



Attachment: B

**Neighborhood Stabilization Program
Grantee Closeout Certification**

Grantee Name: **Hidalgo County**

Grant Number: **B-11-JN-48-0501**

The Grantee hereby certifies that: (1) the activities as described in the approved Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) 3 Substantial Amendment have been performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the executed Grant Agreement and applicable statutory and regulatory requirements and that there are no known outstanding programmatic or financial issues; and (2) all data provided below fairly reflect costs and sources of funds of the NSP and are taken from HUD-approved reports and other project-related documents.

1. Grant amount authorized	\$ 1,716,924
2. Cumulative grant funds disbursed	\$ 1,716,924
(Grantee should draw down amounts for any final audit costs or unsettled third-party claims Any such amounts not subsequently disbursed must be immediately reimbursed to HUD.)	
3. Grant funds recaptured	\$ 0
4. Balance of grant funds remaining	\$ 0
(These funds will be canceled by HUD in order for the funds to be returned to the U.S. Treasury.)	

(Note: Grantees which spent funds for acquisition, rehabilitation or new construction through the Neighborhood Stabilization Program are required to continue to maintain long term affordability for the time period stated in their NSP 3 Substantial Amendment. Therefore, notwithstanding this Grantee Closeout Certification, grantees failing to comply with this requirement shall be required to repay all or a portion of the grant amount, as provided in the appropriate regulation.)

Grantee Authorized Representative's Signature and Date

CPD Division Director's Signature and Date

Ramon Garcia

Typed Name of Signatory

Typed Name of Signatory

Hidalgo County Judge

Title

Title

The above signature by HUD signifies approval of grant closeout

Note: Any false statements knowingly or deliberately made are subject to civil or criminal penalties under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the U.S. Code.

HUD Form 40178



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Community Planning and Development

Attachment: C

**Neighborhood Stabilization Program
Closeout Checklist**

For the purposes of expediting the grant closeout process, HUD asks that applicants submit the following checklist.

Jurisdiction Name HIDALGO COUNTY	Grant Number B-11-UN-48-0501
Official Contact Name DIANA R SERNA	Telephone Number 956-787-8127
Email Address diana.serna@co.hidalgo.tx.us	Fax Number

1. NSP Activities

(i) Are any of the activities ineligible under the HERA, Recovery Act or Dodd-Frank Act (s) or published *Federal Register* Notices?

Yes No if yes, explain and specify which NSP program:

All activities are eligible, however as requested, below is an explanation of repayment funds in the amount of \$74,357.00:
 AHSTI NSP3-11 was reported as a foreclosed unit and as such funds were recaptured and re-used for the redevelopment of two vacant lots resulting in two new single family homes sold to qualified buyers. Activity # AHSTI-NSP3-15 utilized a total of \$59,074 and loan closed on 3/22/2017. Activity # AHSTI-NSP3-16 utilized a total of \$15,283 and loan closed on 2/7/2017.

2. Expenditures

(i) Is there evidence that no more than 10 percent of the grant amount and program income earned was spent on administration and planning?

Yes No if no, explain:

(ii) Is there evidence that 25 percent set aside requirement has been met?

Yes No if no, explain:

Explanation box

HUD Form 40179



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Community Planning and Development

3. Activities eligible and meet a national objective

- (i) Do all activities meet a National Objective?
Yes No if no, explain:
- (ii) Is there evidence that 100 percent of the grant amount principally benefitted persons of low, moderate and middle income (individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of AMI)?
Yes No
- (iii) Did grantee use more than 10 percent of its NSP2/NSP3 grant for demolition activities?
Yes No N/A if yes, date(s) of wavier:
- (iv) Are all NSP-assisted homes occupied by income-eligible residents?
Yes No if no, explain:
- (v) Did all NSP-assisted rental units meet the "affordable rents" standards?
Yes No N/A if no, explain:
- (vi) Do all NSP assisted units have appropriate mechanisms in place to ensure compliance with the required minimum affordability period?
Yes No
- (vii) Do all rental projects meet the NSP proportional requirements of units occupied by income-eligible households or the CDBG criteria in 24 CFR 570.208(a)(3)?
Yes No N/A if no, explain:
- (viii) Does the grantee have property(s) still held in a land bank? (NSP properties can be land banked for a maximum of 10 years after closeout.)
Yes No If yes, are the properties logged into DRGR? Yes No
- (ix) Does the grantee have a feasible plan to obligate each property in a land bank for redevelopment?
Yes No N/A if no, explain:
- (x) Will the end use of the property still in a land bank meet a National Objective?
Yes No N/A if no, explain:
- (xi) Does the grantee still have unused funds held in a Loan Loss Reserve?
Yes No If yes, explain:
- (xii) **NSP2 only** - Did the grantee meet all energy efficiency improvement and sustainable development plans laid put in their application? (Factor 5 of the NSP2 NOFA included: green building standards, transit accessibility, re-use of cleared sites, deconstruction, sustainable development practices)
Yes No N/A if no, explain:
- (xiii) **NSP2 only** - Did the grantee work in all of the census tracts provided as part of its target area in its application or amended application?
Yes No if no, explain:
- (xiv) **NSP2 only** - Is there evidence that a NSP2 grantee has either returned a minimum of 100 abandoned or foreclosed homes back to productive use or otherwise eliminated or mitigated their negative effects on the stability of the target geography?
Yes No N/A If no, did the grantee do its due diligence?



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Community Planning and Development

-
- (xv) **NSP3 only** - Did all gut rehabilitation or new construction of residential buildings up to three stories meet or exceed the Energy Star Qualified New Homes standard?
Yes No N/A if no, explain:

Explanation box

4. Program Income

- (i) Is there any program income on hand at the time the close out agreement is signed?
Yes No
- (ii) If yes, does the grantee understand that all rules and regulations that currently govern NSP Program Income will continue to apply to the funds on hand at the time of closeout?
Yes No



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Community Planning and Development

5. Monitoring and Audits

- (i) Does the grantee currently have an audit being performed on its grant funds?
Yes No If yes, explain:
- (ii) Are there any open Audit Findings?
Yes No If yes, explain:
- (iii) Did the grantee monitor review and/or audit subrecipients, state grant recipients and/or developers?
Yes No If no, explain:
- (iv) Are there any open HUD monitoring findings?
Yes No If yes, explain:

5(i) Grantee is currently undergoing an independent audit for all grants for calendar year 2017.
Explanation box

6. Reporting

- (i) Within 90 days of the execution by HUD of the closeout certification, will the final quarterly performance report (QPR) in DRGR be current and accurate?
Yes No N/A If no, explain:
- (ii) Did the grantee report on the name, location, and contact information for the entity that carried out each activity?
Yes No If no, explain:
- (iii) Does the RAMPS data system, as applicable, indicate that the environment review is complete?
Yes No N/A If no, explain:
- (iv) Did the grantee maintain sufficient documentation about the purchase and sale amounts of each property to ensure compliance with applicable NSP regulations?
Yes No If no, explain:

Explanation box

7. Certifications

- (i) Did the grantee adhere to all of the following certifications included in their NSP Substantial Amendment or NSP2 NOFA application?
Yes No If no, explain:

HUD Form 40179



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Community Planning and Development

States and Entitlement:

- Affirmative Furthering Fair Housing
- Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan
- Anti-lobbying
- Authority of jurisdiction or State
- Consistency with Plan
- Acquisition and Relocation
- Section 3
- Citizen Participation
- Following a Plan
- Use of Funds
- Excessive Force
- Compliance with anti-discrimination laws
- Compliance with lead-based paint procedures
- Compliance with laws
- Continued affordability

Nonentitlement Local Governments or Nonprofit, Consortium (Not Led By an Entitlement Community):

- Affirmative Furthering Fair Housing
- Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan
- Anti-lobbying
- Authority of jurisdiction
- Consistency with Plan
- Acquisition and Relocation
- Section 3
- Citizen Participation
- Following a Plan
- Use of Funds
- Excessive Force
- Compliance with anti-discrimination laws
- Compliance with lead-based paint procedures
- Compliance with laws
- Continued affordability

Explanation box

GRANTEE

By: _____
Ramon Garcia

Date
HUD Form 40179