



Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: Palmview Lateral Extension Project Phase I & II

Responsible Entity: County of Hidalgo

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

State/Local Identifier: 23-152-008-E180 / 24-067-022-E209

Preparer: Eli Villegas, CFM Hidalgo County Drainage District No. 1

Certifying Officer Name and Title: Richard F. Cortez / County of Hidalgo

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Consultant (if applicable):

Direct Comments to: Eli Villegas, CFM / 956-292-7080 / Eli.Villegas@HCDD1.org

Project Locations:

Project E180 is located from North Abram Road north of Spring Glen Drive along easement to the intersection El Jardin Drive and North Minnesota Road, thence south along easement 350' 26.248997, -98.384751 and **Project E209** is located from FM 492 eastward 3,060' thence southward 650' thence eastward 1,285' to North Minnesota Road, thence southward 360' 26.248542, -98.381619.

Description of the Proposed Projects [24 CFR 50.21 & 58.32]:

Palmview Lateral Extension Project Phase I (**E180**) will include the acquisition and construction of two detention ponds, measuring approximately 5.22 acres and 1.6 acres, along with the widening of approximately 6,800 linear feet of the existing ditch. This improvement will begin at Abram Road, extend eastward, and conclude at Minnesota Road. The proposed detention ponds and ditch widening are designed to accommodate additional stormwater runoff flowing downstream from west to east. This increased detention capacity will help improve the tailwater elevation in the Palmview Lateral, thereby offering significant flood mitigation benefits. Phase II (**E209**) of the Palmview Lateral Extension Project will involve the construction of four (4) new culvert crossings, ranging in size from 48 inches to 60 inches, at various street crossings.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal:

These improvements are intended to enhance the system's capacity to manage additional stormwater runoff, ensuring more efficient downstream discharge. By expanding stormwater flow capacity, this phase will further improve the tailwater elevation in the Palmview Lateral, providing additional flood mitigation benefits. The County has been devastated by flooding in the past years and the needs to improve the capacity of the existing system is imperative.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

Existing average top width of ditch vary to 25 to 35 feet existing average top width of ditch is 35 feet. The slopes and maintenance roads on top have grass for erosion control. The depth of the ditches varies about 8 feet from top of berm. 5 existing pipes to be upgrade are. The ditches are clear of any buildings, agriculture plants and tree brush. Proposed area of acquisition, has citrus trees.

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Funding Amount
23-152-009-E180	State GLO	\$1,000,000
24-067-024-E209	State GLO	\$1,000,000

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount:

E180: \$1,000,000

E209: \$1,000,000

Estimated Total Project Cost (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]:

E180 HUD Funded Amount: \$1,000,000

E180 NON-HUD Funded Amount: \$727,597.43

E180 Total Project Cost: \$1,727,597.43

E209 HUD Funded Amount: \$1,000,000
 E209 NON-HUD Funded Amount: \$146,734.45
 E209 Total Project Cost: \$1,146,734.45

Compliance with 24 CFR 50.4, 58.5, and 58.6 Laws and Authorities

Record below the compliance or conformance determinations for each statute, executive order, or regulation. Provide credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority. Where applicable, complete the necessary reviews or consultations and obtain or note applicable permits of approvals. Clearly note citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references. Attach additional documentation as appropriate.

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determinations
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 and 58.6		
Airport Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	Yes No ☐ ☒	The proposed projects sites are not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.
Coastal Barrier Resources Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	Yes No ☐ ☒	The proposed projects are not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	Yes No ☐ ☒	Based on the project descriptions the projects includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The projects do not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). These projects are in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 & 58.5		

Clean Air Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	Yes No ☐ ☒	Based on the project's descriptions, these projects include no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. These projects are in compliance with the Clean Air Act. The county of Hidalgo is not located within the nonattainment or maintenance status county or management district list on EPA's pollutant website.
Coastal Zone Management Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	Yes No ☐ ☒	The proposed project are not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. These projects are in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)	Yes No ☐ ☒	Site contamination was evaluated as follows: Inspection Date: 03/17/2025 None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. These projects are in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	Yes No ☒ ☐	The proposed project follows HUD's Endangered Species requirements through the attained U.S. Fish and Wildlife Threatened and Endangered Species List (through IPAC) along with the National ESA 5 Critical Habitat Mapper. In reference to the endangered species, the proposed project falls under No Affect. The City of Hidalgo has made the determination of no effect.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	Yes No ☐ ☒	The proposed project follows HUD's Explosive and Flammable Hazards requirements of not containing any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers falling within 1 mile of the project area. Based on the projects description the projects includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. These project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	Yes No ☐ ☒	The proposed projects are located within the City of Palmview's limits and urbanized areas. Although the project area includes land designated as USDA prime farmland, the proposed drainage enhancements are exempt from the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) because they involve improvements to existing infrastructure rather than the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use. The drainage improvements will also benefit surrounding farmlands by increasing the system's overall drainage capacity. Per 7 CFR §658.2(a), urbanized areas and existing infrastructure improvements are exempt from FPPA review.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	Yes No ☐ ☒	As per FEMA Firm Community Panel Number 488334 0400 C, Project E180 and Project E209 do not take place within a Special Hazard Flood Plain, see attached map.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	Yes No ☒ ☐	Projects E180 & E209 follow HUD's Historic Preservation of submitting the proposed project information on eTRAC as well as submitting formal correspondence letters to both the area SHPO officer and to each of the tribes listed within the TDAT Map for the state of Texas and County of Hidalgo. The eTRAC feedback attached states the project complies and is not within any historical properties. The County of Hildago has made the determination of No Historic Properties Affected.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	Yes No ☐ ☒	Based on the project description for E180 & E209, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	Yes No ☐ ☒	E180 & E209 are not located on a sole source aquifer area. The projects are in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	Yes No ☒ ☐	The projects have approx. 5.47 Acres of wetlands. Construction and ditch improvements will have no negative impacts to the surrounding area. A NWP USACE Permit will be submitted to USACE once plans are 100% complete. An 8-Step report was complete on 8/8/2025.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	Yes No ☐ ☒	E180 & E209 projects are not within proximity of a National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. These projects are in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40] Recorded below is the qualitative and quantitative significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area. Each factor has been evaluated and documented, as appropriate and in proportion to its relevance to the proposed action. Verifiable source documentation has been provided and described in support of each determination, as appropriate. Credible, traceable and supportive source documentation for each authority has been provided. Where applicable, the necessary reviews or consultations have been completed and applicable permits of approvals have been obtained or noted. Citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references are clear. Additional documentation is attached, as appropriate. **All conditions, attenuation or mitigation measures have been clearly identified.**

Impact Codes: Use an impact code from the following list to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact – May require mitigation
- (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
LAND DEVELOPMENT		
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	(2) No Impact	Residential, Agriculture and commercial surround the projects and not within the proposed ditch improvements. Ditch improvements will happen within the existing ditch right of way. Improvements will be outside of city limits of Palmview. Hidalgo County doesn't have any zoning ordinances.

Soil Suitability/ Slope/ Erosion/ Drainage/ Storm Water Runoff	(1) Minor Beneficial Impact	The proposed projects will improve from existing conditions. Design includes improving ditch volume by creating benches within the ditch and improving its ditch slope for erosion control and better maintenance.
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Noise	(2) No Impact	The proposed projects will be done within the existing ditch right of ways, away from residential houses and commercial developments. Contractors along with HCDD No. 1 will coordinate with local County and City offices for any road closures if needed.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
SOCIOECONOMIC		
Employment and Income Patterns	(1) Minor Beneficial Impact	Possible hire of local companies within the area during these project.
Demographic Character Changes, Displacement	(2) No Impact	Residential, Agriculture and commercial around the projects Not within the proposed ditch widening. Design includes improving ditch volume by creating benches within the ditch and improving its ditch slope for erosion control and better maintenance. E209: Ditch improvements will happen within the existing ditch right of way and existing pipe crossings.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES		
Educational and Cultural Facilities	(1) Minor Beneficial Impact	The proposed projects will have minor beneficial impact to a nearby Elementary, Middle and High school (within 3,000 ft from project location) by improving their drainage during flood events.
Commercial Facilities	(1) Minor Beneficial Impact	The proposed projects will have minor beneficial impact to surrounding commercial facilities by improving their drainage during flood events.
Health Care and Social Services	(1) Minor Beneficial Impact	Drainage improvements to this systems will benefit health care providers and social workers by reducing flooding of roads and homes.
Solid Waste Disposal / Recycling	(2) No Impact	These proposed projects will have no impact on Solid Waste Disposal/ Recycling for the surrounding community.
Waste Water / Sanitary Sewers	(2) No Impact	These proposed projects will have no impact on wastewater/ sanitary sewers for the surrounding community. The proposed projects will involve drainage for storm water during flood events.
Water Supply	(2) No Impact	The proposed projects will have no impact on the water supply for the surrounding community. The proposed

		projects will involve drainage for storm water during flood events.
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	(1) Minor Beneficial Impact	The proposed projects will have minor beneficial impact to the public safety by reducing the standing storm water during flood events which can pose serious health and safety risks for people, animals, and the environment.
Parks, Open Space and Recreation	(1) Minor Beneficial Impact	Nearest Parks are Palmview Municipal Park Cesar Chavez Park La Joya USD Aquatic Center These proposed projects will involve drainage for storm water during flood events.
Transportation and Accessibility	(1) Minor Beneficial Impact	The nearest Valley Metro Route is Route 20 – Mission-McAllen. During major flood events the improvements to this system will benefit residents in the surrounding areas accessibility to Flex and Fast Rides available in the Valley Metro Service area.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
NATURAL FEATURES		
Unique Natural Features, Water Resources	(2) No Impact	The proposed projects will have no impact on unique natural features or water resources for the surrounding community.
Vegetation, Wildlife	(2) No Impact	These proposed projects will have no impact on vegetation or wildlife due to the lack of them within the existing system.
Other Factors	(2) No Impact	These proposed projects will have no impact on other factors within the surrounding community.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
ENERGY		
Energy Efficiency	(2) No Impact	These proposed projects will have no impact on energy efficiency within the surrounding community.

Additional Studies Performed: No additional studies were performed.

Field Inspection (Date and completed by):

Date of Field Inspection: **March 17th, 2025**

The field inspection was conducted by Eli Villegas

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The following agencies and organizations were contacted by letter requesting project review during the preparation of this ER. Letters sent and responses received are included

1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers: **Amanda Barker**
2. Local Floodplain Administrator (HCDD No. 1): **Raul E. Segin**
3. US Fish and Wildlife: **Ernesto Reyes**
4. Texas Historical Commission: **Justin Kockritz, Mary Galindo, PhD**
5. USDA: **Chris Holle**

List of Permits Obtained:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Nation Wide permit will be submitted at the request of USACE. Nation Wide Permit are faster to obtain than delineation letters.

Public Outreach [24 CFR 50.23 & 58.43]:

Early Public Notice: March 21, 2025

Final Public Notice: July 16, 2025

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The proposed channel improvements and crossing upgrades within the projects E180 and E209 will improve existing infrastructure and will improve the drainage capacity of the area.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

No alternatives are available, and the existing drainage ditch cannot be moved.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]:

The No Action alternative represents “No Build”. This alternative would cause further critical drainage infrastructure damage in this high-risk area. The proposed projects floods the area severely during large rain events and mitigation is necessary for this area. The “No Build” alternate is not feasible and would cause further sequential damage ultimately leading to an increase in future repairs to be done.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:**Mitigation Measures and Conditions – See Exhibit “A”**

Summarize below all mitigation measures adopted by the County of Hidalgo to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements, and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure
USFWS	<i>To avoid or minimize impacts to birds protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) recommends conducting bird surveys no more than five days prior to ground disturbing activities or mechanical clearing of brush and trees that occur between March 15 and September 15. Surveys should include searches for birds, nests, and eggs. The Service</i>

	<i>recommends leaving a buffer of vegetation ≥ 100 feet around songbird nests detected until young have fledged or the nest is abandoned. Other species such as water birds or raptors have a larger recommended buffer distance of 0.5 miles.</i>
--	--

Determination:

☒ **Finding of No Significant Impact** [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1)]

The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

☐ **Finding of Significant Impact** [24 CFR 58.40(g)(2)]

The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

Preparer Signature:  Date: 10/30/25

Name/Title/Organization: Eli Villegas / Right of Way Agent / Hidalgo County Drainage District No. 1

Certifying Officer Signature:  Date: 11/4/2025

Name/Title: Richard F. Cortez / Hidalgo County Judge

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Endangered Species &
Mitigation Measures and Conditions

Species	Status	Best Management Practices (BMPs)	Inspection
Gulf Coast Jaguarundi	Endangered	Avoid habitat fragmentation; restrict clearing in dense brushlands; use wildlife crossings if applicable	Species was not seen in project area. No habitat was found. - EV
Ocelot	Endangered	Conduct pre-construction surveys; avoid nighttime construction;	Species was not seen in project area. No habitat was found. - EV
Cactus Ferruginous Pygmy-owl	Threatened	Avoid disturbance during nesting season (Feb–July); establish buffer zones around nest sites; minimize vegetation removal	Species was not seen in project area. No habitat was found. - EV
Northern Aplomado Falcon	Endangered	Survey for nesting falcons; 1,000 ft buffer around nests; maintain open grassland habitat	Species was not seen in project area. No nests or habitats were found. - EV
Piping Plover	Threatened	Avoid coastal work during migration (Aug–May); enforce 300 ft buffer zones on beaches; erosion control to protect foraging habitat	Species were not seen in project area. No nests or habitats were found. Location does not overlap the critical habitat. - EV
Rufa Red Knot	Threatened	Restrict wind-related projects during migration periods; minimize lighting and noise in coastal foraging areas	Species were not seen in project area. No nests or habitats were found. Location does not overlap the critical habitat. - EV
Mexican Fawnsfoot	Proposed Endangered	Avoid in-stream work; sediment and erosion control BMPs; coordinate with USFWS for instream surveys	Species were not seen in project area. No nests or habitats were found. Location does not overlap the critical habitat. - EV
Salina Mucket	Proposed Endangered	Implement best sediment control measures; avoid water withdrawals during sensitive periods	Species were not seen in project area. No nests or habitats were found. Location does not overlap the critical habitat. - EV
Monarch Butterfly	Candidate	Plant native milkweed and nectar plants; limit pesticide use near habitat; avoid clearing during migration	No monarch butterflies were seen during inspection - EV
Star Cactus	Endangered	Avoid surface disturbance in known habitat; conduct botanical surveys before ground disturbance	No star cactus was found during inspection. EV

Texas Ayenia	Endangered	Preserve riparian corridors; limit clearing in known populations; restore native vegetation	None Found during inspection - EV
Walker's Manioc	Endangered	Avoid mechanical clearing in native brush; survey and mark populations prior to work	None Found inspection - EV

Mitigation Measures and Conditions

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure
USFWS	<i>To avoid or minimize impacts to birds protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) recommends conducting bird surveys no more than five days prior to ground disturbing activities or mechanical clearing of brush and trees that occur between March 15 and September 15. Surveys should include searches for birds, nests, and eggs. The Service recommends leaving a buffer of vegetation ≥ 100 feet around songbird nests detected until young have fledged or the nest is abandoned. Other species such as water birds or raptors have a larger recommended buffer distance of 0.5 miles.</i>
Texas Historical Commission	<i>If cultural materials are encountered during construction or disturbance activities, work should cease in the immediate area; work can continue where no cultural materials are present. Please contact the THC's Archeology Division at 512-463-6096 to consult on further actions that may be necessary to protect the cultural remains.</i>
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	<i>A Nation Wide Permit USACE Permit will be submitted to USACE once plans are 100% complete, mitigation measures will follow permit guidelines.</i>

Field Observation Report

Project Name: Palmview Lateral Extension Project Phase I & II

Contract No.: 23-152-008-E180 / 24-067-022-E209

Date of Field Visit: 3-17-25

General Information

County	Hidalgo County
City	Palmview
GPS Site Location	26.249039°, -98.385866°

Ecological Site Information

General site description (residential, commercial, forested, grassland, etc.):

The slopes and maintenance roads have maintained grass. Clear of brushes and trees. No encroachments. Abutting properties where the proposed project is located are delineated by existing fences, mainly chain link fences. Existing guard rails on Grace Ln.

Water bodies present? If yes, describe (pond, lake, creek, river, wetland, etc.):

Existing drainage ditch system abutting properties, dry.

Special or unique vegetation features?

None, No special or unique vegetation found.

Special wildlife habitat?

None, No special wildlife habitats found during inspection

Observed wildlife:

None, During time of inspection, birds were flying over head.

Hazardous Material Issues

Yes/No	Does the project include any of the following activities (indicate all that apply)?
No	Structure demolition operations or structure modifications.
	If yes, is there potential for the building to contain asbestos or lead-based paint?
No	Pipeline and underground utility installation or adjustments.
No	De-watering.
Yes	Purchase of new ROW or easement
Yes	Trenching, drilled shafts, cuts or other excavations.

Project Site Survey

(Yes/No)	Specific concerns identified on, or adjacent to, project area:
No	underground storage tanks
No	vent pipes, fill pipes, or access ways indicating a fill pipe protruding from the ground
No	aboveground storage tanks
no	electrical and transformer equipment
	If yes, are there signs of leaking transformers oil (PCBs) on the ground?

No	injection wells, cisterns, sumps, dry wells flooring, drains, or walls stained by substances other than water or emitting foul odors
No	vats, 55-gallon drums (labeled/unlabeled), canisters, barrels, bottles, etc.
No	surface dumping of trash, garbage, refuse, rubbish, debris half exposed/buried, landfill, stockpiling, storage, etc.
No	damaged or discarded automotive or industrial batteries
No	stained, discolored, barren, exposed or foreign (fill) soil
No	dead, damaged or stressed vegetation
No	oil sheen or films on surface water, seeps, lagoons, ponds, or drainage basins
No	pits, ponds, or lagoons associated with waste treatment or waste disposal
No	changes in drainage patterns from possible fill areas
No	security fencing, protected areas, placards, warning signs
No	dead animals possibly due to contamination
	other concerns (<i>Describe below</i>):
Miscellaneous Observations	
(Yes/No)	Other compliance factors identified on, or adjacent to, project area:
No	Historic age buildings
No	Refineries
No	Airports, runway strips
No	Educational facilities
No	Commercial facilities
No	Healthcare facilities
No	Social services facilities

Describe any "Yes" answers indicated above:

The response "Yes" is per the following project description: Proposed widen of existing system for more detention.

Any additional information:


 Eli Villegas CFM
 Right of Way Agent
 Hidalgo County Drainage District No. 1


 Date

	<i>recommends leaving a buffer of vegetation ≥ 100 feet around songbird nests detected until young have fledged or the nest is abandoned. Other species such as water birds or raptors have a larger recommended buffer distance of 0.5 miles.</i>
--	--

Determination:

☒ **Finding of No Significant Impact** [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1)]

The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

☐ **Finding of Significant Impact** [24 CFR 58.40(g)(2)]

The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

Preparer Signature:  Date: 10/30/25

Name/Title/Organization: Eli Villegas / Right of Way Agent / Hidalgo County Drainage District No. 1

Certifying Officer Signature:  Date: 11/4/2025

Name/Title: Richard F. Cortez / Hidalgo County Judge

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).