

**City of Peñitas  
Engineering Services  
Other Public Facility Improvements Project  
Year 29 (2016)  
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	LeFevre Engineering			Rio Delta Engineering			CSJ Group		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Team	20	20	19	16	15	16	18	18	14
Experience of Project Team	25	25	25	25	25	19	23	20	15
Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	19	20	20	17	20	17	19	20	17
Understanding of Project	25	25	24	20	21	21	25	18	17
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	10	10	3	7	10	6	7
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>AVERAGES</b>	<b>297 % 3 = 99.00%</b>			<b>252 % 3 = 84.00%</b>			<b>247 %3 = 82.33%</b>		
<b>RATING</b>									

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

**RECEIVED**

AUG 25 2016

Urban County  
Program

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Was able to more readily locate lic'ns.</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Good organization</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Methodology easily found and explained.</i>		TOTAL 19
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Prior project experience acceptable</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Prior experience with gov't acceptable.</i>		TOTAL 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>99</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: LeFevre Engineering

Evaluator: O. Romero-City of Peñitas Department: H.C.-UCP

Project Name: 2016 Peñitas Other Public Fac. Imp.( Parking Lot -Library) Date: 8/23/14

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>100</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: LeFevre Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Department: H.C.-UCP

Project Name: 2016 Peñitas Other Public Fac. Imp.( Parking Lot -Library) Date: 8-30-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most of criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> 19
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>References provided. Demonstrates understanding of scope of services</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> 24
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Familiar w/ Applicable Rules &amp; Regulations</i>		<b>TOTAL</b> 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>98</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: He Ferre Engineering  
 Evaluator: Uz/Chamara Department: Purchasing  
 Project Name: Penitentiary Public Library - Building #101 Date: 03/26/2016

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Information not organized in efficient manner</i>		TOTAL 16
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Resumes &amp; work history very detailed.</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Still had issue understanding &amp; locating info</i>		TOTAL 17
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>More detail/examples could be used.</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Past experience with govt acceptable</i>		TOTAL 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>88</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Rio Delta Engineering

Evaluator: O. Romero - City of Peñitas Department: H.C.-UCP

Project Name: 2016 Peñitas Other Public Fac. Imp. ( Parking Lot -Library) Date: 8/23/16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not provide chart</i>		TOTAL 15
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	0
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	0
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	0
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Does Not Address Appropriate Federal, State, and local regulations and not RFQ responsive</i>		TOTAL 5
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	0
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not provide narrative or indicate past experience of Applicable rules and regulations</i>		TOTAL 0
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>84</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Rio Delta Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Garco Department: H.C.-UCP

Project Name: 2016 Peñitas Other Public Fac. Imp.( Parking Lot -Library) Date: 8-30-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>licensed to practice in State of TX.</i>		TOTAL 16
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Engineer has extensive experience to <del>work</del> perform project</i>		TOTAL 19
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Project manager has experience</i>		TOTAL 17
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Seems familiar w/ scope of services references available</i>		TOTAL 21
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Does not properly address past experience/knowledge of governmental rules</i>		TOTAL 7
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>80</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Rio Delta Engineering  
 Evaluator: UP/Classroom Department: Purchasing  
 Project Name: Pinellas Public Library Looking for Date: 01/29/2016

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Scope of project could require larger project team.</i>		TOTAL 18
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Not a lot of resources listed for the scope</i>		TOTAL 23
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Good explanation but needs to be more organized.</i>		TOTAL 19
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Good background &amp; project history.</i>		TOTAL 25
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Prior experience with gov't acceptable.</i>		TOTAL 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>95</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ Group

Evaluator: O. Romero City of Peñitas Department: H.C.-UCP

Project Name: 2016 Peñitas Other Public Fac. Imp. ( Parking Lot -Library) Date: 8/23/16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but did not mention STAFF members</i>		TOTAL 18
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to provide additional information on STAFF who will provide services besides engineer</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	24
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must include more information on STAFF and experience and relevant information to projects</i>		TOTAL 18
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must include a narrative and more information relevant to applicable rules and regulations</i>		TOTAL 6
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>82</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ Group

Evaluator: Antonio Durco Department: H.C.-UCP

Project Name: 2016 Peñitas Other Public Fac. Imp. ( Parking Lot -Library) Date: 8-30-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	2
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	2
Comments/Rationale for Points: <i>On organization chart only engineer listed w/support staff as team.</i>		TOTAL 14
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	5
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	5
Comments/Rationale for Points: <i>Only able to see engineer's qualifications nothing on supporting staff.</i>		TOTAL 15
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	7
Comments/Rationale for Points: <i>Unable to locate similar project</i>		TOTAL 17
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	2
Comments/Rationale for Points: <i>Unable to locate references</i>		TOTAL 17
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale for Points: <i>Was unable to locate familiarity w/ Rules &amp; regulations</i>		TOTAL 7
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>20</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ Group  
 Evaluator: Updegraves Department: Purchasing  
 Project Name: Penitas Public Library Parking Lot Date: 08/29/2016