

**City of Weslaco**  
**Professional Engineering Services**  
**Water/Sewer Improvements Project**  
**Year 28 (2015)**  
**Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	Cruz – Hogan Consultants, Inc.			Melden & Hunt, Inc.			Javier Hinojosa Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Project Team	16	20	18	19	20	18	11	18	15
Experience of Project Team/ Ability to Commit Resources	25	25	22	25	25	22	21	25	22
Methodology/ Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	20	20	17	20	20	17	20	20	17
Understanding of Project/Similar Projects	24	22	19	25	19	20	23	21	20
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	8	10	6	8	10	6	8
<b>TOTALS</b>	95	97	84	99	90	85	85	90	82
<b>AVERAGES</b>	<b>276÷3=92%</b>			<b>274÷3=91.33%</b>			<b>257÷3=85.67%</b>		
<b>RATING</b>	<b>1</b>			<b>2</b>			<b>3</b>		

Grader 1: Mardoqueo Hinojosa, City of Weslaco

Grader 2: Antonio Barco, UCP

Grader 3: Yvette Salinas, Purchasing Department

**City of Weslaco**  
**Professional Engineering Services**  
**Water/Sewer Improvements Project**  
**Year 28 (2015)**  
**Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	Cruz – Hogan Consultants, Inc.			Melden & Hunt, Inc.			Javier Hinojosa Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Project Team	16	20	18	19	20	18	11	18	15
Experience of Project Team/ Ability to Commit Resources	25	25	22	25	25	22	21	25	22
Methodology/ Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	20	20	17	20	20	17	20	20	17
Understanding of Project/Similar Projects	24	22	19	25	19	20	23	21	20
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	8	10	6	8	10	6	8
<b>TOTALS</b>	95	97	84	99	90	85	85	90	82
<b>AVERAGES</b>	<b>276÷3=92%</b>			<b>274÷3=91.33%</b>			<b>257÷3=85.67%</b>		
<b>RATING</b>	<b>1</b>			<b>2</b>			<b>3</b>		

15-00\*

25-00\*

20-00\*

24-00\*

10-00\*

95-00\*

20-00\*

25-00\*

20-00\*

22-00\*

10-00\*

97-00\*

0\*

18-00\*

22-00\*

17-00\*

19-00\*

8-00\*

84-00\*

0\*

95-00\*

97-00\*

84-00\*

275-00\*

275-00\*

3\*\*

92-00\*

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	7
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 16
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 24
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>95</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Cruz - Hogan Consultants, Inc.

Evaluator: Mario Lopez Higuera Department: Water Planning & Code Enforcement

Project Name: Water Water Line Improvements Date: 12-3-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL 25</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, needs more organization</i>		<b>TOTAL 22</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL 10</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>97</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Cruz - Hogan Consultants, Inc.

Evaluator: ANTONIO BARCO Department: WOP

Project Name: Westaco water/sewer Date: 12-01-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	9
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 22
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 17
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 8
Total Score:		84

Firm/Participant's Name: Cruz-Hogan Consultants, Inc.  
 Evaluator: [Signature] Department: UCP  
 Project Name: Westco Water Impri Project Date: 12-04-15

19-00\*  
25-00\*  
20-00\*  
25-00\*  
10-00\*  
99-00\*

0-\*

20-00\*  
25-00\*  
20-00\*  
19-00\*  
6-00\*  
90-00\*

0-\*

18-00\*  
22-00\*  
17-00\*  
20-00\*  
8-00\*  
85-00\*

0-\*

99-00\*  
90-00\*  
85-00\*  
274-00\*

274-00\*

5-\*

91-33\*

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	9
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 19
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 25
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 10
<b>Total Score</b>		99

Firm/Participant's Name: Meldon & Hunt, Inc.

Evaluator: Madagascar Hoojose Department: Westlaco Planning & Code Enforcement

Project Name: Westlaco Water line Improvements Date: 12-3-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> <u>20</u>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> <u>25</u>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> <u>20</u>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must address appropriate Federal/State/Local Regulations, information to be gathered and RFQ responsive</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> <u>19</u>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must address Applicable regulations and provide a narrative</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> <u>6</u>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>90</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Melden & Hunt, Inc.

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Department: UCP

Project Name: Wachaco Water/Sewer Date: 12/01/15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	9
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 18</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 22</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 17</b>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 20</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 8</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>85</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Melden & Hunt, Inc.  
 Evaluator: [Signature] Department: UCP  
 Project Name: Wastaco - Water Improv. Project Date: 12-04-15

11.00\*  
21.00\*  
20.00\*  
23.00\*  
10.00\*  
85.00\*

0.00

18.00\*  
25.00\*  
20.00\*  
21.00\*  
6.00\*  
90.00\*

0.00

15.00\*  
22.00\*  
17.00\*  
20.00\*  
8.00\*  
82.00\*

0.00

85.00\*  
90.00\*  
82.00\*  
257.00\*

257.00\*  
3.00  
85.67\*

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	6
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	1
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 11
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	1
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 21
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 23
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>85</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Evaluator: Mardoquea Hinojosa Department: Westaco Planning & Code Enforcement

Project Name: Westaco water line Improvements Date: 12-3-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not provide an organizational chart</i>		TOTAL 18
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must be RFQ responsive and address applicable Federal/State and local regulations</i>		TOTAL 21
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must address applicable Federal/State and local regulations</i>		TOTAL 6
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>90</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hincjosa Engineering

Evaluator: ANTONIO DARCO Department: UCP

Project Name: City of Westro Water/sewer Date: 12-01-15

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 15
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 22
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 17
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 8
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>82</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering  
 Evaluator: [Signature] Department: UCP  
 Project Name: Westaco-Water Impr. Project Date: 12-04-15