

STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF HIDALGO §

AMENDMENT TO INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT

THIS AMENDMENT TO INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT (the "Amendment") dated effective as of the 10th day of November, 2014, is entered into by and between, the City of Edinburg, Texas, (hereinafter referred to as "Edinburg") and Hidalgo County, Texas ("County").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Edinburg and County entered into that certain Interlocal Cooperation Agreement, dated November 22, 2010 (the "Agreement") and for the use by County of Edinburg Council Chambers Facility at Edinburg's City Hall;

WHEREAS, all capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Agreement. Subject to the terms of this Amendment, Edinburg and County now desire to amend the Agreement upon the terms and conditions stated below.

AGREEMENTS

NOW THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the mutual agreement of the parties hereto and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto hereby agree as follows:

1. Edinburg and County hereby agree that the last sentence of the fourth full paragraph on page 2 of the Agreement which provides that either party may terminate this Contract at the end of each extension by giving at least 90 days written notice to the other party is deleted in its entirety.
2. The Agreement as amended by this Amendment shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of Edinburg and County and their respective legal representatives, successors, and assigns; may be modified or amended only by a writing signed by Edinburg and County shall be governed by and be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Texas and the United

States of America; and embodies the entire agreement and understanding between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof.

WITNESS the following signatures on the date above written.

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS

By: Ramon Garcia
Ramon Garcia, County Judge

ATTEST:

By: Arturo Guajardo Jr.
Arturo Guajardo, Jr., County Clerk

Approved by Commissioners' Court
on 4/10/14 (2)

CITY OF EDINBURG, TEXAS

By: Ramiro Garza Jr.
Ramiro Garza Jr., City Manager

ATTEST:

By: [Signature]
City Secretary



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATLAS, HALL & RODRIGUEZ, LLP

By: [Signature]
Stephen L. Crain

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GONZALEZ PALACIOS, LLP

By: [Signature]
City Attorney



**AGENDA
CC REGULAR
HIDALGO COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS COURT
MEETING
November 10, 2014
1:45 P.M.**

NOTICE is hereby given in accordance with Chapter 551, Texas Government Code, that a SPECIAL MEETING of the Commissioners' Court will be held at the Edinburg Council Chambers 415 W. University Drive, Edinburg, Hidalgo County, Texas. Discussion and possible action relating to the following business will be transacted:

1. Roll Call

All members of the Court were counted present.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

Judge Garcia led the Court and Audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. Prayer

Virginia Townsend led the Court and Audience in Prayer.

4. Approval of Consent Agenda

The Court moved to approve the Consent Agenda for the exception of Item 12.A to be pulled for discussion.

5. Open Forum

Ramiro Trevino reminded the court of a concern regarding the County vehicle take home policy and stated he submitted a public request to the Public Affairs office and was disappointed with the result. He was then sent letters from the D.A.'s office and feels the ones to answer his request should be the County, not the District.

Luis Perez had a concern over the Tax Office's Motor Vehicle Fraud Investigation Department. He informed the Court that some of the citations that have been issued are not Class C Misdemeanors and are being paid through the J.P.'s office. He stated the citations are Felonies and feels there is lack of knowledge.

Opal Billman stated that their 80 acres of community property was purchased by the Billmans from named persons. The court gave them no division, no value of the property and named their son to manage their debt free community property. As her divorce settlement, she received nothing and stated the court has made inappropriate actions.

Commissioner Hector "Tito" Palacios joined the meeting.

On motion by COMMISSIONER PCT. 4, JOSEPH PALACIOS, seconded by COMMISSIONER PCT. 1, A.C. CUELLAR, JR., the Court made a UNANIMOUS vote of approval.

Vote: 5 - 0 - Unanimously

2. **AI-47246** Approval of amendment to Inter-local Cooperation Agreement with the City of Edinburg for the "Use of Council Chambers Facility for Hidalgo County Commissioners' Court Meetings," to reflect a uniform (30) thirty day termination clause throughout entire document.

On motion by COMMISSIONER PCT. 1, A.C. CUELLAR, JR., seconded by COMMISSIONER PCT. 3, JOE M. FLORES, the Court made a UNANIMOUS vote of approval.

Vote: 5 - 0 - Unanimously

3. **AI-47189** Presentation for discussion, consideration, action (if necessary) in connection with the "RFP/Q No: 14-333-10-15-VYG-Delinquent Tax Collection Services" project including, but not limited to, the following:

Ms. Darlene Betancourt, Purchasing Department, explained to the Court that the selection for the first presentation will be chosen by a selection of lots. Judge Garcia announced that the first firm to present would be Purdue, Brandon as the other firm was to step out of the room.

A) Presentation by the two (2) participating firms responding to Hidalgo County's RFP/Q-Delinquent Tax Collection Services and possible questions from Evaluation Committee (Judge and Commissioners);

Purdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott, LLP begun their presentation for Delinquent Tax Collection Services by displaying a slideshow for the Court and audience to view. There were no questions from the Court and the next firm stepped in to present their presentation as the other firm stepped out. There being an overage of time limit, Linebarger, Goggan, Blair & Sampson had additional time for their presentation.

Judge Garcia asked the firm regarding the software and Ms. Lucy Canales stated that the price was included in the RFP/Q which is ACT Tax software at a reduced rate from \$365,000 to \$200,000, including new hardware and printers for over 100 employees at the Tax Office. She stated it could still be negotiated. Commissioner Joseph Palacios stated to the firm that price was what the Court would be focusing on for the benefit of the taxpayer and Ms. Canales replied that a reduced rate also meant reduced services. Mr. Franz explained how the percentage they are proposing compared to a lower rate was only a few dollars difference for the taxpayer. It was also explained that ACT is a subsidiary of Linebarger in which the fee was negotiable. Legal Counsel, Steve Crain, questioned if the software price was included in the RFP/Q, and she stated it was. It was explained that the tax software is necessary; therefore, the County will have to pay either way.

With no further questions from the Court, they requested Purdue team to join