

**City of Progreso
Professional Engineering Services
Street Improvements Project
Years 29 (2016)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	LAZCAMREA, LLC dba Fulcrum Consulting Services			Half Associates, Inc.			Sigler, Winston, Greenwood Engineers		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Project Team	20	20	17	20	20	20	20	20	16
Experience of Project Team/ Ability to Commit Resources	25	25	22	20	25	25	10	25	21
Methodology/ Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	20	20	19	20	20	20	20	19	18
Understanding of Project/Similar Projects	25	23	23	25	22	24	25	19	22
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	10	9	10	3	9	10	10	8
TOTALS	100	98	90	95	90	98	85	93	85
AVERAGES	288÷3=96%			278÷3=92.67%			263÷3=87.67%		
RATING	1			2			3		

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements Submitted</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements Submitted</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements Submitted</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements Submitted</i>		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements Submitted</i>		TOTAL 10
Total Score		100

Firm/Participant's Name: Fulcrum Consulting Services

Evaluator:  Department: City of Progreso

Project Name: 2016 City of Progreso Street Improvements Project Date: _____

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must improve on RFQ responsiveness and information to be gathered</i>		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
Total Score		98

Firm/Participant's Name: Fulcrum Consulting Services

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2016 City of Progreso Street Improvements Project Date: 8-29-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	9
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Response to RFQ was able to demonstrate their qualifications, however it appears firm has done work w/ cities</i>		TOTAL 17
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Project leadership deemed to be lacking</i>		TOTAL 22
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All information provided not satisfactory</i>		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Firm has clear understanding of projects</i>		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Firm provided a clear narrative of project exp.</i>		TOTAL 9
Total Score		90

Firm/Participant's Name: Julenum Consulting Svcs
 Evaluator: Shelene B. Burtch, CPFB Department: Purchasing
 Project Name: City of Progreso 2016 J. Employment Proj Date: 09/08/2016

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

RECEIVED
AUG 31 2016
Urban County

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Program	
	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements Submitted</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	0
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not designate engineering staff members in an appendix form</i>		TOTAL 20
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements Submitted</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
> Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements Submitted</i>		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and polities	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All requirements Submitted</i>		TOTAL 10
Total Score		95

Firm/Participant's Name: Half Associates, Inc.
 Evaluator: [Signature] Department: City of Progreso
 Project Name: 2016 City of Progreso Street Improvements Project Date: _____

95

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL <u>20</u>
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL <u>25</u>
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL <u>20</u>
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria, but must improve address applicable rules + regulations and RFQ responsive</i>		TOTAL <u>22</u>
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must address applicable rules and regulations and a narrative</i>		TOTAL <u>3</u>
Total Score		90

Firm/Participant's Name: Half Associates, Inc.

Evaluator: Antonio Zarco Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2016 City of Progreso Street Improvements Project Date: 8-29-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
> Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
> Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
> Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: All staff identified in RFQ meet qualifications as set forth in RFQ		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
> Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
> Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
> Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Experience of the firm is above satisfactory for this specific project		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
> Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
> Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
> Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm response to RFQ demonstrates all the above to be satisfactory		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
> Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
> Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
> Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
> RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
> Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm demonstrates completed understanding of similar type projects.		TOTAL 24
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
> Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
> Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: Narrative of regs, guidelines vague		TOTAL 9
Total Score		98

Firm/Participant's Name: Halt Engineering Inc.
 Evaluator: Shirley H. Behrman, CPPE Department: Purchasing
 Project Name: City of Progress Park Improvement Project Date: 09/08/2016

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
<i>All Requirements submitted.</i>		
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	5
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	0
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
<i>Engineering Firm does not show appropriate experience to complete this type of project.</i>		
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
<i>All requirements submitted</i>		
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 25
<i>All requirements submitted</i>		
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
<i>All requirements submitted</i>		
Total Score		85

Firm/Participant's Name: SWG Engineering, L.L.C.

Evaluator:  Department: City of Progreso

Project Name: 2016 City of Progreso Street Improvements Project Date: _____

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must elaborate on Firm's approach to the methodology</i>		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	2
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	2
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must improve on information to be gathered and RFQ responsiveness</i>		TOTAL 19
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 10
Total Score		93

Firm/Participant's Name: Sigler, Winston, Greenwood Engineers

Evaluator: Antonio Dario Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2016 City of Progreso - Street Improvements Date: 8-29-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>vague information on proposed team organization chart</i>		TOTAL 16
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>experience of staff was not very clear</i>		TOTAL 21
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Firm understands the scope of services for this project however Project Mgr didn't appear to be 85-100% involved</i>		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Firm appears to be familiar w/ the County of Hidalgo Projects & the RFQ</i>		TOTAL 22
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Although firm has completed projects for H.C., their RFQ response was vague in this area</i>		TOTAL 8
Total Score		85

Firm/Participant's Name: Sialer, Winston Greenwood Engineers
 Evaluator: Darlan W. Betancourt, CAPS Department: Purchasing
 Project Name: City of Hidalgo 2016 Street Improvements Date: 09/08/2016