

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2019-011-02-20-MEG

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|--------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets Criteria</i> | | TOTAL 19 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 9 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 8 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 22 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets Criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 22 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets Criteria</i> | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 93 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Hinojosa Engineers
 Evaluator: City of Granjeno-Yvette Cabrera Department: HC-UCP
 Project Name: Granjeno 2018 Public Facilities & Imp. Date: 4-23-19

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2019-011-02-20-MEG

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets All criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets All criteria</i> | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets All criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 4 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets All criteria</i> | | TOTAL 23 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets All criteria</i> | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 98 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Hinojosa Engineers

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, Assistant Director Department: HC-UCP

Project Name: Granjeno 2018 Public Facilities & Imp. Date: 4-16-19

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2019-011-02-20-MEG

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|--------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ | | |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: No telephone #'s provided for all references. | | TOTAL 23 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 98 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Hinojosa Engineering Inc.

Evaluator: Hector Garcia Department: Hidalgo County Purchasing

Project Name: City of Granjeno- Public Facility & Improvements Date: 4/24/19

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2019-011-02-20-MEG

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|---------------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 8 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 4 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs more information on Project Team</i> | | TOTAL <u>16</u> |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 8 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 8 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to elaborate on Resources</i> | | TOTAL <u>19</u> |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 2 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 2 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs more information on Similar Projects</i> | | TOTAL <u>15</u> |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 4 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needed a better Scope of Rules and Regulations</i> | | TOTAL <u>8</u> |
| Total Score | | 78 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Melden & Hunt, Inc.
 Evaluator: City of Granjeno-Yvette Cabrera Department: HC-UCP
 Project Name: Granjeno 2018 Public Facilities & Imp. Date: 4-23-19

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2019-011-02-20-MEG

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|--------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria needs to elaborate on applicable rules and regulations</i> | | TOTAL 24 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 0 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>the firm's needs to elaborate on past experience/knowledge of govt. rules and regulations and must include a narrative</i> | | TOTAL 3 |
| Total Score | | 92 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Melden & Hunt, Inc.

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, Assistant Director Department: HC-UCP

Project Name: Granjeno 2018 Public Facilities & Imp. Date: April 16, 2019

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2019-011-02-20-MEG

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ | | |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 25 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 10 |
| Total Score | | 100 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Melden & Hunt, Inc.

Evaluator: Hector Garcia Department: Hidalgo County Purchasing

Project Name: City of Granjeno- Public Facility & Improvements Date: 4/24/19

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2019-011-02-20-MEG

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|---------------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 8 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs more information on Qualifications</i> | | TOTAL <u>15</u> |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 7 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 7 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Need more elaboration on Project Team</i> | | TOTAL <u>17</u> |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets criteria</i> | | TOTAL <u>20</u> |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not find experience on Similar Project</i> | | TOTAL <u>15</u> |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 4 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 4 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL <u>8</u> |
| Total Score | | 75 |

Firm/Participant's Name: MEG Engineers
 Evaluator: City of Granjeno-Yvette Cabrera Department: HC-UCP
 Project Name: Granjeno 2018 Public Facilities & Imp. Date: 4-23-19

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2019-011-02-20-MEG

| Selection Criteria | Points | Score |
|--|--------|-------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i> | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to include additional information on Knowledge of Regs.</i> | | TOTAL 21 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 0 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must include additional information on firm's Knowledge of gov't. Regulations and a narrative on Applicable Rules & Regs.</i> | | TOTAL 3 |
| Total Score | | 89 |

Firm/Participant's Name: MEG Engineers

Evaluator: Antonio Barco, Assistant Director Department: HC-UCP

Project Name: Granjeno 2018 Public Facilities & Imp.

Date: April 16, 2019

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2019-011-02-20-MEG

| <u>Selection Criteria</u> | <u>Points</u> | <u>Score</u> |
|--|---------------|--------------------|
| 1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Registered and licenses to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract) | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| 2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work. | 10 | 10 |
| ➤ | | |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 25 |
| 3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s). | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Project Manager must have five (5) types of projects plus be 85-100 % involvement with technical development of project | 10 | 10 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: | | TOTAL 20 |
| 4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Demonstrate and understanding of the scope of services | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ. | 5 | 3 |
| ➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers. | 5 | 5 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Federal/State/ Local regulations and policies are not mentioned, organization wasn't clear enough. | | TOTAL 21 |
| 5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum) | | |
| ➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards and policies | 5 | 5 |
| ➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies | 5 | 3 |
| Comments/Rationale For Points: Did not include narrative. | | TOTAL 8 |
| Total Score | | 94 |

Firm/Participant's Name: Millennium Engineers Group

Evaluator: Hector Garcia Department: Hidalgo County Purchasing

Project Name: City of Granjeno- Public Facility & Improvements Date: 4/24/19

HIDALGO COUNTY
APR 24 2019 AM 8:45

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Yvette Cabrera,


Title/Position: City of Granjeno, Mayor

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Engineering Services

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. Hinojosa Engineering, Inc.
2. MEG Engineers
3. Melden & Hunt , Inc.

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.



Yvette Cabrera, Mayor
City of Granjeno

4-23-19

Date

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Antonio Barco,

Title/Position: Assistant Director

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Engineering Services

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. Hinojosa Engineering, Inc.

2. MEG Engineers

3. Melden & Hunt , Inc.

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.



Antonio Barco
Urban County Program

April 16, 2019
Date

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Hector Garcia

Title/Position: Contract Specialist I Purchasing Department

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Engineering Services

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. Hinojosa Engineering, Inc.
2. MEG Engineers
3. Melden & Hunt, Inc.

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.



Hector Garcia

4/24/19
Date