

**City of Palmview
Engineering Services
Parks, Recreational Facility Improvements
Years 27 (2014)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

| Criteria | SAMES, INC. | | | INTERNATIONAL CONSULTING ENGINEERS | | | ENTECH CIVIL ENGINEERS, INC. | | |
|---|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 |
| Professional Qualifications of Team | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Experience of Project Team | 25 | 25 | 15 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 15 | 20 | 24 |
| Experience/ Availability of Project Manager | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 18 |
| Understanding of Project | 25 | 24 | 25 | 18 | 21 | 25 | 21 | 21 | 25 |
| Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 10 |
| TOTALS | 100 | 99 | 85 | 73 | 91 | 99 | 81 | 82 | 97 |
| AVERAGES | 284 % 3 = 94.67% | | | 263 % 3 = 87.67% | | | 260 % 3 = 86.67% | | |
| RATING | | | | | | | | | |

Grader #1 David Nacianceno, Asst. City Manager-Palmview

Grader #2 Antonio Barco, Deputy Director (UCP)

Grader #3 Moises Salazar, Procurement Specialist

SAMES INC.

I.C.E.

ENTECH

| <u>SAMES INC.</u> | | <u>I.C.E.</u> | | <u>ENTECH</u> | |
|-------------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|
| | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 |
| | 20.00 * | | | | 20.00 * |
| | 25.00 * | | 15.00 * | | 15.00 * |
| | 20.00 * | | 15.00 * | | 15.00 * |
| | 25.00 * | | 15.00 * | | 21.00 * |
| | 10.00 * | | 18.00 * | | 10.00 * |
| 005 | | | 10.00 * | 005 | |
| | 100.0000 * | | | | 91.0000 * |
| | 0.0 | 005 | 75.0000 * | | 0.0 |
| | 20.00 * | | 20.00 * | | 20.00 * |
| | 25.00 * | | 25.00 * | | 20.00 * |
| | 20.00 * | | 19.00 * | | 15.00 * |
| | 20.00 * | | 21.00 * | | 21.00 * |
| | 10.00 * | | 6.00 * | | 6.00 * |
| 005 | | 005 | | 005 | |
| | 99.0000 * | | 91.0000 * | | 85.0000 * |
| | 0.0 | | 20.00 * | | 0.0 |
| | 20.00 * | | 25.00 * | | 20.00 * |
| | 15.00 * | | 20.00 * | | 20.00 * |
| | 15.00 * | | 25.00 * | | 10.00 * |
| | 25.00 * | 005 | 9.00 * | | 25.00 * |
| | 10.00 * | | 99.0000 * | | 10.00 * |
| 005 | | | | 005 | |
| | 95.0000 * | | 0.0 | | 97.0000 * |
| | 100.00 * | | 75.00 * | | 0.0 |
| | 99.00 * | | 91.00 * | | |
| | 85.00 * | | 99.00 * | | 91.00 * |
| 003 | | 003 | | | 92.00 * |
| | 995.0000 * | | 963.0000 * | | 97.00 * |
| | 995.00 * | | 963.00 * | 003 | 960.0000 * |
| | 3. = | | 3. = | | 960.00 * |
| | 94.57 * | | 97.57 * | | 3. = |
| | | | | | 96.57 * |

**City of Palmview
Engineering Services
Parks, Recreational Facility Improvements
Years 27 (2014)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

| Criteria | SAMES, INC. | | | INTERNATIONAL CONSULTING ENGINEERS | | | ENTECH CIVIL ENGINEERS, INC. | | |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 |
| Professional Qualifications of Team | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Experience of Project Team | 25 | 25 | 15 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 15 | 20 | 24 |
| Experience/ Availability of Project Manager | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 18 |
| Understanding of Project | 25 | 24 | 25 | 18 | 21 | 25 | 21 | 21 | 25 |
| Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 10 |
| TOTALS | 100 | 99 | 85 | 73 | 91 | 99 | 81 | 82 | 97 |
| AVERAGES | 284 % 3 = 94.67% | | | 263 % 3 = 87.67% | | | 260 % 3 = 86.67% | | |
| RATING | | | | | | | | | |

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ | | |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 25 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 100 |

Firm/Participant's Name: SAMES, INC.
 Evaluator: David Williamson Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM
 Project Name: PALMVIEW-2014 PARKS RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS Date: 2/9/16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact person and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria</i> | | TOTAL 24 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 99 |

Firm/Participant's Name: SAMES, INC.

Evaluator: ANTONIO BAZCO Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PALMVIEW-2014 PARKS RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS Date: 2-25-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm has certified engineers. | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 5 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: No specific experience related to work done for project similar to this project being evaluated. | | TOTAL 15 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Of the projects listed, none was related to the project herein being evaluated. | | TOTAL 15 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm has conducted project for Governmental institutions. | | TOTAL 25 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Provided information related to governmental institutions. | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 85 |

Firm/Participant's Name: SAMES, INC.

Evaluator: Moises Salazar Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY

Project Name: 2014 PARKS, RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT Date: 02-22-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 15 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 15 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 15 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 18 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 73 |

Firm/Participant's Name: INTERNATIONAL CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Evaluator: David Jackson Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PALMVIEW-2014 PARKS RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS Date: _____

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|--------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 19 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must improve on information to be gathered</i> | | TOTAL 21 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must be more responsive to applicable rules and regulations</i> | | TOTAL 6 |
| Total Score | | 91 |

Firm/Participant's Name: INTERNATIONAL CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Evaluator: Antonio Garcia Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PALMVIEW-2014 PARKS RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS

Date: 2-25-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm qualified staff and resources to perform this project. | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Listed numerous projects. At least one project looks to be similar to the project being evaluated. | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Good methodology, and Project Manager has a long list of projects. | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm demonstrated understanding of project. SOQ well organized. References were complete with contact information. | | TOTAL 25 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 4 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Although firm has performed numerous projects with government, a list of applicable rules was not provided. | | TOTAL 9 |
| Total Score | | 99 |

Firm/Participant's Name: INTERNATIONAL CONSULTING ENGINEERS, (ICE)

Evaluator: Moises Salazar Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY

Project Name: 2014 PARKS, RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT Date: 02-22-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 5 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 15 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 15 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 21 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 81 |

Firm/Participant's Name: ENTECH CIVIL ENGINEERS, INC.

Evaluator: David Dacianescu Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PALMVIEW-2014 PARKS RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS

Date: 2/9/16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|--------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria's</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Most of experience is in road/street Design</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Does not include 5 types of projects</i> | | TOTAL 15 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Do not address appropriate rules/regulations and are not RFQ responsive</i> | | TOTAL 21 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not properly address applicable rules and regulations and narrative</i> | | TOTAL 6 |
| Total Score | | 82 |

Firm/Participant's Name: ENTECH CIVIL ENGINEERS, INC.

Evaluator: Antonio Garcia Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Project Name: PALMVIEW - 2014 PARKS RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS

Date: 2-25-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2015-006-02-04-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Numerous qualified/certified engineers listed in the organization chart. | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 9 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Engineering staff listed; however, none of the projects listed was similar to the project being evaluated. | | TOTAL 24 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 9 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Project manager with experience in numerous projects; however, none similar to the project being evaluated. | | TOTAL 18 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm is demonstrating that has the understanding of governmental projects. | | TOTAL 25 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm is showing that has the knowledge and experience with complying with required laws & regulations. | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 97 |

Firm/Participant's Name: ENTECH CIVIL ENGINEERING, INC.

Evaluator: Moses Salazar Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY

Project Name: 2014 PARKS, RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT Date: 02-22-16

55

Fiscal year thru period ending 02/29/2016

| Description | Month to date | Year to date | Ytd encumbrnce | Annual budget | Annual var | % used |
|--|---------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 5000 55 0306 5200 5501 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 8,769.16 | .00 | 8,769.16 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5000 55 0306 5200 5511 ACM (AL CARDENAS | .00 | 41,020.84 | .00 | 41,020.84 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5000 55 0306 5325 5501 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 49,488.00 | .00 | 49,488.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5000 55 0306 5330 5501 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 1,422.00 | .00 | 1,422.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5000 55 0306 5700 5501 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 4,300.00 | .00 | 4,300.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5001 55 0306 5200 5511 ACM (AL CARDENAS | .00 | 4,308.39 | .00 | 4,308.39 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5001 55 0306 5325 5501 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 1,400.00 | .00 | 1,400.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5004 55 0306 5200 5510 MUSCO LIGHTING | .00 | 100,373.00 | .00 | 100,373.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5010 55 0306 5100 5503 CITY FENCE & SUPP | .00 | 6,560.00 | .00 | 6,560.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5010 55 0306 5125 5501 THE MONITOR | .00 | 895.06 | .00 | 895.06 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5010 55 0306 5200 5511 GP7 CONSTRUCTION, | .00 | 60,176.45 | .00 | 60,176.45 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5014 55 0306 5000 5500 PARKS, RECREATION | .00 | .00 | .00 | 271,505.91 | 271,505.91 | .0% |
| 5015 55 0306 5000 5500 PARKS, RECREATION | .00 | .00 | .00 | 250,727.00 | 250,727.00 | .0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5200 5501 MAGIC VALLEY CONC | .00 | 572.00 | .00 | 572.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5200 5502 MCCOYS LUMBER | .00 | 169.54 | .00 | 169.54 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5200 5503 CITY FENCE & SUPP | .00 | 2,672.00 | .00 | 2,672.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5225 5505 GENERAL GARAGE DO | .00 | 4,200.00 | .00 | 4,200.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5300 5501 HERMANDEZ WELDING | .00 | 300.00 | .00 | 300.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5300 5502 BUSH SUPPLY | .00 | 293.76 | .00 | 293.76 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5300 5503 GRAINGER INDUSTRI | .00 | 255.21 | .00 | 255.21 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5300 5504 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 1,350.00 | .00 | 1,350.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5325 5504 SPORTS & RECREATI | .00 | 1,595.37 | .00 | 1,595.37 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5325 5506 BUSH SUPPLY COMPA | .00 | 2,471.18 | .00 | 2,471.18 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5600 5504 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 650.00 | .00 | 650.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5094 55 0306 5900 5504 FLORES NURSERY & | .00 | 3,600.00 | .00 | 3,600.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5095 55 0306 5100 5510 GENESIS ENGINEERI | .00 | 5,900.00 | .00 | 5,900.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5095 55 0306 5200 5511 METRO ELECTRIC, I | .00 | 40,973.00 | .00 | 40,973.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5096 55 0306 5330 5501 B & F IRRIGATION | .00 | 1,000.00 | .00 | 1,000.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5097 55 0306 5100 5510 ENRIQUEZ GROUP | .00 | 5,185.00 | .00 | 5,185.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5097 55 0306 5125 5502 THE MONITOR | .00 | 215.38 | .00 | 215.38 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5097 55 0306 5150 5507 RABA-KISTNER CONS | .00 | 666.25 | .00 | 666.25 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5097 55 0306 5200 5511 ABUNDIO MENDIOLA | .00 | 5,062.50 | .00 | 5,062.50 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5097 55 0306 5200 5521 CORNERSTONE CONST | .00 | 40,719.00 | .00 | 40,719.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5097 55 0306 5330 5501 B & F IRRIGATION | .00 | 2,762.59 | .00 | 2,762.59 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5097 55 0306 5330 5506 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 2,358.15 | .00 | 2,358.15 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5097 55 0306 5452 5503 GARCIA'S NURSERY | .00 | 1,560.00 | .00 | 1,560.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5097 55 0306 5452 5505 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 1,000.00 | .00 | 1,000.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5097 55 0306 5700 5504 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 5,471.13 | .00 | 5,471.13 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5099 55 0306 5100 5510 ALCOCER ASSOCIATE | .00 | 8,600.00 | .00 | 8,600.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5099 55 0306 5125 5501 THE MONITOR | .00 | 483.00 | .00 | 483.00 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5099 55 0306 5200 5511 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 927.01 | .00 | 927.01 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5099 55 0306 5200 5522 ACM (AL CARDENAS | .00 | 26,709.77 | .00 | 26,709.77 | .00 | 100.0% |
| 5099 55 0306 5325 5511 CITY OF PALMVIEW | .00 | 41,062.99 | .00 | 41,062.99 | .00 | 100.0% |
| Total Expenditure | .00 | 487,497.73 | .00 | 1,009,730.64 | 522,232.91 | 48.3% |