

City of Hidalgo
Professional Engineering Services
Flood Drainage Improvements Project
Years 31 & 32 (2018 & 2019)
Grading-Ranking Grid

Criteria	Javier Hinojosa Engineering			Melden & Hunt, Inc.			STRADA Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Staffing of Project of Team	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	18	18
Experience of Project Team	25	25	21	25	25	25	25	15	23
Methodology	20	20	19	20	20	20	20	18	20
Understanding of Project	25	23	25	10	21	25	25	19	24
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	6	10	10	6	10	10	10	10
TOTALS	100	94	95	85	92	100	90	80	95
AVERAGES	289 % 3 = 96.33%			277 % 3 = 92.34%			265 % 3 = 88.34%		
RATING									

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All qualifications in Appendix</i>		TOTAL 0 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Everything Included</i>		TOTAL 0 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Everything Included</i>		TOTAL 0 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Everything Included</i>		TOTAL 0 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Good Company, Familiar with city layout, prior project experience, readily available</i>		TOTAL 0 100
Total Score		0

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa

Project Name: Hidalgo flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Evaluator: Robert Segura

Date: 8/27/2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all Criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all Criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets Most Criteria - Must elaborate on Appropriate Federal, State + Local Regs.</i>		TOTAL 0 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must elaborate on past experience of govt. Regs. and Applicable Regulations</i>		TOTAL 0 6
Total Score		94

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Project Name: Hidalgo Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Evaluator: Antonio Barco

Date: 8-28-2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Certification included; registration confirmed, now expires on 12/31/2020; no "training on the job";		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	3
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: Organizational chart was provided, but not qualifications of the rest of the team.		TOTAL 21
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: Project manager on organizational chart differs from PM detailed in response; PM in response is experienced.		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: All criteria met; extensive list of project experience; packet is organized.		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Extensive list of projects reflecting experience.		TOTAL 10
Total Score		95

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Project Name: Hidalgo Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Purchasing

Evaluator: 

Date: 09/10/2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All included</i>		TOTAL 0 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All Included</i>		TOTAL 0 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All Included</i>		TOTAL 0 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	0
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	0
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	0
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All Included</i>		TOTAL 0 10
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Lowest Company has done similar work for city before.</i>		TOTAL 0 10
Total Score		0 85

Firm/Participant's Name: Malden & Hunt, INC.

Project Name: Hidalgo Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Evaluator: Robert Segura

Date: 8/22/2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to elaborate on Rules + Regulation Knowledge and More RFQ responsive</i>		TOTAL 0 21
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to be more responsive to rules + Regulations and Narrative</i>		TOTAL 0 6
Total Score		92

Firm/Participant's Name: Melden & Hunt, Inc.

Project Name: Hidalgo Flood Drainage Improvements Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program


Evaluator: Antonio Baucó Date: 8-28-2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: A number of team members are licensed through TBPELS; certified through 2021.		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Resumes provided for key personnel detailing qualifications and experience.		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Detailed work program provided outlining each phase.		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: References and key projects included similar work as project; demonstrated knowledge and experience.		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Demonstrated qualifications, knowledge and experience to satisfy regulatory requirements.		TOTAL 10
Total Score		100

Firm/Participant's Name: Melden & Hunt, Inc.

Project Name: Hidalgo County Drainage Improvements Department: Purchasing

Evaluator:  Date: 09/09/2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>All Qualifications in Proposal</i>		TOTAL 0 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>all included</i>		TOTAL 0 15
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>all included</i>		TOTAL 0 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>all included</i>		TOTAL 0 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Scheduling and timeline issues</i>		TOTAL 0 10
Total Score		90

Firm/Participant's Name: STRADA Engineering

Project Name: Hidalgo Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Evaluator: Robert Segura

Date: 8/27/2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to elaborate on Team experience</i>		TOTAL 0 18
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	2
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Failed to Completely and Efficiently perform work</i>		TOTAL 0 15
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets Most of Criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	3
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to understand the need for information + Scope of Services</i>		TOTAL 0 19
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 10
Total Score		80 0

Firm/Participant's Name: STRADA Group Developing Solutions

Project Name: Hidalgo Flood Drainage Improvements

Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Evaluator: Antonio Barco

Date: 9-20-2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Certification not attached even though the packet says it is; registration search: active - note as per TBPELS: PE# will expire on Sept. 30, 2020. Response provides information we're looking for.		TOTAL 18
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: Team composition allows for a minimum of 50% commitment, up to 100% as needed; reporting capabilities not explained in detail.		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: Efficiency and production while promoting public welfare; PE currently active; PM meets minimum requirements		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Examples of projects given demonstrates experience and competence; POC info readily available and response is concise and well organized.		TOTAL 24
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Past experience projects samples showcase different capabilities;		TOTAL 10
Total Score		95

Firm/Participant's Name: STRADA Engineering

Project Name: Hidalgo Flood Drainage Improvements Department: Purchasing

Evaluator:  Date: 09/09/2020

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Antonio Barco

Title/Position: UCP Assistant Director

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Engineering Services

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. Javier Hinojosa Engineering
2. Melden & Hunt , Inc.
3. STRADA Group

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.



Antonio Barco, UCP Asst. Director

8.31-2020
Date

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Robert Segura


Title/Position: Assistant Manager

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Engineering Services

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. Javier Hinojosa Engineering
2. Melden & Hunt , Inc.
3. STRADA Group

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.



Robert Segura, Asst. Manager

9/1/2020
Date

**“Professional Engineering Services - Pool”
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO**

No Conflict Disclosure Form

Name of Government Officer/Employee:

Betsy Roque

Title/Position:


Contracts Management Division Manager

Name of Vendors contracting with or seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. Javier Hinojosa Engineering
2. Melden & Hunt Engineering
3. STRADA Engineering

In my review, grading/evaluating, selection, and/or approval of the above-identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family, or gifts exceeding \$100) in connection with the above-identified vendors as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.

Signed:



Date:

09/10/2020
