

BPU “Supplemental Grant” Guidelines

June, 2015

- (1) Does the proposal advance the goals of the Border Prosecution Unit as a whole, and provide specific focus on organized crime/criminal enterprise cases?
- (2) Does the proposal address the continued need for new technology, improved connectivity and communication to overcome obstacles up and down the river; i.e., does the proposal support the use of the assets throughout the entire BPU coverage area?
- (3) Is the proposal aimed at improving outcomes (not outputs) by (a) improving the quality of prosecutions and specifically in the area of organized crime/criminal enterprise cases, (b) improving the investigative expertise and quality of case preparation of our key law enforcement partners?
- (4) Does the proposal promote collaboration, cooperation and eliminating obstacles between competing jurisdictions?
- (5) Does the proposal advance the goal of improving the professional skills and knowledge of local law enforcement partners?
- (6) Is the proposal consistent with the threat assessments and operational objectives of the Criminal Investigations Division of the Department of Public Safety for the region?
- (7) Has a local threat assessment been performed and if so how does this proposal address those threats?
- (8) If the proposal adds additional attorneys or investigative personnel, will these personnel be cross deputized and/or allowed to assist in other jurisdictions? Are there “local funds” available to fund personnel costs if grant funds become unavailable?
- (9) For shared employees, has a Memorandum of Understanding been executed which details the supervision and tasking of the particular employee’s daily activities? Are there “local funds” available to fund salary costs if grant funds become unavailable?
- (10) Has the caucus of elected prosecutors from the region in which the proposal is to be performed provided a recommendation of support?