

Sullivan City
Professional Engineering Services
2020 Street Improvement Project
Program Year 33, 2020
Grading-Ranking Grid

Criteria	CSJ Group			M2 Engineering			Meg Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Staffing of Project of Team	20	20	19	16	20	19	15	20	20
Experience of Project Team	25	25	19	20	25	21	19	20	24
Methodology	20	20	16	16	20	20	16	20	20
Understanding of Project	25	21	23	24	25	24	15	23	23
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	3	8	9	5	8	5	3	9
TOTALS	100	89	85	85	95	92	70	86	96
AVERAGES	274 % 3 = 91.33%			272 % 3 = 90.66%			252% 3 = 84.00%		
RATING	91.33%			90.66%			84.00%		

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 10
Total Score		0 100

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ GROUP

Project Name: 2020 SUNSULLIVAN CITY STREET IMPROVEMENTS Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Evaluator: [Signature] Veronica Gutierrez Date: 9-28-2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to elaborate on knowledge of Federal, State + local Regs. and Policies.</i>		TOTAL 21
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not include narrative of Rules and Applicable Rules and Regulations, guidelines.</i>		TOTAL 3
Total Score		89

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ Group

Project Name: Sullivan City 2020 St Imp. Department: HC UCP

Evaluator: ANTONIO ZARCO Date: 9-29-2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 19
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	7
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 19
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: I was unable to find similar work on street improvements		TOTAL 0 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 8
Total Score		0 85

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ GROUP

Project Name: Sullivan City 2020 Street Improvement Project

Department: Purchasing

Evaluator: Yohan L. Daquer

Date: 09/28/2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 16
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 24
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 9
Total Score		85

Firm/Participant's Name: MZ ENGINEERING

Project Name: 2020 SULLIVAN CITY STREET IMPROVEMENTS Department: Hidalgo County Urban County Program

Evaluator: [Signature] Venica Gutierrez Date: 9-28-2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 25
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not narrative on applicable rules and Regulations</i>		TOTAL 5
Total Score		95

Firm/Participant's Name: M2 Engineering

Project Name: Sullivan City 2020 St Imp. Department: HC UCP

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Date: 9-29-2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 19
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 21
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Able to locate similar projects related to street improvements		TOTAL 0 24
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 0 8
Total Score		0 92

Firm/Participant's Name: M2 ENGINEERING

Project Name: Sullivan City 2020 Street Improvement Project

Department: Purchasing

Evaluator: *Volante D. Lopez*

Date: 09/28/2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 15
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	3
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	4
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	3
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 15
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 5
Total Score		70

Firm/Participant's Name: MEG ENGINEERS

Project Name: 2020 SANSULLIVAN CITY STREET IMPROVEMENTS Department: HIDALGO COUNTY URBAN COUNTY PROGRAM

Evaluator: [Signature] Veronica Gutierrez Date: 9-28-2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria on list + 2nd item, but most experience is in testing</i>		TOTAL 20
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets most criteria, but must address applicable rules + regulations.</i>		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Narrative on Did not include applicable rules + regulations</i>		TOTAL 3
Total Score		86

Firm/Participant's Name: MEG Engineering

Project Name: Sullivan City 2020 St Imp. Department: HC UCP

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Date: 9-29-2020

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 24
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>extensive projects</i>		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 9
Total Score		96

Firm/Participant's Name: MEG ENGINEERING

Project Name: Sullivan City 2020 Street Improvement Project

Department: Purchasing

Evaluator: *Yolanda D. Lopez*

Date: 09/28/2020

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee:

Veronica Gutierrez

Title/Position:

Interim City Manager / City Secretary

Contract for Goods/Services:


2020 Street Improvement Project

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. CSJ Group
2. M2 Engineering
3. MEG Engineers
4. _____

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.

Signed:

Veronica 

Date:

9/18/2020

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Antonio Barco

Title/Position: UCP Assistant Director

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Engineering Services

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. CSJ Group

2. M2 Engineering

3. MEG Engineering

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.



Antonio Barco, UCP Assistant Director

8-31-2020
Date

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE
FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Yolanda Z. Velasquez

Title/Position: Contract Specialist II

Contract for Goods/Services: Engineering Services-Sullivan City 2020 Street Improvement Project

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. CSJ GROUP
2. M2 ENGINEERING
3. MEG ENGINEERING
4. _____

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.

Signed:

Date:

Yolanda Z. Velasquez

09/28/2020