



NOTICE

TO: Jose Rodriguez / Program Manager for Sheriff's Office
FROM: Pedro Cuadros, Contract Specialist II
DATE: August 18, 2026
RE: Project No. 20-220-EXT06
Extension of Contract C-20-220-09-29

Contract **C-20-220-09-29** between Hidalgo County and **Tarpon Towers III, LLC** for "**Lease of Tower Space – Weslaco**" will expire on **October 1, 2026**. We have the option to exercise the six (6) of nine (9) one (1) year extension under the same rates, terms and conditions.

For your review and consideration, the current contract is enclosed. Please advise what action Sheriff's Office would like the Purchasing Department to take by making a selection below:

- ☒ Renew the contract with the same rates, terms, and conditions
- ☐ Allow the contract to expire, as those services are no longer needed
- ☐ Look for new vendors (allow contract to expire, terminate early, and/or rebid)

Please sign and return this notice as acknowledgement of receipt by **August 28, 2026**. Following your response, our office will send you a tentative schedule for this project. If no action is taken by the deadline stated, we will proceed with renewing this contract to ensure there's no lapse in services.

Enayue Longoria
Name


Signature

08/18/2026
Date

Please include the account number(s) for the above reference project:

Account No.: 1100-21-250-102-0000-5404410

Should you have any questions or need additional information, I may be reached at extension 4859.

pcp



VENDOR / CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Instructions: Use this form to evaluate the overall performance of vendors you are currently working with. Include all information associated with the vendor and apply a performance rating. Definitions are provided below. Be factual and do not include unsubstantiated opinions. Vendor performance evaluations are recommended for all vendors to report all levels of service (exceptional, satisfactory or unsatisfactory). Vendors receiving an overall unsatisfactory rating will be informed of the rating by the Purchasing Department and they will be provided a reasonable opportunity to respond. The department will be informed of the resolution.

Vendor Name: **Tarpon Towers III LLC**

Contract Number: **C-20-220-09-29**

Date:

Department: **Sheriff's Office**

DEFINITIONS OF PERFORMANCE RATINGS

<u>EXCEPTIONAL</u>	<u>SATISFACTORY</u>	<u>UNSATISFACTORY</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RATE</u>
Exceeds contractual requirements. The actions taken by the vendor met the contractual requirements and the scopes of services were accomplished.	Meets contractual requirements. The actions taken by the vendor were Satisfactory.	Does not meet contractual requirements, and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The vendor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	Not Applicable	There is not sufficient information to rate performance.

PERFORMANCE RATING

COMMENTS (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

Work performed in compliance with contract terms.	☒ Exceptional ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate	
Materials, supplies and equipment provided as required	☒ Exceptional ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate	
Staff availability & Professionalism	☒ Exceptional ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate	
Timeliness of work	☒ Exceptional ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate	



PERFORMANCE RATING		COMMENTS (Attach additional sheets if necessary)
Customer service	☒ Exceptional ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate	
Quality of work	☒ Exceptional ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate	
Communication and Accessibility	☒ Exceptional ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate	
Prompt and effective correction of situations and conditions	☒ Exceptional ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate	
Documentation records, receipts, invoices and computer generated reports received in a timely manner and in compliance with contract specifications	☒ Exceptional ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ N/A ☐ Insufficient info. to rate	
Would you recommend using this firm again?	☒ Yes ☐ No	(Explain)

OVERALL PERFORMANCE

- ☒ Exceptional
☐ Satisfactory
☐ Unsatisfactory

Department Head (user)