fulcrum Consulting Services

Evaluator: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist Department: Purchasing Department

Project Name: City of Progreso 2014 St Imp (Baker & Miracle) Date: July 22, 2015

EVALUATION CRITERIA (
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV

EXHIBIT "B"

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	5
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: Hinojosa Engineering does not provide an actual copy of his license and no organizational chart.		TOTAL 10
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements submitted.		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements submitted.		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements submitted.		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: All requirements submitted.		TOTAL 10
Total Score		90

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Evaluator: Paul [Signature]

Department: City of Progreso

Project Name: Progreso Street Improvements

Date: 7/14/15

EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not include an organizational chart</i>		TOTAL 15
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria but needs to provide more information on appropriate Federal/ state and local regulations and more responsive</i>		TOTAL 22
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must indicate more experience/knowledge of gov't. regs. and did not include narrative</i>		TOTAL 3

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Duran Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Progreso Street Improvements

Date: 7-29-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA (
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV

EXHIBIT "B"

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	03
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	03
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, No organizational chart provided on SOQ; unable to evaluate team qualifications		TOTAL <u>16</u>
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	03
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	08
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included, SOQ very vague on reporting responsibilities;		TOTAL <u>21</u>
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	04
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	04
➤ Project Manager must have (ve (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, however Appendix not included , organizational chart provided		TOTAL <u>18</u>
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm meets Criteria		TOTAL <u>25</u>
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria.		TOTAL <u>10</u>
Total Score		90

of: Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering
 Evaluator: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist Department: Purchasing Department
 Project Name: City of Progreso 2014 St Imp (Baker & Miracle) Date: July 22, 2015

EVALUATION CRITERIA (
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV

EXHIBIT "B"

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements submitted.</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	5
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Engineering firm does not show appropriate experience to complete this type of project.</i>		TOTAL 10
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements submitted.</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements submitted.</i>		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements submitted.</i>		TOTAL 10
Total Score		85

Firm/Participant's Name: Quintana, Headley & Associates, Inc

Evaluator: *[Signature]*

Department: City of Progreso

Project Name: Progreso Street Improvements

Date: 7/14/15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not include organization chart</i>		TOTAL 15
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Is not able to complete work on schedule and efficiently</i>		TOTAL 22
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets All criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must improve on Addressing appropriate rules and regulations, not responsive to RFQ and need more contact info.</i>		TOTAL 19
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not address experience/knowledge of govt. regulations AND, NOT include narrative of applicable regulations</i>		TOTAL 3
Total Score		79

Firm/Participant's Name: Quintana, Headay and Associates, Inc.

Evaluator: Alfonso Garcia Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Progreso Street Improvements

Date: 7-29-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	05
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	05
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria, Information of team provided		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	03
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: No appendix included in SOQ;		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	05
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	03
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Appendix not included as requested; all others meet criteria.		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm meets Criteria		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets Criteria.		TOTAL 10
Total Score		88

Firm/Participant's Name: Quintanilla Headley & Associates

Evaluator: Oscar Garza, Procurement Specialist Department: Purchasing Department

Project Name: City of Progreso 2014 St Imp (Baker & Miracle) Date: July 22, 2015

96
76
08-04-15