

**City of Weslaco  
Professional Engineering Services  
Water/Sewer Improvements Project  
Year 29 (2016)  
Grading-Ranking Grid**

| Criteria   | Cruz – Hogan Consultants, Inc.           |          |          | L & G Consulting Engineers, Inc.         |          |          | Javier Hinojosa Engineering           |          |          |
|--|--|----------|----------|--|----------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|
|  | Grader 1                                 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 | Grader 1                                 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 | Grader 1                              | Grader 2 | Grader 3 |
| Professional Qualifications of Project Team                    | 20                                       | 20       | 20       | 20                                       | 20       | 20       | 20                                    | 20       | 16       |
| Experience of Project Team/<br>Ability to Commit Resources     | 25                                       | 25       | 25       | 25                                       | 25       | 23       | 25                                    | 25       | 21       |
| Methodology/<br>Experience/<br>Availability of Project Manager | 20                                       | 20       | 16       | 20                                       | 20       | 17       | 20                                    | 19       | 18       |
| Understanding of Project/Similar Projects                      | 25                                       | 22       | 22       | 21                                       | 23       | 25       | 25                                    | 19       | 22       |
| Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations                | 7  | 10       | 10       | 8  | 6        | 10       | 8                                     | 10       | 8        |
| <b>TOTALS</b>  | 97                                       | 97       | 93       | 94                                       | 94       | 95       | 98                                    | 93       | 85       |
| <b>AVERAGES</b>  | <b><math>287 \div 3 = 95.67\%</math></b> |          |          | <b><math>283 \div 3 = 94.33\%</math></b> |          |          | <b><math>276 \div 3 = 92\%</math></b> |          |          |
| <b>RATING</b>  | <b>1</b>                                 |          |          | <b>2</b>                                 |          |          | <b>3</b>                              |          |          |

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

| Selection Criteria   | Points | Score              |
|--|--------|--------------------|
| <b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                    |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10     | 10                 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts  | 5      | 5                  |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.   | 5      | 5                  |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | <b>TOTAL</b><br>20 |
| <b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>  |        |                    |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form  | 5      | 5                  |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities  | 10     | 10                 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.  | 10     | 10                 |
| ➤  |        |                    |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | <b>TOTAL</b><br>25 |
| <b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>  |        |                    |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).   | 5      | 5                  |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix  | 5      | 5                  |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project  | 10     | 10                 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | <b>TOTAL</b><br>20 |
| <b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                    |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services   | 5      | 5                  |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.                                       | 5      | 5                  |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope  | 5      | 5                  |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.  | 5      | 5                  |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.   | 5      | 5                  |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | <b>TOTAL</b><br>25 |
| <b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                    |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies                                    | 5      | 5                  |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies  | 5      | 2                  |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | <b>TOTAL</b><br>7  |
| <b>Total Score</b>   |        | 97                 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Cruz-Hogan Consultants, Inc.

Evaluator: Mardoqueo Hinojosa Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: Waterlines Improvements Project (2016) Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**


| Selection Criteria   | Points | Score     |
|--|--------|-----------|
| <b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>   |        |           |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10     | 10        |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts  | 5      | 5         |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.   | 5      | 5         |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meet all criteria</i>  |        | TOTAL 20  |
| <b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>  |        |           |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form  | 5      | 5         |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities  | 10     | 10        |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.  | 10     | 10        |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meet all criteria</i>  |        | TOTAL 25  |
| <b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>  |        |           |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).   | 5      | 5         |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix  | 5      | 5         |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project  | 10     | 10        |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meet all criteria</i>  |        | TOTAL 20  |
| <b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>   |        |           |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services   | 5      | 5         |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.                                       | 5      | 5         |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope  | 5      | 4         |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.  | 5      | 4         |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.   | 5      | 4         |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must improve on their RFQ responsiveness and info. to be obtained</i>   |        | TOTAL 22  |
| <b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>   |        |           |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies                                    | 5      | 5         |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies  | 5      | 5         |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meet all criteria</i>  |        | TOTAL 10  |
| <b>Total Score</b>   |        | <b>97</b> |

Firm/Participant's Name: Cruz-Hogan Consultants, Inc.  
 Evaluator: ANTONIO SANCHEZ Department: City of Weslaco  
 Project Name: Waterlines Improvements Project (2016) Date: 10/21/16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

| <u>Selection Criteria</u>  | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u>    |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>   |               |                 |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10            | 10              |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts  | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.   | 5             | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets criteria. Licensed Engineers.   |               | <b>TOTAL 20</b> |
| <b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>  |               |                 |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form  | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities  | 10            | 10              |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.  | 10            | 10              |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |               | <b>TOTAL 25</b> |
| <b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>  |               |                 |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).   | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix  | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project  | 10            | 6               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Although project engineers, is identified, unable to locate percentage of involvement.  |               | <b>TOTAL 16</b> |
| <b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>   |               |                 |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services   | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.                                       | 5             | 4               |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope  | 5             | 4               |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.  | 5             | 4               |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers.   | 5             | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Multiple references provided. Meets general criteria.   |               | <b>TOTAL 22</b> |
| <b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>   |               |                 |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies                                    | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies  | 5             | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Meets all Criteria  |               | <b>TOTAL 10</b> |
| <b>Total Score</b>   |               | <b>93</b>       |

Firm/Participant's Name: Cruz-Hogan Consultants  
 Evaluator:  Department: Purchasing Department  
 Project Name: City of Weslaco-Waterlines Improvements Project - Phase 2 Date: October 18, 2016

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

| Selection Criteria   | Points | Score       |
|--|--------|-------------|
| <b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>   |        |             |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10     | 10          |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts  | 5      | 5           |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.   | 5      | 5           |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | TOTAL<br>20 |
| <b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>  |        |             |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form  | 5      | 5           |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities  | 10     | 10          |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.  | 10     | 10          |
| ➤  |        |             |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | TOTAL<br>25 |
| <b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>  |        |             |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).   | 5      | 5           |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix  | 5      | 5           |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project  | 10     | 10          |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | TOTAL<br>20 |
| <b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>   |        |             |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services   | 5      | 3           |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.                                       | 5      | 5           |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope  | 5      | 5           |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.  | 5      | 5           |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.   | 5      | 3           |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | TOTAL<br>21 |
| <b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>   |        |             |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies                                    | 5      | 5           |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies  | 5      | 3           |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | TOTAL<br>8  |
| <b>Total Score</b>   |        | <b>94</b>   |

Firm/Participant's Name: L & G Consulting Engineers, Inc.  
 Evaluator: Marloqueo Hinojosa Department: Urban County Program  
 Project Name: Waterlines Improvements Project (2016) Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

| Selection Criteria   | Points | Score           |
|--|--------|-----------------|
| <b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                 |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10     | 10              |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.   | 5      | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>   |        | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| <b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>  |        |                 |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities  | 10     | 10              |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.  | 10     | 10              |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meet all criteria</i>  |        | TOTAL <u>35</u> |
| <b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>  |        |                 |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).   | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project  | 10     | 10              |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meet all criteria</i>  |        | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| <b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                 |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services   | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.                                       | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.   | 5      | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must address applicable rules and regulations</i>   |        | TOTAL <u>23</u> |
| <b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                 |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies                                    | 5      | 3               |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies  | 5      | 3               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must address applicable rules and regulations and narrative</i>  |        | TOTAL <u>6</u>  |
| <b>Total Score</b>   |        | <b>94</b>       |

Firm/Participant's Name: L & G Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Department: City of Weslaco

Project Name: Waterlines Improvements Project (2016) Date: 10-21-16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

| <u>Selection Criteria</u>  | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u>    |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>   |               |                 |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice In the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10            | 10              |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts  | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Team members Identified in the organizational chart.   | 5             | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:<br>Multiple licensed engineers.   |               | <b>TOTAL 20</b> |
| <b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>  |               |                 |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form  | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities  | 10            | 8               |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.  | 10            | 10              |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:<br>Project team has extensive experience to effectively complete work. Unable to identify reporting responsibilities.   |               | <b>TOTAL 23</b> |
| <b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>  |               |                 |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).   | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix  | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project  | 10            | 7               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:<br>Even though Organization charts identifies Project manager, wasn't able to locate percentage of involvement.   |               | <b>TOTAL 17</b> |
| <b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>   |               |                 |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services   | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.                                       | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope  | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.  | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.   | 5             | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:<br>Has multiple projects with all types of municipalities w/ multiple references.   |               | <b>TOTAL 25</b> |
| <b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>   |               |                 |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies                                    | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies  | 5             | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:<br>Familiar with rules & regulations and guidelines.  |               | <b>TOTAL 10</b> |
| <b>Total Score</b>   |               | <b>95</b>       |


Firm/Participant's Name: L & G Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Evaluator: *Yolanda Velazquez* Department: Purchasing Department

Project Name: City of Weslaco-Waterlines Improvements Projects- Phase 2 Date: October 19, 2016

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**



| Selection Criteria   | Points | Score           |
|--|--------|-----------------|
| <b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                 |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10     | 10              |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.   | 5      | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | <b>TOTAL 20</b> |
| <b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>  |        |                 |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities  | 10     | 10              |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.  | 10     | 10              |
| ➤  |        |                 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | <b>TOTAL 25</b> |
| <b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>  |        |                 |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).   | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project  | 10     | 10              |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | <b>TOTAL 20</b> |
| <b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                 |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services   | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.                                       | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.   | 5      | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | <b>TOTAL 25</b> |
| <b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                 |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies                                    | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies  | 5      | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points:   |        | <b>TOTAL 8</b>  |
| <b>Total Score</b>   |        | <b>98</b>       |

Firm/Participant's Name: Sigler, Winston, Greenwood & Associates  
 Evaluator: Mardoqueo Hinojosa Department: Urban County Program  
 Project Name: Waterlines Improvements Project (2016) Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

| Selection Criteria   | Points | Score           |
|--|--------|-----------------|
| <b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                 |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10     | 10              |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.   | 5      | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meet all criteria</i>  |        | <b>TOTAL 20</b> |
| <b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>  |        |                 |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities  | 10     | 10              |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.  | 10     | 10              |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meet all criteria</i>  |        | <b>TOTAL 25</b> |
| <b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>  |        |                 |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).   | 5      | 4               |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project  | 10     | 9               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must elaborate on firms approach to their methodology</i>   |        | <b>TOTAL 19</b> |
| <b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                 |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services   | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.                                       | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.  | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.   | 5      | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must improve on information to be gathered and RFQ responsiveness</i>   |        | <b>TOTAL 19</b> |
| <b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>   |        |                 |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies                                    | 5      | 5               |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies  | 5      | 5               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>   |        | <b>TOTAL 10</b> |
| <b>Total Score</b>   |        | <b>93</b>       |

Firm/Participant's Name: Sigler, Winston, Greenwood & Associates

Evaluator: ANTONIO BARCO Department: City of Weslaco

Project Name: Waterlines Improvements Project (2016)

Date: 10/21/16

**EVALUATION CRITERIA  
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL  
RFQ No. 2016-002-02-17-YZV**

**EXHIBIT "B"**

| <u>Selection Criteria</u>  | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u>    |
|--|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)</b>   |               |                 |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10            | 8               |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts  | 5             | 4               |
| ➤ Team members Identified in the organizational chart.   | 5             | 4               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Vague information provided on organization chart.   |               | <b>TOTAL 16</b> |
| <b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)</b>  |               |                 |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form  | 5             | 4               |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities  | 10            | 9               |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.  | 10            | 8               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Experience of Staff was not very clear  |               | <b>TOTAL 21</b> |
| <b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)</b>  |               |                 |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).   | 5             | 4               |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix  | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project  | 10            | 9               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm understands the scope of services for this project however, Project Manager did not appear to be 85 to 100% involved.                                      |               | <b>TOTAL 18</b> |
| <b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)</b>   |               |                 |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services   | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.                                       | 5             | 5               |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope  | 5             | 4               |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.  | 5             | 4               |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.   | 5             | 4               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Firm appears to be familiar w/the County of Hidalgo Projects and the RFQ  |               | <b>TOTAL 22</b> |
| <b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)</b>   |               |                 |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies                                    | 5             | 4               |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies  | 5             | 4               |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Although firm has completed project for H.C. Their RFQ response was vague in this area  |               | <b>TOTAL 8</b>  |
| <b>Total Score</b>   |               | <b>85</b>       |

Firm/Participant's Name: Cruz-Hogan Consultants  
 Evaluator:  Department: Purchasing Department  
 Project Name: City of Weslaco- Waterlines Improvements Projects-Phase 2 Date: October 19, 2016