

**City of Elsa
Professional Engineering Services
Street Improvements Project
Years 29 (2016)
Grading-Ranking Grid**

Criteria	Sigler, Winston, Greenwood Engineers			CSJ Group			Rio Delta Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Project Team	19	20	16	20	20	15	18	15	16
Experience of Project Team/ Ability to Commit Resources	22	25	21	23	25	17	21	21	22
Methodology/ Experience/ Availability of Project Manager	16	19	18	18	19	16	19	20	18
Understanding of Project/Similar Projects	22	19	22	25	18	17	21	20	24
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	6	8	10	8	7	7	4	7
TOTALS	89	89	85	96	90	72	86	80	87
AVERAGES	263÷3=87.67%			258÷3=86%			253÷3=84.33%		
RATING	1			2			3		

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	9
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 22
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	3
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 22
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
Total Score		87

total 89 ml

Firm/Participant's Name: Sigler, Winston, Green LLC
 Evaluator: Sam J. Herrera Department: City of Elsa
 Project Name: 2016 City of Elsa - Street Improvements Date: 9/15/2016

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must elaborate on Firm's Approach to the methodology</i>		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must improve on information to be gathered and be more RFQ responsive</i>		TOTAL 19
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not provide narrative on Applicable rules and regulations</i>		TOTAL 6
Total Score		89

Firm/Participant's Name: Sigler, Winston, Green LLC^{wood}
 Evaluator: Antonio SANCHEZ Department: Urban County Program
 Project Name: 2016 City of Elsa - Street Improvements Date: 9-15-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Vague info on team organization ¹⁰⁰ chart</i>		TOTAL 16
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>experience of staff not very clear</i>		TOTAL 21
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Firm familiar w/ scope of services for project however not able to identify 85-100% involvement</i>		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>meets most of criteria</i>		TOTAL 22
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>lacking info on this area</i>		TOTAL 8
Total Score		85

Firm/Participant's Name: Ingler, Winston, Greenwood Engineers
 Evaluator: Uzelasquez Department: Purchasing
 Project Name: City of El Paso Street Improvements Date: 9/23/2016

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

RECEIVED

EXHIBIT "B"

DR

SEP 21 2016

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
Total Score		96

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ Group
 Evaluator: Juan S. Garcia Department: City of Elsa
 Project Name: 2016 City of Elsa - Street Improvements Date: 9/15/2016

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must include additional information on methodology</i>		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must address appropriate rules & regs. and be more RFQ responsive</i>		TOTAL 18
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must include additional information on applicable rules and regulations and narrative</i>		TOTAL 8
Total Score		90

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ Group

Evaluator: Antonio Dorco Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2016 City of Elsa - Street Improvements

Date: 9-15-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Organization list only list Project Manager Name</i>		TOTAL 15
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	6
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Vague information</i>		TOTAL 17
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Unable to locate amount of involvement of project Manager.</i>		TOTAL 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>List references on Attachment B but does not include telephone numbers</i>		TOTAL 17
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Doesn't address past experience/knowledge of gov. Rules</i>		TOTAL 7
Total Score		72

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ Group
 Evaluator: Up/El asmr Department: Purchasing
 Project Name: City of Elgin Street Improvements Date: 9/27/2010

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	9
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 21
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 21
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 7
Total Score		86

Firm/Participant's Name: Rio Delta Engineering
 Evaluator: Swan S. Yalbert Department: City of Elsa
 Project Name: 2016 City of Elsa - Street Improvements Date: 9/15/2016

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did Not provide organization chart</i>		TOTAL 15
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	0
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did Not provide information on project Composition leadership and lacked relevant information</i>		TOTAL 21
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Met all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Failed to provide relevant information on most Aspects of Project requirements</i>		TOTAL 20
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did Not provide narrative on Applicable rules and regulations</i>		TOTAL 4
Total Score		80

Firm/Participant's Name: Rio Delta Engineering

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: 2016 City of Elsa - Street Improvements

Date: 9-15-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

EXHIBIT "B"

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Licensed & have worked w/ Hidalgo</i>		TOTAL 16
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Engineer has ^{attestable} experience w/ ability to perform project.</i>		TOTAL 42
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Project Manager has experience in similar projects</i>		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Seems familiar w/ scope of services/project References available</i>		TOTAL 24
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>lacking info in this area</i>		TOTAL 7
Total Score		87

Firm/Participant's Name: Rio Delta Engineering
 Evaluator: Upfel Asayere Department: Purchasing
 Project Name: City of Elsa Street Improvement Date: 9/26/2016