

City of Elsa
Professional Engineering Services
Street Improvements Project
Years 35 (2022)
Grading-Ranking Grid

CRITERIA	CSJ Group			Lazcamera, LLC dba Fulcrum Consulting Services			Building Transportation Infrastructure Inc.		
	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3	Grader 1	Grader 2	Grader 3
RFQ Responsiveness	17	20	20	18	20	20	19	19	16
Understanding the Project	18	25	24	17	23	23	18	23	21
Firm's Qualifications	16	20	20	12	20	19	17	20	19
Experience and Recent Projects	18	23	24	17	21	23	19	16	22
Leadership and Ability	7	3	10	6	3	10	7	3	10
TOTALS	76	91	98	70	87	95	80	81	88
AVERAGES	265/3 = 88.33%			252/3 = 84 %			249/3 = 83 %		
RATING	88.33%			84%			83%		

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 17
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	5
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	7
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	6
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 16
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	4
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 7
Total Score		76

Firm/Participant's Name: CSJ Group

Project Name: 2002 E 15th Street Improvements Department: Purchasing

Evaluator: Jasmin A. Cantin Date: 12-27-22

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	5
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	5
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 3
Total Score		91

Firm/Participant's Name: CST Group

Project Name: 2022 EISA Street, IDP Department: _____

Evaluator: Antonio Boreo Date: 12-19-2022

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	5
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 24
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 29
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
Total Score		98

Firm/Participant's Name: CSS Group
 Project Name: 2022 Elsa Street Improvements Department: City Hall
 Evaluator: J. J. Harris Date: 12/14/22

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	3
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	7
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 17
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	3
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	6
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 12
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	4
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	3
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 17
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 6
Total Score		70

Firm/Participant's Name: ^{DBA} Lazcamrea, LLC, Fulcrum consulting services

Project Name: 2022 EISA Street Improvements Department: Purchasing

Evaluator: Jasmin A. Cantu Date: 12-27-22

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	5
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 25
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 21
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 3
Total Score		87

Firm/Participant's Name: LAZCAMREA Fulcrum Consulting Services
 Project Name: 2022 Elsa Street, Imp. Department: UCP
 Evaluator: Antonio Dorco Date: 12-17-2022

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	5
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	4
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	9
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 23 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	4
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	5
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 23
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
Total Score		95

Firm/Participant's Name: CAZCAMERA - Fulcrum
 Project Name: 2022 Elsa Street Improvements Department: City Hall
 Evaluator: J.J. Herrera Date: 12/14/22

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	4
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	7
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	7
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 18
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	3
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	9
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 17
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	3
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	4
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 7
Total Score		80

Firm/Participant's Name: Building Transportation Infrastructure, Inc.

Project Name: 2022 EISA Street Improvement Department: Purchasing

Evaluator: JASMIN A. CANTU Date: 12.27.22

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	4
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	5
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	10
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	8
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 23
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	5
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 20
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	5
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	3
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	3
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 16
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	3
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	0
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 3
Total Score		81

Firm/Participant's Name: B.T.T.

Project Name: 2022 Elsa Street Imp. Department: UCD

Evaluator: Antonio Barco Date: 12-19-2022

EVALUATION CRITERIA-EXHIBIT "B"
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES POOL
RFQ No. 2021-0786-02-23-HGO

<u>Selection Criteria</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Professional Qualifications of Project Team (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Registered and licensed to practice in the State of Texas (i.e. certifications/registrations & other pertinent information that demonstrates their qualifications to perform the contract)	10	10
➤ Provides information on proposed professional Team qualifications to perform various types of contracts	5	3
➤ Team members identified in the organizational chart	5	3
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 16
2. Experience of Project Team & Ability to Commit Resources (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Designate engineering staff members in an appendix form.	5	3
➤ Identify the project composition, project leadership, and reporting responsibilities.	10	8
➤ Experience of engineering staff to completely and efficiently perform the work.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 21
3. Methodology/Experience/Availability of Engineer (20 pts maximum)		
➤ Firm's approach to the methodology and management to the scope of services for the project(s).	5	4
➤ Must be a Professional Eng. registered in the State of Texas and license/certificate must be included in the response.	5	5
➤ Engineer must have experience in five (5) types of projects and must have had 85-100 % involvement with the technical development of the project.	10	10
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 19
4. Understanding of Project/Similar Projects (25 pts maximum)		
➤ Demonstrate an understanding of the scope of services.	5	5
➤ Knowledge and experience of working with multiple entities, counties, cities, etc.	5	4
➤ Identify the method used to obtain relevant information to work cooperatively with the county.	5	4
➤ RFQ responsiveness. Response is clear, well organized, easy to evaluate, and appropriate to this RFQ.	5	4
➤ Reference Information includes name, telephone number, and email addresses.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 22
5. Familiarity with Applicable Rules and Regulations (10 pts maximum)		
➤ Indicate past experience of the proposed firm, knowledge of governmental regulations, appropriate codes, guidelines, professional standards, and policies.	5	5
➤ Must contain a narrative that outlines applicable regulations, guidelines, standards, and policies.	5	5
Comments/Rationale For Points:		TOTAL 10
Total Score		98

Firm/Participant's Name: Building Transportation Infrastructure
 Project Name: 2022 Elsa Street Improvements Department: City Hall
 Evaluator: J. J. Garcia Date: 12/14/22