



Project CSJ: _____
 LG Name: _____
 LG Texas ID No. (TIN): _____
 District: _____
 Project Name: _____

Oversight Level Special Approval
Part A - LG Qualifications Statement
(Local Government Agency management of project development process elements)

Local government (LG) agencies may manage elements of the project development process (environmental, right-of-way acquisition, utility relocation, design/bid document preparation, letting and award, and construction/project close-out) with written TxDOT approval. This approval is typically provided by language in the Advance Funding Agreement executed by TxDOT and the local government agency. Part A (pages 1-4) of this form is required to be completed by LG personnel. Upon receipt of completed Part A, the TxDOT district will evaluate the LG's capabilities to manage one or more elements of a project using Part B (pages 5-8) for recommendation to the TxDOT's Deputy Executive Director.

Describe the following items for the proposed project or program	
Project limits, type of work and any significant elements	
Preliminary estimated project costs	
Anticipated Funding Sources	FHWA _____ % TxDOT _____ % Local _____ %

LG proposes to manage the following activities for this project	To be performed by LG with its own staff?	To be performed by consultant under contract with LG?
Environmental		
Right-of-way acquisition		
Utility relocation		
Design and bid document preparation		
Letting and award		
Construction oversight, inspection, documentation and project close-out		
Other _____		
Other _____		

Describe LG's approach to performing the proposed management services for this project

Project CSJ: _____
 LG Name: _____
 LG Texas ID No. (TIN): _____
 District: _____
 Project Name: _____

In evaluating a LG request to manage elements of the project development process for projects on the State Highway System or which include TxDOT and/or FHWA funding, 43 TAC §15.52 requires TxDOT to consider five criteria. The LG is to provide information requested in Items 1 and 2 below. TxDOT district personnel will complete information on the remainder of the evaluation criteria in Part B (pages 5-8).

1. Previous experience of the LG in performing the type of work proposed

<p>Attach an audited financial statement of Local Government Agency for most recent fiscal year.</p> <p>If TxDOT already has a copy of a Single Audit report or other audited financial statement for a recent year insert the fiscal year in the box to the right (submittal of an additional audit is not required).</p>	
--	--

Please provide information on up to two similar, completed projects managed or performed by the LG in the past 5 years.

PROJECT A

Name of previously completed project				
Describe type of work				
Describe any complex items of work				
Construction cost	Estimated:		Actual:	
Letting date	Scheduled:		Actual:	
Contract time	Scheduled:		Actual:	
LG management activities performed by LG personnel				
LG management activities performed by consultants				
Name of current LG employee contact who worked on project			Phone #	
			Email	

Project CSJ: _____
 LG Name: _____
 LG Texas ID No. (TIN): _____
 District: _____
 Project Name: _____

PROJECT B

Name of previously completed project				
Describe type of work				
Describe any complex items of work				
Construction cost	Estimated:		Actual:	
Letting date	Scheduled:		Actual:	
Contract time	Scheduled:		Actual:	
LG management activities performed by LG personnel				
LG management activities performed by consultants				
Name of current LG employee contact who worked on project			Phone #	
			Email	

2. The capability of the LG to perform the type of work proposed or to award and manage a contract for that work in a timely manner, consistent with federal, state, and Department regulations, standards, and specifications

Please describe the LG's proposed personnel.

Name of person to serve in the position of Responsible Person in Charge		Position/Title	
---	--	----------------	--

- a. Must be full-time employee of LG;
- b. Must be able to administer project activities (cost, time, scope, adherence to contract requirements, construction quality, etc.);
- c. Must maintain familiarity with day-to-day project operations (including project safety);
- d. Must make or participate in decisions about change orders or supplemental agreements;
- e. Must visit and review the project regularly;
- f. Must review financial processes, transactions and documentation; and
- g. Must direct his/her project staff (agency or consultant) at all stages of the project.

Project CSJ: _____
 LG Name: _____
 LG Texas ID No. (TIN): _____
 District: _____
 Project Name: _____

Name of person to serve as Project Manager		Position/Title	
---	--	-----------------------	--

- a. Responsible for daily oversight of the project;
- b. Primary point of communication with TxDOT for day-to-day matters;
- c. May be same person as RPIC; and
- d. May be local government employee or consultant.

Project Manager's previous experience on projects of similar type, complexity and cost	
Project Manager's previous experience on TxDOT and/or FHWA-funded projects	

Name of person to serve in the position of Qualified Person		Position/Title	
--	--	-----------------------	--

- a. Must have completed TxDOT-required LGPP training prior to execution of AFA;
- b. May be same person as RPIC or PM; and
- c. May be LG employee or consultant.

Qualified Person's previous experience on projects of similar type, complexity and cost	
Qualified Person's previous experience on TxDOT and/or FHWA-funded projects	

Information submitted by:

_____ LG representative signature

_____ Date

_____ LG representative printed name

_____ LG representative title

Project CSJ: _____
 LG Name: _____
 LG Texas ID No. (TIN): _____
 District: _____
 Project Name: _____

Oversight Level Special Approval
Part B - TxDOT Evaluation and Special Approval of LG Qualifications
*(Local Government Agency management
of project development process elements)*

Local government (LG) agencies may manage elements of the project development process (environmental, right-of-way acquisition, utility relocation, design/bid document preparation, letting and award, and construction/project close-out) with written TxDOT approval. This approval is typically provided by language in the Advance Funding Agreement executed by TxDOT and the LG. Completion of Part A - LG Qualifications Statement and this Part B - TxDOT Evaluation and Special Approval of LG Qualifications are required for TxDOT to authorize the LG to manage one or more elements of a project.

In approving a LG request to manage elements of the project development process for projects on the State Highway System or with TxDOT and/or FHWA funding, 43 TAC §15.52 requires TxDOT to consider five criteria. TxDOT district personnel shall review Part A (pages 1-4), provide supplemental information by fully completing Part B (pages 5-8), and, based upon a determination of the adequacy of the LG's project delivery systems and accounting controls, recommend an appropriate role for the LG in project delivery and set a minimum oversight level on page 7 of this form.

43 TAC §15.52 regulations require the approval of the Executive Director (or authorized designee) for the LG to manage projects in the following cases:

	Applies
Any project on the State Highway System that improves freeway mainlanes	
A roadway improvement project that is to be on the State Highway System for which less than 50 percent of the funds come from sources other than federal or state highway funding	

1. Department resources available to perform or manage the highway improvement project in an efficient and timely manner

<p>Describe any Department resource constraints that suggest the LG management of project development activities is in the best interest of TxDOT and the LG.</p>	
---	--

2A. LG's previous experience in performing the type of work proposed

In Section 1 of Part A (beginning on page 2), the LG submitted information on up to two similar projects completed in the past 5 years performed by the LG or by consultants under contract to the LG. Please rate if these previous projects are comparable or not comparable to the proposed new project.

	Yes/No
Project A Comparable	
Project B Comparable	

TxDOT Risk Rating of LG Agency: _____
(for definition of ratings, see page 8)

Date of Rating: _____

2B. District evaluation of LG capability to perform type of work proposed based on past projects identified above or other previous projects with TxDOT and/or FHWA funding

Please describe the LG's performance.

Project CSJ: _____
 LG Name: _____
 LG Texas ID No. (TIN): _____
 District: _____
 Project Name: _____

a. Timeliness and quality in acquisition of right of way	
b. Timeliness and quality in relocation of utilities	
c. Timeliness and quality of preparing environmental documents and obtaining required permits and clearances	
d. Timeliness and quality of project design and developing contract documents	
e. Timeliness and quality of letting and awarding construction contract	
f. Quality of performance in managing construction contractor and contract change orders	
g. Quality of performance in project inspection and documentation during construction	
h. Timeliness and quality of reimbursement requests throughout project	
i. Timeliness and quality of project close-out after project acceptance	
j. Timeliness of submitting supplemental funding when required	
k. Timeliness and adequacy in responding to audits	

3. Percentage of total project costs provided by the local government

	<i>Total</i>	<i>Federal</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Local</i>	
List the estimated distribution of project costs.	Environmental (\$)	_____	_____	_____	_____
	Right of Way (\$)	_____	_____	_____	_____
	Engineering (\$)	_____	_____	_____	_____
	Construction (\$)	_____	_____	_____	_____
	Direct State Costs (\$)	_____	_____	_____	_____
	Indirect State Costs (\$)	_____	_____	_____	_____
	Total (\$)	_____	_____	_____	_____
	Total (%)	_____	_____	_____	_____

Project CSJ: _____
 LG Name: _____
 LG Texas ID No. (TIN): _____
 District: _____
 Project Name: _____

4. Cost effectiveness of local performance of the work as compared to awarding the highway improvement project through TxDOT's competitive bidding process

On federally funded projects, FHWA holds TxDOT entirely responsible for successful project delivery, including the proper acquisition of right of way, utility relocation, environmental, design, construction, and project close-out. LG management of the project requires the LG performance of activities plus TxDOT oversight to assure compliance with all federal and state requirements.

Explain why it is advantageous for successful delivery of this project for the LG to manage the proposed elements of project development.	
--	--

5. Any other considerations relating to the benefit of the state, the traveling public, and the operations of the Department

Please list any additional items to be considered in TxDOT's evaluation that are of benefit to the state, the traveling public, and the operations of the Department to allow the LG to manage the proposed elements of the project development process.	
---	--

Special approval for LG to manage the following items:

Environmental	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROW acquisition	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Design/PS&E	<input type="checkbox"/>	Utility relocation	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>
Letting & award	<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>
				None	<input type="checkbox"/>

Based upon review of Part A and completion of Part B, District determines the LG has adequate project delivery systems and sufficient accounting controls. Therefore, I (District Engineer) recommend Deputy Executive Director provide special approval of the LG performing the above marked project delivery items. The District commits to providing an appropriate level of oversight of LG activities to maximize compliance with applicable federal and state laws and regulations. District will provide: Level 1 , Level 2 , Level 3 oversight, as defined on page 8.

Recommendation for approval:

 District Engineer signature

 Date

Special approval:

 Deputy Executive Director
 signature

 Date

Project CSJ: _____
 LG Name: _____
 LG Texas ID No. (TIN): _____
 District: _____
 Project Name: _____

Local Government Projects – Risk Assessment

LG Risk Rating Definitions

Low level of risk to TxDOT	A
Moderate level of risk to TxDOT	B
High level of risk to TxDOT	C
Unacceptable level of risk to TxDOT	D

Oversight Levels

Level 1 Oversight – Relatively Low Risk Non-construction Projects and Very Low Risk Construction Projects

Level 2 Oversight – Higher Risk Non-construction Projects and Low to Moderate Risk Construction Projects

Level 3 Oversight – Higher Risk Construction Projects

TxDOT District Oversight Activity ¹	Minimum Frequency ²		
	Level of Oversight		
	1	2	3
LG submit and TxDOT review project reports	Quarterly	Monthly	Monthly
TxDOT host project review/coordination meetings with LG	Quarterly	Monthly	Semi-monthly
TxDOT conduct worksite/project site visits	Annually ³	Monthly	Weekly
TxDOT review LG project documentation/records	Annually ³	Monthly	Monthly
LG submit and TxDOT review and approve reimbursement requests	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly

¹ Refer to TxDOT LG Project Management Guide for additional detail on oversight activities

² Greater frequency may be at District discretion

³ Minimum of two times