

City of Weslaco
Professional Engineering Services
Water/Sewer Improvements Project
Year 2022
Grading-Ranking Grid

Criteria	Cruz-Hogan Engineering			Javier Hinojosa Engineering			Quintanilla-Headley Engineering		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
Professional Qualifications of Project Team	20	20	16	20	20	19	15	15	20
Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources	23	25	23	17	25	22	15	24	23
Experience & Availability of Engineer	19	20	18	18	20	18	13	19	18
Understanding of Project	23	19	23	20	22	22	16	14	23
Familiarity with Rules & Regulations	9	7	10	6	5	10	4	3	10
TOTALS	94	91	90	81	92	91	63	75	94
AVERAGES	$275/3 = 91.67\%$			$264/3 = 88.00\%$			$232/3 = 77.34\%$		
RATING									

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: All information in this criterion provided in statement of qualifications.		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	5
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: Team clearly identified, with four professional engineers and support staff.		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points: Methodology provided, as well as project experience		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Narrative on understanding and similar projects clearly indicated.		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points: Narrative on familiarity of applicable rules and regulations provided.		TOTAL 9
Total Score		94

Firm/Participant's Name: Cruz Hogan Consultants, Inc.

Project Name: Urban County Program - City of Weslaco Department: ENGINEERING

Evaluator: Albert J. Aldana, P.E. Date: 11/10/2022

2022 written answer

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Organization chart provided, firm registration, and qualifications.		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	4
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: No narrative on the ability to commit resources nor description of specific staff experience for sewer & water projects.		TOTAL 17
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: All items covered in statement of qualifications		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	4
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: Little information on method to obtain relevant information		TOTAL 20
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points: No narrative on familiarity with applicable rules and regulations		TOTAL 6
Total Score		81

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Project Name: Urban County Program - City of Weslaco Department: ENGINEERING

Evaluator: Albert J. Aldana, P.E. Date: 11/10/2022

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points: No organization chart provided but identifies key team members experience and their registrations/credentials.		TOTAL 15
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	3
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	7
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: No narrative on the ability to commit resources nor description of specific staff experience for sewer & water projects.		TOTAL 15
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	0
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points: No methodology provided nor clear identification of experience in 5 types of projects		TOTAL 13
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	2
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	2
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points: No narrative provided on an understanding of the scope of services		TOTAL 16
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	2
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points: No narrative on familiarity with applicable rules and regulations		TOTAL 4
Total Score		63

Firm/Participant's Name: Quintanilla, Heady & Associates, Inc.

Project Name: Urban County Program - City of Weslaco Department: ENGINEERING

Evaluator: Albert J. Aldana, P.E. Date: 11/10/2022

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	5
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	2
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	2
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	72 AB
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 57 AB
Total Score		91

Firm/Participant's Name: Cruz-Hogan Engineering

Project Name: 2022 Weslaco Water/Sewer Improvement Department: Urban County Program

Evaluator: Antonio Barco/  Date: 11/7/2022

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	5
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 22
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	2
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 5
Total Score		92

Firm/Participant's Name: Javier Hinojosa Engineering

Project Name: 2022 Weslaco Water/Sewer Improvement Department: Urban County Program

Evaluator: Antonio Barco  Date: 11/7/2022

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 15
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	4
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 24
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	2
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	2
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 14
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 3
Total Score		75

Firm/Participant's Name: Quintanilla-Headley Engineering

Project Name: 2022 Weslaco Water/Sewer Improvement Department: Urban County Program

Evaluator: Antonio Barco/  Date: 11/7/2022

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	8
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 16 AP
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	4
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
Total Score		88 90 AP

Firm/Participant's Name: Hidalgo County Purchasing Department *Cruz Hogan*
Project Name: 2022 Weslaco ^{water/sewer} Street Improvements Department: Purchasing
Evaluator: Jireh Lira Cabello Date: 11/07/22

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	4
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 22
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 22
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
Total Score		91

Firm/Participant's Name: Hidalgo County Purchasing Department Javier Anjosca
Project Name: 2022 Weslaco ^{water/sewer} Street Improvements Department: Purchasing
Evaluator: Jirch Lira Cabello Date: 11/07/22

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

Selection Criteria	Points	Score
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	5
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	4
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	4
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
Total Score		94

Firm/Participant's Name: Hidalgo County Purchasing Department Quintanilla
Headley & Associates
Project Name: 2022 Weslaco ^{water/sewer} Street Improvements Department: Purchasing
Evaluator: Jireh Lira Cabello Date: 11/07/22

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Albert J. Aldana, P.E.

Title/Position: City Engineer

Contract for Goods/Services: Professional Engineering Services

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

- 1. Cruz-Hogan
- 2. Javier Hinojosa Engineering
- 3. Quintanilla-Headley

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.

Albert J. Aldana, P.E.
Signature

11/04/2022
Date

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Antonio Barco

Title/Position: Assistant Director Urban County Programs

Contract for Goods/Services: Engineer Professional Services

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

- 1. Cruz-Hogan Engineering
- 2. Javier Hinojosa Engineering
- 3. Quintanilla Headley Engineering

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.


Signature

11-7-2022
Date

NO CONFLICT DISCLOSURE FORM

Name of Government Officer/Employee: Jireh Lira Cabello


Title/Position: Contract Specialist

Contract for Goods/Services: Engineer Professional Services

Name of Vendors contracting with OR seeking to contract with Hidalgo County:

1. Cruz-Hogan Engineering
2. Javier Hinojosa Engineering
3. Quintanilla Headley Engineers

In my review, grading, selection or approval of the above identified vendors, I hereby affirm that I have no conflicts to disclose (employment/business, family or gifts exceeding \$100.00) in connection with the above identified vendor(s) as required by section 176 of the Texas Local Government Code.


Signature

11/07/22
Date