

City of Edcouch  
Professional Engineering Services  
Street Improvements Project  
Years 33 (2020)  
Grading-Ranking Grid

Criteria	CSJ Group			Landtech			Building Transportation Infrastructure, Inc.		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Staffing of Project of Team	20	17	20	9	19	20	17	16	18
Experience of Project Team	25	19	25	11	25	25	13	14	22
Methodology	20	19	20	9	20	20	9	17	20
Understanding of Project	25	22	23	11	25	19	18	22	19
Familiarity with Applicable Rules & Regulations	10	4	4	4	10	3	4	10	3
<b>TOTALS</b>	100	81	92	44	99	87	61	79	82
<b>AVERAGES</b>	$273 / 3 = 91.00$			$230 / 3 = 76.67$			$222 / 3 = 74.00$		
<b>RATING</b>									

**EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 25
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
<b>Total Score</b>		0

100

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ

Project Name: 2020 Edcouch Street Improvements Department: Urban County Program

Evaluator: Mary Hernandez Date: 10/8/20  
City of Edcouch

**EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: After applying an objective standard this firm lacked in organizational charts and description of Team qualifications.		<b>TOTAL</b> 17
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	4
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	6
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
After applying an objective standard I deducted points, because the firm lacked clarity on identification/		
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 19
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: After applying an objective standard the firm did will on proving the above requirements.		<b>TOTAL</b> 19
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: After applying an objective standard to the firm scored well however they lacked specific details and full explanations.		<b>TOTAL</b> 22
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	2
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points: The firm lacked full detail and explanation of the regulations, codes, guidelines, and policies.		<b>TOTAL</b> 4
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>81</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ Group

Project Name: 2020 Edcouch Street Improvements (Paving Overlay) Project Department: Urban county

Evaluator: Jaimie Rivas Date: 10/09/2020

**EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Needs to elaborate on Appropriate Federal, State &amp; Local Regs. and Policies. Be more RFQ Responsive.</i>		TOTAL 0 23
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	4
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Failed to Submit Narrative on Appropriate and Applicable rules and Regulations.</i>		TOTAL 0 4
<b>Total Score</b>		0 92

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ

Project Name: 2020 Edcouch Street Improvements Department: Urban County Program

Evaluator: Antonio Lopez Date: 10-9-2020

**EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	5
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	2
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 9</b>
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	2
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	5
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 11</b>
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	2
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 10</b> <i>9.5</i>
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	2
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	2
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	2
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	2
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 11</b>
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	2
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL 4</b>
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>0</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Landtech

Project Name: 2020 Edcouch Street Improvements Department: Urban County Program

Evaluator: Manny Hernandez  
City of Edcouch

Date: 10/8/20

*45 449*

**EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: The firm did a great job on identifying all team members qualification, but could have done better on organization.		<b>TOTAL</b> 19
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: The firm did a great job on all points in identifying all criteria.		<b>TOTAL</b> 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: The firm did a great Job on hitting on all points.		<b>TOTAL</b> 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: The firm provided great detail on the scope of services, local regulations, and it was very organized.		<b>TOTAL</b> 25
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: The firm did an excellent job proving their knowledge of policies, guidelines, standards, and their knowledge of the above.		<b>TOTAL</b> 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>99</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Landtech Inc.

Project Name: 2020 Edcouch Street Improvements (Paving Overlay) Project Department: Urban County

Evaluator: Jaime Rivas Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 20
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 25
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must elaborate on items 2, 3 &amp; 4</i>		TOTAL 0 19
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not include a NARRATIVE on Applicable Rules and Regulations</i>		TOTAL 0 3
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>87</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Landtech

Project Name: 2020 Edcouch Street Improvements Department: Urban County Program

Evaluator: Antonio Zarco Date: 10-9-2020

**EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO**

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 17
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	3
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	5
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 13
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	3
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 9
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	2
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	2
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 4
<b>Total Score</b>		6

Firm/Participant's Name: BTI, Inc.

Project Name: 2020 Edcouch Street Improvements Department: Urban County Program

Evaluator: Manny Hernandez Date: 10/08/20  
City of Edcouch

**EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: <small>I used an objective standard and totality of the circumstances in comparing them with two other firms. The points were deducted for not satisfying the requirements for reasons such as lack of clarity and explanations.</small>		<b>TOTAL</b> 16
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	2
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	4
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: <small>I used an objective standard and totality of the circumstances in comparing them with two other firms. Here the firm lacked to identify the responsibilities in a clear and precise manner.</small>		<b>TOTAL</b> 14
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	2
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <small>I used an objective standard and totality of the circumstances in comparing them with two other firms. The firm lacked explanation of their methodology and planning procedure.</small>		<b>TOTAL</b> 17
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	4
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <small>After applying an objective standard I based the points provided by whether the firm provided a clear understanding of the requirements of the RFQ.</small>		<b>TOTAL</b> 22
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		<b>TOTAL</b> 10
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>79</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: Building Transportation Infrastructure, Inc.

Project Name: 2020 Edcouch Street Improvements (Paving Overlay) Project Department: Urban County

Evaluator: Jaime Rivas Date: 10/09/2020

**EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"**  
**PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL**  
**RFQ No. 2020-011-01-29-HGO**

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Team members don't seem to have the proper Qualifications</i>		TOTAL 0 18
<b>2. Experience of Project Team/Ability to Commit Resources</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form	5	5
➤ Identifying the project composition, project leadership, reporting responsibilities	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Team Members don't seem to have the proper Qualifications</i>		TOTAL 0 22
<b>3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Project Manager</b> (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and must be included in Appendix	5	5
➤ Project Manager must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Meets all criteria</i>		TOTAL 0 20
<b>4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects</b> (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services	5	5
➤ Address appropriate Federal/ State/ Local regulations and policies. Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify information to be gathered or obtained/proposed approach to complete the scope	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information including contact persons and telephone numbers.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Must elaborate on items 2, 3 &amp; 4</i>		TOTAL 0 19
<b>5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations</b> (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate passed experience of the proposed Firm knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guideline, standards, and policies	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: <i>Did not include narrative of applicable rules and regulations</i>		TOTAL 0 3
<b>Total Score</b>		<b>82</b>

Firm/Participant's Name: BTI, Inc.

Project Name: 2020 Edgouch Street Improvements Department: Urban County Program

Evaluator: Antonio BARCO Date: 10-9-2020

## NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: City of Edcouch

Title/Position: Asst City Manager

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Engineering Services – City of Edcouch Street Improvements 2020


Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with City of Edcouch:

1. CSJ Group

2. LandTech

3. B. T. I. Inc

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Manny Hernandez  
City of Edcouch

10-14-20  
Date

**NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM**

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Hidalgo County Purchase Department / Jaime Rivas

Title/Position: Contract Specialist I

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Engineering Services – Edcouch -2020 Street Improvements Project

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. CSJ Group

2. Landtech

3. BTI, Inc.

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.

Jaime Rivas  
Jaime Rivas

10/16/2020  
Date

**NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM**

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Urban County Program- Antonio Barco

Title/Position: Assistant Director

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Engineering Services – Edcouch -2020 Street Improvements Project

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. CSJ Group

2. Landtech

3. BTI, Inc.

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Antonio Barco  
Urban County Program

10-9-2020  
Date