

*C S J*

**EVALUATION FORM**

RE: RFQ No. 2020-565-03-10-HGO  
Professional Engineering Services

Evaluator: Jaine "JD" Daniel Colez  
Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 1/10/22

Project Name: 2021 Penitas Street Improvements Project Department: Purchasing

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
<b>1. RFQ RESPONSIVENESS (2 points)</b>		
➤ Response is clear, concise, well-organized and followed the instructions listed in the Procurement Overview section of the procurement packet.	2	2
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	2
<b>2. UNDERSTANDING THE PROJECT (22 points)</b>		
➤ Firm demonstrates a thorough understanding of the project through their Scope of Services.	5	5
➤ Firm addresses their understanding in a clear and concise narrative that outlines their knowledge in appropriate federal, state and local government regulations, codes, guidelines, professional standards, and other policies related to the specified project.	7	7
➤ Firm identifies other successful projects of similar size and scope.	5	5
➤ Firm has identified information to be gathered or obtained and proposed an approach to complete the Scope of Work.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	22
<b>3. FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS (18 points)</b>		
➤ Firm is registered and licensed to practice in the state of Texas, and has been verified through the Texas Board of Profession Engineers and Land Surveyors.	5	5
➤ Firm includes an organization chart, and a description of the proposed project team organization dedicated to this project, identifies key technical staff, their names and titles.	10	10
➤ Firm provides proof of all licenses and certifications for each proposed staff member.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies their Affirmative Action Program.	3	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	28
<b>4. EXPERIENCE AND RECENT PROJECTS (27 points)</b>		
➤ Firm includes biographic summaries/resumes for each proposed staff member that highlight their relevant experience specific to the project within the last 12 consecutive months, and their role in each project.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies at least one (1) Licensed Professional Engineer with an educational background and/or strong experience in the scope of the project that is part of the proposed team dedicated to this project who has previously led or been a part of at least two (2) projects of similar size and scope.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies two (2) different technical staff members with a minimum of five (5) and ten (10) years' experience.	7	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	24
<b>5. LEADERSHIP AND ABILITY (21 points)</b>		
➤ Firm identifies their commitment and ability to commence services immediately after successfully negotiating a contract for services.	3	3
➤ Firm identifies proposed project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	5	5
➤ Firm has demonstrated competency and experience in the project specified.	3	3
➤ Firm commits at least one Project Manager with experience in two (2) different areas of specialties, plus be 50% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	4
➤ Firm commits at least one (1) Project Manager with experience in five (5) areas of specialties, plus be 85-100% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	20
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>96</b>

# EVALUATION FORM

RE: RFQ No. 2020-565-03-10-HGO  
Professional Engineering Services

Evaluator: Adelgado Barco  
Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 1-11-2022  
Department: HC-UCP

Project Name: 2021 Penitas Street Improvements  
Evaluated Firm: CSJ Group

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
<b>1. RFQ RESPONSIVENESS (2 points)</b>		
➤ Response is clear, concise, well-organized and followed the instructions listed in the Procurement Overview section of the procurement packet	2	1
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>2. UNDERSTANDING THE PROJECT (22 points)</b>		
➤ Firm demonstrates a thorough understanding of the project through their Scope of Services.	5	5
➤ Firm addresses their understanding in a clear and concise narrative that outlines their knowledge in appropriate federal, state and local government regulations, codes, guidelines, professional standards, and other policies related to the specified project.	7	6
➤ Firm identifies other successful projects of similar size and scope.	5	5
➤ Firm has identified information to be gathered or obtained and proposed an approach to complete the Scope of Work.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>21</b>
<b>3. FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS (28 points)</b>		
➤ Firm is registered and licensed to practice in the state of Texas, and has been verified through the Texas Board of Profession Engineers and Land Surveyors.	5	5
➤ Firm includes an organization chart, and a description of the proposed project team organization dedicated to this project, identifies key technical staff, their names and titles.	10	10
➤ Firm provides proof of all licenses and certifications for each proposed staff member.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies their Affirmative Action Program.	3	0
Comments/Rationale for points:		25
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>25</b>
<b>4 EXPERIENCE AND RECENT PROJECTS (27 points)</b>		
➤ Firm includes biographic summaries/resumes for each proposed staff member that highlight their relevant experience specific to the project within the last 12 consecutive months, and their role in each project.	10	8
➤ Firm identifies at least one (1) Licensed Professional Engineer (PE) with an educational background and/or strong experience in the scope of the project that is part of the proposed team dedicated to this project who has previously led or been a part of at least two (2) projects of similar size and scope.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies two (2) different technical staff members with a minimum of five (5) and ten (10) years' experience. Comments/Rationale for points:	7	5
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>23</b>
<b>5. LEADERSHIP AND ABILITY (21 points)</b>		
➤ Firm identifies their commitment and ability to commence services immediately after successfully negotiating a contract for services.	3	3
➤ Firm identifies proposed project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	5	5
➤ Firm has demonstrated competency and experience in the project specified.	3	3
➤ Firm commits at least one Project Manager with experience in two (2) different areas of specialties, plus be 50% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
➤ Firm commits at least one (1) Project Manager with experience in five (5) areas of specialties, plus be 85-100% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>21</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>91</b>

## EVALUATION FORM

RE: RFQ No. 2020-565-03-10-HGO  
Professional Engineering Services

Evaluator: Yenny Espinoza  
Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 1/14/22  
Department: HC-UCP

Project Name: 2021 Penitas Street Improvements  
Evaluated Firm: CSJ Group

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
<b>1. RFQ RESPONSIVENESS (2 points)</b>		
➤ Response is clear, concise, well-organized and followed the instructions listed in the Procurement Overview section of the procurement packet	2	2
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>2</b>
<b>2. UNDERSTANDING THE PROJECT (22 points)</b>		
➤ Firm demonstrates a thorough understanding of the project through their Scope of Services.	5	4
➤ Firm addresses their understanding in a clear and concise narrative that outlines their knowledge in appropriate federal, state and local government regulations, codes, guidelines, professional standards, and other policies related to the specified project.	7	7
➤ Firm identifies other successful projects of similar size and scope.	5	5
➤ Firm has identified information to be gathered or obtained and proposed an approach to complete the Scope of Work.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>21</b>
<b>3. FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS (28 points)</b>		
➤ Firm is registered and licensed to practice in the state of Texas, and has been verified through the Texas Board of Profession Engineers and Land Surveyors.	5	5
➤ Firm includes an organization chart, and a description of the proposed project team organization dedicated to this project, identifies key technical staff, their names and titles.	10	10
➤ Firm provides proof of all licenses and certifications for each proposed staff member.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies their Affirmative Action Program.	3	3
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>28</b>
<b>4 EXPERIENCE AND RECENT PROJECTS (27 points)</b>		
➤ Firm includes biographic summaries/resumes for each proposed staff member that highlight their relevant experience specific to the project within the last 12 consecutive months, and their role in each project.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies at least one (1) Licensed Professional Engineer (PE) with an educational background and/or strong experience in the scope of the project that is part of the proposed team dedicated to this project who has previously led or been a part of at least two (2) projects of similar size and scope.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies two (2) different technical staff members with a minimum of five (5) and ten (10) years' experience. Comments/Rationale for points:	7	7
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>27</b>
<b>5. LEADERSHIP AND ABILITY (21 points)</b>		
➤ Firm identifies their commitment and ability to commence services immediately after successfully negotiating a contract for services.	3	3
➤ Firm identifies proposed project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	5	5
➤ Firm has demonstrated competency and experience in the project specified.	3	3
➤ Firm commits at least one Project Manager with experience in two (2) different areas of specialties, plus be 50% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	4
➤ Firm commits at least one (1) Project Manager with experience in five (5) areas of specialties, plus be 85-100% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>18</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>90</b>

## NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Antonio Barco

Title/Position: Assistant Director

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Services

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. CSJ Group

2. Izaguirre Engineering LLC

3. m2 Engineering

4. N/A

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.

Signed:



Date:

1-11-2022

## EVALUATION FORM

RE: RFQ No. 2020-565-03-10-HGO  
Professional Engineering Services

Evaluator: Yenny Espinoza  
Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 1/14/22  
Department: HC -UCP

Project Name: 2021 Penitas Street Improvements  
Evaluated Firm: M2 Engineering

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
<b>1. RFQ RESPONSIVENESS (2 points)</b>		
➤ Response is clear, concise, well-organized and followed the instructions listed in the Procurement Overview section of the procurement packet	2	2
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		2
<b>2. UNDERSTANDING THE PROJECT (22 points)</b>		
➤ Firm demonstrates a thorough understanding of the project through their Scope of Services.	5	4
➤ Firm addresses their understanding in a clear and concise narrative that outlines their knowledge in appropriate federal, state and local government regulations, codes, guidelines, professional standards, and other policies related to the specified project.	7	7
➤ Firm identifies other successful projects of similar size and scope.	5	5
➤ Firm has identified information to be gathered or obtained and proposed an approach to complete the Scope of Work.	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		20
<b>3. FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS (28 points)</b>		
➤ Firm is registered and licensed to practice in the state of Texas, and has been verified through the Texas Board of Profession Engineers and Land Surveyors.	5	5
➤ Firm includes an organization chart, and a description of the proposed project team organization dedicated to this project, identifies key technical staff, their names and titles.	10	10
➤ Firm provides proof of all licenses and certifications for each proposed staff member.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies their Affirmative Action Program.	3	3
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		28
<b>4 EXPERIENCE AND RECENT PROJECTS (27 points)</b>		
➤ Firm includes biographic summaries/resumes for each proposed staff member that highlight their relevant experience specific to the project within the last 12 consecutive months, and their role in each project.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies at least one (1) Licensed Professional Engineer (PE) with an educational background and/or strong experience in the scope of the project that is part of the proposed team dedicated to this project who has previously led or been a part of at least two (2) projects of similar size and scope.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies two (2) different technical staff members with a minimum of five (5) and ten (10) years' experience. Comments/Rationale for points:	7	7
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		27
<b>5. LEADERSHIP AND ABILITY (21 points)</b>		
➤ Firm identifies their commitment and ability to commence services immediately after successfully negotiating a contract for services.	3	2
➤ Firm identifies proposed project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	5	4
➤ Firm has demonstrated competency and experience in the project specified.	3	2
➤ Firm commits at least one Project Manager with experience in two (2) different areas of specialties, plus be 50% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
➤ Firm commits at least one (1) Project Manager with experience in five (5) areas of specialties, plus be 85-100% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		18
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>95</b>

## EVALUATION FORM

RE: RFQ No. 2020-565-03-10-HGO  
Professional Engineering Services

Evaluator: Arnonio Barco  
Signature: HC Barco  
Date: 1-11-2022  
Department: HC -UCP

Project Name: 2021 Penitas Street Improvements  
Evaluated Firm: M2 Engineering

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
<b>1. RFQ RESPONSIVENESS (2 points)</b>		
➤ Response is clear, concise, well-organized and followed the instructions listed in the Procurement Overview section of the procurement packet	2	2
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		2
<b>2. UNDERSTANDING THE PROJECT (22 points)</b>		
➤ Firm demonstrates a thorough understanding of the project through their Scope of Services.	5	5
➤ Firm addresses their understanding in a clear and concise narrative that outlines their knowledge in appropriate federal, state and local government regulations, codes, guidelines, professional standards, and other policies related to the specified project.	7	4
➤ Firm identifies other successful projects of similar size and scope.	5	5
➤ Firm has identified information to be gathered or obtained and proposed an approach to complete the Scope of Work.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		19
<b>3. FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS (28 points)</b>		
➤ Firm is registered and licensed to practice in the state of Texas, and has been verified through the Texas Board of Profession Engineers and Land Surveyors.	5	5
➤ Firm includes an organization chart, and a description of the proposed project team organization dedicated to this project, identifies key technical staff, their names and titles.	10	10
➤ Firm provides proof of all licenses and certifications for each proposed staff member.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies their Affirmative Action Program.	3	0
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		25
<b>4 EXPERIENCE AND RECENT PROJECTS (27 points)</b>		
➤ Firm includes biographic summaries/resumes for each proposed staff member that highlight their relevant experience specific to the project within the last 12 consecutive months, and their role in each project.	10	8
➤ Firm identifies at least one (1) Licensed Professional Engineer (PE) with an educational background and/or strong experience in the scope of the project that is part of the proposed team dedicated to this project who has previously led or been a part of at least two (2) projects of similar size and scope.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies two (2) different technical staff members with a minimum of five (5) and ten (10) years' experience. Comments/Rationale for points:	7	5
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		23
<b>5. LEADERSHIP AND ABILITY (21 points)</b>		
➤ Firm identifies their commitment and ability to commence services immediately after successfully negotiating a contract for services.	3	3
➤ Firm identifies proposed project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	5	5
➤ Firm has demonstrated competency and experience in the project specified.	3	3
➤ Firm commits at least one Project Manager with experience in two (2) different areas of specialties, plus be 50% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
➤ Firm commits at least one (1) Project Manager with experience in five (5) areas of specialties, plus be 85-100% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		21
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		90

*M2 Engineering*  
**EVALUATION FORM**

RE: RFQ No. 2020-565-03-10-HGO  
Professional Engineering Services

Evaluator: Jaine "JD" Daniel Collier  
Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 1/10/22

Project Name: 2021 Penitas Street Improvements Project Department: Purchasing

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
<b>1. RFQ RESPONSIVENESS (2 points)</b>		
➤ Response is clear, concise, well-organized and followed the instructions listed in the Procurement Overview section of the procurement packet.	2	2
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	2
<b>2. UNDERSTANDING THE PROJECT (22 points)</b>		
➤ Firm demonstrates a thorough understanding of the project through their Scope of Services.	5	5
➤ Firm addresses their understanding in a clear and concise narrative that outlines their knowledge in appropriate federal, state and local government regulations, codes, guidelines, professional standards, and other policies related to the specified project.	7	7
➤ Firm identifies other successful projects of similar size and scope.	5	5
➤ Firm has identified information to be gathered or obtained and proposed an approach to complete the Scope of Work.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	22
<b>3. FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS (18 points)</b>		
➤ Firm is registered and licensed to practice in the state of Texas, and has been verified through the Texas Board of Profession Engineers and Land Surveyors.	5	5
➤ Firm includes an organization chart, and a description of the proposed project team organization dedicated to this project, identifies key technical staff, their names and titles.	10	10
➤ Firm provides proof of all licenses and certifications for each proposed staff member.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies their Affirmative Action Program.	3	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	28
<b>4. EXPERIENCE AND RECENT PROJECTS (27 points)</b>		
➤ Firm includes biographic summaries/resumes for each proposed staff member that highlight their relevant experience specific to the project within the last 12 consecutive months, and their role in each project.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies at least one (1) Licensed Professional Engineer with an educational background and/or strong experience in the scope of the project that is part of the proposed team dedicated to this project who has previously led or been a part of at least two (2) projects of similar size and scope.	10	5
➤ Firm identifies two (2) different technical staff members with a minimum of five (5) and ten (10) years' experience.	7	4
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	19
<b>5. LEADERSHIP AND ABILITY (21 points)</b>		
➤ Firm identifies their commitment and ability to commence services immediately after successfully negotiating a contract for services.	3	3
➤ Firm identifies proposed project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	5	5
➤ Firm has demonstrated competency and experience in the project specified.	3	3
➤ Firm commits at least one Project Manager with experience in two (2) different areas of specialties, plus be 50% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
➤ Firm commits at least one (1) Project Manager with experience in five (5) areas of specialties, plus be 85-100% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	21
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>92</b>

**NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM**

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Yanni B Espinoza

Title/Position: City Secretary

Contract for Goods/Services: Engineering

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. CSJ Group
2. Izaguirre Engineering, LLC
3. M2 Engineering

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.

  
Signature

1/14/22  
Date

## EVALUATION FORM

RE: RFQ No. 2020-565-03-10-HGO  
Professional Engineering Services

Evaluator: Yuan Espinoza  
Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 1/14/22  
Department: HC-UCP

Project Name: 2021 Penitas Street Improvements  
Evaluated Firm: Izaguirre Engineering, LLC

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
<b>1. RFQ RESPONSIVENESS (2 points)</b>		
➤ Response is clear, concise, well-organized and followed the instructions listed in the Procurement Overview section of the procurement packet	2	2
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		2
<b>2. UNDERSTANDING THE PROJECT (22 points)</b>		
➤ Firm demonstrates a thorough understanding of the project through their Scope of Services.	5	5
➤ Firm addresses their understanding in a clear and concise narrative that outlines their knowledge in appropriate federal, state and local government regulations, codes, guidelines, professional standards, and other policies related to the specified project.	7	7
➤ Firm identifies other successful projects of similar size and scope.	5	5
➤ Firm has identified information to be gathered or obtained and proposed an approach to complete the Scope of Work.	5	3
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		15
<b>3. FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS (28 points)</b>		
➤ Firm is registered and licensed to practice in the state of Texas, and has been verified through the Texas Board of Profession Engineers and Land Surveyors.	5	5
➤ Firm includes an organization chart, and a description of the proposed project team organization dedicated to this project, identifies key technical staff, their names and titles.	10	7
➤ Firm provides proof of all licenses and certifications for each proposed staff member.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies their Affirmative Action Program.	3	3
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		25
<b>4 EXPERIENCE AND RECENT PROJECTS (27 points)</b>		
➤ Firm includes biographic summaries/resumes for each proposed staff member that highlight their relevant experience specific to the project within the last 12 consecutive months, and their role in each project.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies at least one (1) Licensed Professional Engineer (PE) with an educational background and/or strong experience in the scope of the project that is part of the proposed team dedicated to this project who has previously led or been a part of at least two (2) projects of similar size and scope.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies two (2) different technical staff members with a minimum of five (5) and ten (10) years' experience. Comments/Rationale for points:	7	7
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		27
<b>5. LEADERSHIP AND ABILITY (21 points)</b>		
➤ Firm identifies their commitment and ability to commence services immediately after successfully negotiating a contract for services.	3	3
➤ Firm identifies proposed project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	5	3
➤ Firm has demonstrated competency and experience in the project specified.	3	2
➤ Firm commits at least one Project Manager with experience in two (2) different areas of specialties, plus be 50% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	4
➤ Firm commits at least one (1) Project Manager with experience in five (5) areas of specialties, plus be 85-100% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		17
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>86</b>

# EVALUATION FORM

RE: RFQ No. 2020-565-03-10-HGO  
Professional Engineering Services

Evaluator: Antonio Barco  
Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 1-11-2022  
Department: HC -UCP

Project Name: 2021 Penitas Street Improvements  
Evaluated Firm: Izaguirre Engineering, LLC

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
<b>1. RFQ RESPONSIVENESS (2 points)</b>		
➤ Response is clear, concise, well-organized and followed the instructions listed in the Procurement Overview section of the procurement packet	2	1
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		1
<b>2. UNDERSTANDING THE PROJECT (22 points)</b>		
➤ Firm demonstrates a thorough understanding of the project through their Scope of Services.	5	5
➤ Firm addresses their understanding in a clear and concise narrative that outlines their knowledge in appropriate federal, state and local government regulations, codes, guidelines, professional standards, and other policies related to the specified project.	7	4
➤ Firm identifies other successful projects of similar size and scope.	5	5
➤ Firm has identified information to be gathered or obtained and proposed an approach to complete the Scope of Work.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Did not include Organizational Chart with clear and Concise information about Knowledge of Local State &amp; Federal Regs.</i>		
<b>Subtotal</b>		19
<b>3. FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS (28 points)</b>		
➤ Firm is registered and licensed to practice in the state of Texas, and has been verified through the Texas Board of Profession Engineers and Land Surveyors.	5	5
➤ Firm includes an organization chart, and a description of the proposed project team organization dedicated to this project, identifies key technical staff, their names and titles.	10	6
➤ Firm provides proof of all licenses and certifications for each proposed staff member.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies their Affirmative Action Program.	3	0
Comments/Rationale for points: <i>Did not include organizational chart</i>		
<b>Subtotal</b>		21
<b>4 EXPERIENCE AND RECENT PROJECTS (27 points)</b>		
➤ Firm includes biographic summaries/resumes for each proposed staff member that highlight their relevant experience specific to the project within the last 12 consecutive months, and their role in each project.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies at least one (1) Licensed Professional Engineer (PE) with an educational background and/or strong experience in the scope of the project that is part of the proposed team dedicated to this project who has previously led or been a part of at least two (2) projects of similar size and scope.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies two (2) different technical staff members with a minimum of five (5) and ten (10) years' experience. Comments/Rationale for points:	7	5
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		25
<b>5. LEADERSHIP AND ABILITY (21 points)</b>		
➤ Firm identifies their commitment and ability to commence services immediately after successfully negotiating a contract for services.	3	3
➤ Firm identifies proposed project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	5	5
➤ Firm has demonstrated competency and experience in the project specified.	3	2
➤ Firm commits at least one Project Manager with experience in two (2) different areas of specialties, plus be 50% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
➤ Firm commits at least one (1) Project Manager with experience in five (5) areas of specialties, plus be 85-100% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	4
Comments/Rationale for points:		
<b>Subtotal</b>		19
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>85</b>

Izaguirre Engineering, LLC

**EVALUATION FORM**

RE: RFQ No. 2020-565-03-10-HGO  
Professional Engineering Services

Evaluator: Jaine "JD" Daniel Collier  
Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 1/10/22

Project Name: 2021 Penitas Street Improvements Project Department: Purchasing

EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	SCORE
<b>1. RFQ RESPONSIVENESS (2 points)</b>		
➤ Response is clear, concise, well-organized and followed the instructions listed in the Procurement Overview section of the procurement packet.	2	2
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	2
<b>2. UNDERSTANDING THE PROJECT (22 points)</b>		
➤ Firm demonstrates a thorough understanding of the project through their Scope of Services.	5	5
➤ Firm addresses their understanding in a clear and concise narrative that outlines their knowledge in appropriate federal, state and local government regulations, codes, guidelines, professional standards, and other policies related to the specified project.	7	7
➤ Firm identifies other successful projects of similar size and scope.	5	5
➤ Firm has identified information to be gathered or obtained and proposed an approach to complete the Scope of Work.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	22
<b>3. FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS (18 points)</b>		
➤ Firm is registered and licensed to practice in the state of Texas, and has been verified through the Texas Board of Profession Engineers and Land Surveyors.	5	5
➤ Firm includes an organization chart, and a description of the proposed project team organization dedicated to this project, identifies key technical staff, their names and titles.	10	10
➤ Firm provides proof of all licenses and certifications for each proposed staff member.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies their Affirmative Action Program.	3	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	28
<b>4 EXPERIENCE AND RECENT PROJECTS (27 points)</b>		
➤ Firm includes biographic summaries/resumes for each proposed staff member that highlight their relevant experience specific to the project within the last 12 consecutive months, and their role in each project.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies at least one (1) Licensed Professional Engineer with an educational background and/or strong experience in the scope of the project that is part of the proposed team dedicated to this project who has previously led or been a part of at least two (2) projects of similar size and scope.	10	10
➤ Firm identifies two (2) different technical staff members with a minimum of five (5) and ten (10) years' experience.	7	3
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	23
<b>5. LEADERSHIP AND ABILITY (21 points)</b>		
➤ Firm identifies their commitment and ability to commence services immediately after successfully negotiating a contract for services.	3	3
➤ Firm identifies proposed project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	5	5
➤ Firm has demonstrated competency and experience in the project specified.	3	3
➤ Firm commits at least one Project Manager with experience in two (2) different areas of specialties, plus be 50% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
➤ Firm commits at least one (1) Project Manager with experience in five (5) areas of specialties, plus be 85-100% involved with the technical development of the project.	5	5
Comments/Rationale for points:	Subtotal	21
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>95.96 MP</b>

**NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM**

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Jaime "JD" Daniel Cortez

Title/Position: Contract Specialist III

Contract for Goods/Services: 2021 Penitas Street Improvements Project


Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. CSJ

2. Izaguirre Engineering, LLC

3. M2 Engineering

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.

  
Signature

1/10/22  
Date