

GLO Round 3 -Trenton Drain Phase II/ Phase III

Professional Engineering Service

Grading-Ranking Grid

| Criteria | SAMES Inc. | | | S & B Infrastructure, LTD | | | Quintanilla, Headley & Associates | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 | Grader 1 | Grader 2 | Grader 3 |
| Professional Qualifications of Project Team | 17 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 19 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 20 |
| Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources | 21 | 24 | 25 | 21 | 25 | 22 | 21 | 23 | 23 |
| Methodology/Experience/ Availability of Project manager | 18 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 20 |
| Understanding of Project/Similar Projects | 21 | 24 | 25 | 20 | 24 | 24 | 18 | 23 | 23 |
| Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations | 7 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 4 |
| TOTALS | 84 | 98 | 100 | 80 | 97 | 91 | 77 | 96 | 90 |
| AVERAGES | $282 / 3 =$ | | | $268 / 3 =$ | | | $263 / 3 =$ | | |
| RATING | 94 | | | 89 | | | 87 | | |

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2017-006-02-01-YSS**

EXHIBIT "B"

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 9 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 4 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 17 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 8 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 9 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 21 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 9 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 18 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 21 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 7 |
| Total Score | | 84 |

Firm/Participant's Name: James Inc.
 Evaluator: [Signature] Department: Purchasing
 Project Name: GLO Pond 3 Trenton Drain Phase II #111 Date: 03-31-17

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2017-006-02-01-YSS**

EXHIBIT "B"

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>The firm is highly qualified in related projects and all team members have the expertise to perform the work needed.</i> | | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 9 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>The firm has considerable experience with drainage projects.</i> | | TOTAL <u>24</u> |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>The firm is well equipped for required services and has high response to the needs of the County.</i> | | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>The firm has great perception and understanding of these types of projects.</i> | | TOTAL <u>24</u> |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>The firm is licensed and familiar with all regulations.</i> | | TOTAL <u>10</u> |
| Total Score | | 98 |

Firm/Participant's Name: SAMES, Inc.
 Evaluator: Maia D. Bucio Department: Precinct No. 4
 Project Name: GLO Round 3 - Trenton Drain Phase II & III Improvements Project Date: 3-30-17

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2017-006-02-01-YSS**

EXHIBIT "B"

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|
| I. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets All criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 25 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 100 |

Firm/Participant's Name: SAMES, Inc.
 Evaluator: Antonio Duran Department: Urban County Program
 Project Name: GLO Round 3 - Trenton Drain Phase II & III Improvements Project Date: 3/30/17

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2017-006-02-01-YSS**

EXHIBIT "B"

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 9 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 2 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 15 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 8 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 9 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 21 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 9 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 18 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 6 |
| Total Score | | 80 |

Firm/Participant's Name: SPB Infrastructure, Ltd.
 Evaluator: [Signature] Department: Purchasing
 Project Name: GLO Road 3 Trenton Main Phase I & II Date: 03-31-17

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2017-006-02-01-YSS**

EXHIBIT "B"

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: The team is professional, dedicated to projects and highly qualified. | | TOTAL 19 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: The firm has vast experience and the team has worked on various County projects. | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: The project manager is experienced and available as needed. | | TOTAL 19 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 6 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: The firm has a full understanding of similar projects. | | TOTAL 24 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: The firm is familiar with all rules and regulations and is licensed. | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 97 |

Firm/Participant's Name: S & B Infrastructure, Ltd.
 Evaluator: _____ Department: Precinct No. 4
 Project Name: GLO Round 3 - Trenton Drain Phase II & III Improvements Project Date: _____

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2017-006-02-01-YSS**

EXHIBIT "B"

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 9 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must improve on delivery of services on a timely manner</i> | | TOTAL 22 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must more RFQ responsive</i> | | TOTAL 24 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 0 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not include narrative outlining applicable rules and regulations</i> | | TOTAL 5 |
| Total Score | | 91 |

Firm/Participant's Name: S & B Infrastructure, Ltd.

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: GLO Round 3 - Trenton Drain Phase II & III Improvements Project Date: 3-30-17

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2017-006-02-01-YSS**

EXHIBIT "B"

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 9 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 2 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 15 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 8 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 9 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 21 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 9 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 17 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 4 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 18 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 6 |
| Total Score | | 77 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Quintanilla, Headley & Associates
 Evaluator: [Signature] Department: Purchasing
 Project Name: GEO Rnd 3 Venon Drain Phase II BIF Date: 03-31-17

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2017-006-02-01-YSS**

EXHIBIT "B"

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>The team is qualified to provide the work as needed.</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 9 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 4 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>The team is experienced in similar projects.</i> | | TOTAL 23 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100% involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>The project manager is well prepared and readily available.</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>The firm has complete understanding of scopes and services for similar projects.</i> | | TOTAL 23 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>The firm is licensed and familiar with all regulations.</i> | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 96 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Quintanilla, Headley and Associates, Inc.
 Evaluator: Maura D. Lucio Department: Preclnct No. 4
 Project Name: GLO Round 3 - Trenton Drain Phase II & III Improvements Project Date: 3-30-17

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2017-006-02-01-YSS**

EXHIBIT "B"

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations and other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 8 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but ^{needs to} improve on the efficiently completing reporting requirements</i> | | TOTAL 23 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Reference information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must ADDRESS appropriate Federal/State and local reg. and RFQ responsive</i> | | TOTAL 23 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 0 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must ADDRESS applicable rules and regulations and did NOT narrative on applicable rules and regulations</i> | | TOTAL 4 |
| Total Score | | 90 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Quintanilla, Headley and Associates, Inc.

Evaluator: ANTONIO BARCO Department: Urban County Program

Project Name: GLO Round 3 - Trenton Drain Phase II & III Improvements Project Date: 3-30-17