

## AGENDA <br> CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

City Hall - Council Chambers
6131 Taylorsville Road
October 26, 2021
6:00 P.M.

1. Call Meeting To Order
2. Roll Call
3. Opening Remarks By The Chair and Commissioners
4. Citizens Comments
5. Swearing of Witnesses
6. Pending Business
A. None
7. 

New Business
A. COMBINED BASIC AND DETAILED DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, MEGAN PRATHER, is requesting approval of a Combined Basic and Detailed Development Plan for 1.0 acres for property located at 6119 Brandt Pike, for Dogtown (ZC 21-37).
B. REZONING - The applicant, DANIEL GREENE, is requesting approval of a Rezoning to Planned Residential for . 3445 acres of property located at 4270 Murdock Avenue (ZC 21-40).
C. LOT SPLIT - The applicant, DANIEL GREENE, is requesting approval of a Lot Split of 3445 acres (ZC 21-39).
D. BASIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, DARIN SCHMIDT, is requesting approval of a Basic Development Plan for 19.1 acres for an Airsoft and Paintball facility for property located at 7860 Bellefontaine Road (ZC 21-36).
E. BASIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, DDC MANAGEMENT, is requesting approval of a Basic Development Plan for 172.5 acres for property located at Chambersburg Road, Villages of Westport, a Planned Residential Development (ZC 21-35).
F. BASIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, THE ANNEX GROUP, is requesting approval of a Basic Development Plan for 20 acres for property located at 6502 Old Troy Pike for 216 multi-family units in a Planned Residential Development (ZC 21-34).
G. DETAILED DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, is requesting approval of a Detailed Development Plan for .96 acres for property located at the northeast corner of Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike (ZC 21-33).
H. DETAILED DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, BROAD REACH RETAIL PARTNERS, LLC, is requesting approval of a Detailed Development Plan for 1.10 acres for property at the northeast corner of Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike (ZC 21-32).
I. FINAL PLAT - The applicant, CAMPBELL BERLING HUBER HEIGHTS, LLC, is requesting approval of a Final Plat for a residential subdivision containing 43 residential lots, 3 open space lots and on a public street for property located south of Chambersburg Road, east of Belmont Place, west of Stoney Creek Drive, north of Aaron Lane (ZC 21-38).
J. MINOR CHANGE - The applicant, SIGNS UNLIMITED, is requesting approval of a Minor Change for signage at property located at 7536 Brandt Pike, Take 5 Oil Change (ZC 21-41).
8. Additional Business
A. None
9. Approval of Minutes
10. Reports and Calendar Review

Minor Change - Texas Roadhouse
Major Change - Larkspur
Rezoning and Basic Development Plan - 6025 Taylorsville Road
11. Upcoming Meetings

November 9, 2021
December 14, 2021
12. Adjournment

Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
COMBINED BASIC AND DETAILED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Information
Agenda Title
COMBINED BASIC AND DETAILED DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, MEGAN PRATHER, is requesting approval of a Combined Basic and Detailed Development Plan for 1.0 acres for property located at 6119 Brandt Pike, for Dogtown (ZC 21-37).

Purpose and Background

|  | Attachments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staff Report |  |
| Decision Record |  |
| Drawings |  |
| Fire Assessment |  |

# Memorandum <br> Staff Report for Meeting of October 26, 2021 



## ORIGINAL APPROVAL:

APPLICABLE HHCC:
CORRESPONDENCE: In Favor - None Received
In Opposition - None Received

## ATTACHMENTS:

## OVERVIEW:

The applicant requests approval of a rezoning to Planned Mixed Use and a combined Basic and Detailed Development Plan for 1.0 acres at 6119 Brandt Pike for Dogtown.

## STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

The request is for the following:
The applicant requests approval of a rezoning to Planned Mixed Use and a combined Basic and Detailed Development Plan for 1.0 acres at 6119 Brandt Pike for Dogtown.

The City's Comprehensive Plan calls for this area to be Mixed Use B Town Center which includes commercial uses.

Sanitary and water will connect into the City's public main system at the edges of the development parcel. Drainage will be handled through a public storm sewer system which flows to an existing detention basin to the southwest. Twenty one parking spaces are proposed with two of those being ADA accessible. The proposal calls for ten foot wide spaces which matches the City's Zoning Code. A drop off area is located at the front of the building. The entrance comes off an existing access easement that leads to Brandt Pike and Fishburg Road. Lighting shall follow standard City Code. Landscaping is provided in all drive and parking islands and around the monument sign.

The building is made of brick, EIFS and integral color masonry units. The plan is for two stories. The total height of the building is 27 feet at the lobby and 24 feet throughout the rest of the building. Two outdoor areas are proposed for dog use. They are further away from any residential use than the current outside dog runs for the existing dog day care business in the shopping center. These areas are surrounded by six foot tall powder coated picket fences. The dumpster enclosure is proposed to at the rear and have masonry walls with a gate. The request is for a 10 foot high monument sign. Staff recommends the sign be limited to six feet tall and seventy five square feet total as per standard City code. One wall sign is proposed, which staff recommends to be a maximum of seventy five square feet in area.

The Zoning Code is as follows:

## CHAPTER 1179 (PM) PLANNED MIXED USE DISTRICT¹

[^0]
### 1179.01 Purpose.

The Planned Mixed-Use District (PM) is established to promote multi-use development where a citizen can work, shop, play, and live within a planned neighborhood. This planning concept allows uses that typically are separated by traditional zoning to be part of an overall multiple use design concept allowing each use to complementanother. By permitting residential, commercial, office, and institutional uses in the same district with the proper use of landscaping, buffering, access points, and parking, a PM development can provide a well balanced community for residents, visitors, and employees and provide unique characteristics that traditional land use planning often neglects. The PM also promotes different land uses that may act as transitional zoning between conflicting land use zones.
(Case 346; Ord. 99-O-1199, Passed 3-22-99)

### 1179.02 Permitted uses.

The uses outlined as permitted uses in the (PR) Planned Residential District, (PO) Planned Office District, (PP) Planned Public and Private Buildings and Grounds District, and (PC) Planned Commercial District are principal uses permitted in the (PM) Planned Mixed Use District except as prohibited in this chapter.
(Case 346; Ord. 99-O-1199, Passed 3-22-99)

### 1179.03 Accessory uses.

The uses outlined as accessory uses in the (PR) Planned Residential District, (PO) Planned Office District, (PP) Planned Public and Private Buildings and Grounds District, and (PC) Planned Commercial District are accessory uses permitted in the (PM) Planned Mixed Use District except as prohibited in this chapter.
(Case 346; Ord. 99-O-1199, Passed 3-22-99)

### 1179.04 Special uses.

The following shall be permitted as special uses:
(a) Places of worship.
(b) Fraternal organizations, service clubs and other nonprofit organizations in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 1135. In addition to the criteria set forth in Chapter 1135, the parking requirements may have to be reviewed yearly as determined by the Planning Commission.
(c) Service stations and filling stations.
(d) Light manufacturing, compounding, processing, assembling, packaging or treatment of goods, materials, and products.
(e) Commercial printing and publishing.
(f) Technical services and professional offices, including, but not limited to architects, engineers, surveyors, data processing facilities, testing laboratories and technical schools.
(g) Any use the principal function of which is basic research, design and/or pilot or experimental product development or technical training.
(h) Business and industrial service facilities.
(i) Laboratories: experimental, film, testing, research, or engineering.
(j) Computer-communications hardware assembly, testing and operation; development, testing, operation, and maintenance of software; and communications services and facilities that are incidental to the principal use.
(k) Medical, dental, and optical manufacturing.
(Case 346; Ord. 99-O-1199, Passed 3-22-99)

### 1179.05 Prohibited uses.

The following uses are specifically prohibited:
(a) Bingo Establishments and Instant Bingo Facilities;
(b) Kennels, unless as an accessory use to a veterinarian;
(c) Cemeteries;
(d) Airports;
(e) Blacksmith shops;
(f) Machine shops, sheet metal and commercial painting shops;
(g) Lumber yards;
(h) Establishments for display, hire, sale and repair of farm implements, semitractors, and semi-trailers;
(i) Truck stops or service stations servicing and/or repairing semis, semi-tractors and semi-trailers;
(j) Parking of semis, semi-tractors and semi-trailers except for the purposes of loading or unloading and located in a designated loading space for a reasonable length of time necessary to load or unload;
(k) Sexually oriented businesses;
(I) Outside storage except for trash containers or recycling containers that are screened as required by this chapter;
(m) Above ground parking garages.
(Case 346; Ord. 2000-O-1159, Passed 1-10-00)

### 1179.06 Development standards.

Except when specifically modified herein, the provisions of the Planning and Zoning Code shall govern. The following development standards apply to a PM development:
(a) Minimum Land Area Requirement. A minimum of 20 acres shall be required.
(b) Covenants. The developer of a PM developmentshall be required to submita set of covenants or deed restrictions with the Basic Development Plan application that will outline, at a minimum, developmentstandards and guidelines established in this chapter and any other requirements the developer and/or Planning Commission deems necessary. The Planning Commission may require additional or amended covenants as it deems necessary to ensure compliance with the Planning and Zoning Code and the Planned Mixed-Use District.
(c) Required Mix of Land Uses. A developer shall be required to provide a mix of land uses in a PM Development. At a minimum, at least two of the following uses are required in a PM Development: residential, commercial, office, institutional, and/or industrial.
(d) Site Planning.
(1) The combination of different uses whether as part of one building or as part of the overall developmentshall be designed and developed so as not to create a nuisance by excessive noise, light, vibration, odor, or any other annoyances for any uses within the development or neighboring properties.
(2) A PM development is to be designed so that buildings and structures are clustered and open space areas are preserved and maintained. Special care shall be given to protect preexisting natural features including, but not limited to, woodlands, ravines, streams, lakes, ponds, and/or flood plains. Impervious surface coverage, including, but not limited to, buildings, parking area, and accessways, shall not exceed 75 percent of the total development area. Therefore, 25 percent of the development area shall be reserved for green space.
(3) The number of ingress and egress points onto the public streets shall be limited in order to reduce the number of traffic conflict points. Adequate and properly arranged facilities for internal pedestrian and traffic circulations shall be provided. The street and thoroughfare network shall be designed to minimize truck traffic through residential areas of the development.
(4) Parking systems shall be designed so as to discourage single large unbroken paved lots for off-street parking and shall encourage smaller defined parking areas within the total parking system. Underground parking facilities are encouraged.
(5) The development shall be designed to tie all the uses into one overall community and encourage walking, biking, running, and alternative modes of transportation. Developers are encouraged to incorporate bus stops, bikeways, walkways, and crosswalks into an overall thematic scheme for pedestrian traffic. Sidewalks shall be required except, in the case of a golf course or specific open space development, the Planning Commission may determine them to be unnecessary.
(6) Any signs as proposed within this district, shall comply with Chapter 1189 "Signs". Additionally, a developer of a PM development shall develop and submit with the Detailed Development Plan application, a comprehensive set of graphic design criteria for signage in the development. This set of graphic design criteria for signage shall be approved by the Planning Commission and shall apply to all signage requests within the development. The criteria shall include, at a minimum, the sizes permitted (if different from Chapter 1189), colors permitted, materials permitted, typefaces permitted, type size permitted, and permitted illumination. Compliance with the on-site comprehensive graphics shall be verified by the Zoning Administrator during the sign permit review process.
(7) Minimum lot area, frontage and setback requirements may be varied to allow greater flexibility in design. However, the following shall be used as a guideline for development:
A. With multiple buildings on a single property, entirely residential buildings shall be at least 15 feet from another entirely residential building and at least 50 feet from nonresidential or mixed-use buildings.
B. With multiple buildings on a single property, nonresidential buildings or mixed-use buildings shall be at least 20 feet or one-half the height of the taller building apart, whichever is greater from another nonresidential or mixed-use building.
C. All nonresidential buildings or mixed-use buildings shall be set back at least 50 feet or the height of the structure, whichever is greater, from any residential property or residential building, whichever is closer, and from the public right-of-way. This setback applies to multiple buildings on a single property, to development within a PM development, and where it abuts to adjacent property.
(8) No maximum height restriction shall apply, except that the proposed development meets all Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Dayton International Airport or Wright Patterson Air Force Base height or abatement requirements.
(9) Common parking areas and accessways shall be lighted adequately with light fixtures that shall be designed to reflect light away from adjoining properties. Special attention will be given to protect entirely residential structures from light emitted from nonresidential land uses.
(10) Nonresidential uses shall have trash containers and/or receptacles (including recycling containers) placed to the rear of all structures and shall be screened or enclosed on four sides with opening doors for the purpose of trash removal. The placement of trash containers and/or receptacles in multi-family residential developments shall be as inconspicuous as possible. The use of a wooden or vinyl fence structure, earth mound, or wall with an opaqueness of 100 percent and a height of 12 inches above the top of the largest container is required.
(11) The architecture of nonresidential structures is encouraged to be unique yet similar in certain sections of the PM.
(12) The distribution systems for utilities are required to be underground.
(13) The use of privately ouned open space and public dedicated park land is encouraged as part of a PM development. Privately ouned open space shall be maintained by the developer or by a duly authorized ouner's association.
(14) The use of chain link fencing is prohibited. Additionally, on an entirely residential property, no fencing shall be permitted in the front yard and, in the case of a corner lot, no fencing shall be permitted in the side yard with frontage to a public right-of-way. The covenants submitted by the developer shall establish the height requirements for fencing in the development. Fencing in a development shall be uniform in height in related use areas. On an entirely residential property, fence height shall not exceed six feet.
(15) With the submission of a Basic Development Plan application, the applicant is required to submit a phasing plan that details when certain sections of the development will commence construction and when the sections will be complete.
(Case 346; Ord. 99-O-1199, Passed 3-22-99)

### 1179.07 Landscaping.

To protect and promote a harmonious development that ensures a functional and logical arrangement of mixed uses, the effective and efficient use of landscaping and buffering is required. Therefore, a PM development shall include the following landscaping and buffering:
(a) Development Landscaping. Within the PM development that is proposed, entirely residential buildings shall be screened from nonresidential and mixeduse buildings with a 20 foot wide buffer strip that includes a six foot high earth mound, wooden or vinyl fence, wall, landscaping and/or mixture thereof that shall maintain an opaqueness of at least 80 percent year around. Parking areas, accessways, or any impervious surfaces are prohibited within this buffer strip. If planted materials are used, the screen must achieve the required height, width, and opaqueness within two years of planting. The use of pre-
existing trees, natural features or amenities as part of this buffer is encouraged. The Planning Commission may approve some other arrangement of buffering if it determines that such an arrangement meets the intent of this requirement.
(b) Perimeter Landscaping. In a section of a PM development that contains nonresidential, mixed use, or multi-family buildings that abut a neighboring property with a single-family residential zoning designation or in a PM development section that contains an entirely residential section that abuts a neighboring property with a commercial, office, or multi-family zoning designation, the perimeter of the section of the PM development shall be screened with a 25 foot wide buffer strip that includes a six foot high earth mound, wooden or vinyl fence, wall, landscaping and/or mixture thereof that shall maintain an opaqueness of at least 80 percent year-round. Parking areas, accessways or an impervious surfaces are prohibited within this buffer strip. If planted materials are used, the screen must achieve the required height, width, and opaqueness within two years of planting. The use of pre-existing trees, natural features or amenities as part of this buffer is encouraged. The Planning Commission may approve some other arrangement of buffering if it determines that such an arrangement meets the intent of this requirement.
(c) Parking Lot Landscaping. All parking lots are required to have interior landscaped areas as outlined in Chapter 1185, "Parking and Loading".
(d) Street Tree Requirement. All frontage property within a PM development that abuts public rights-of-way and is developed with nonresidential, mixed use, and/or multi-family buildings is required to have one street tree per 40 feet of frontage planted just outside of the street right-of-way. Unless determined to be inappropriate by the City Engineer, street trees shall be planted at least four feet from the edge of the sidewalk on private property. All frontage property within a PM development along a major collector or better as defined by the Huber Heights Thoroughfare Plan, no matter what use, shall meet this requirement. The type of tree and size shall be proposed by the developer at the Detailed Development Plan application stage and approved by the Planning Commission. A list of appropriate trees with required caliper is available in the City Engineer's Office.
(Case 346; Ord. 99-O-1199, Passed 3-22-99)

### 1179.08 Parking and loading.

The provisions of Chapter 1185, "Parking and Loading" shall apply, except that the off-street loading spaces and docks shall be provided with area, location and design appropriate to the needs of the development and specific uses within it, and the space designated for off-street loading shall not be used for off-street parking. Within the PM development, off-street loading areas shall be physically isolated and/or enclosed from residences in or adjacent to the PM Development. In all cases, off-street loading spaces and docks are prohibited in the front and side yards of any property.
(Case 346; Ord. 99-O-1199, Passed 3-22-99)

### 1179.09 Planning commission/city council review.

All requirements within this chapter are to be used as guidelines and may be varied as part of the Basic or Detailed Development Plan approval if it is determined that such deviation will not adversely affect neighboring properties or the community as a whole. Additionally, any variation of these requirements shall, in no case, change the overall plan and character of the proposed development.
(Case 346; Ord. 99-O-1199, Passed 3-22-99)
1171.05 - Contents of basic developmentplan.
(a) The basic development plan shall consist of at least the following information together with such other data and materials as may be required by the City:
(1) Site plan showing the actual shape and dimensions of the lot to be built upon or to be changed in its use together with the location of the existing and proposed structures with approximate square footages, number of stories including heights of structures;
(2) Typical elevation vieus of the front and side of each type of building;
(3) Planning location and dimensions of all proposed drives, service access road, sidewalks, and curb openings;
(4) Parking lot areas (show dimensions of a typical parking space), unloading areas, fire lanes and handicapped parking;
(5) Landscaping plan, walls and fences;
(6) Storm water detention and surface drainage;
(7) Exterior lighting plan;
(8) Vehicular circulation pattern;
(9) Location and square footage of signs;
(10) Topographic survey; and
(11) Listing of proposed uses taken from the list of permitted and special uses of the PUD zoning district to which rezoning is being sought.
(b) The Planning Commission shall schedule both the proposed rezoning and the issue of approval of the basic development plan for a combined public hearing, following which it shall make its recommendation indicating approval, approval with modification or disapproval.
(Ord. 2006-O-1655, Passed 9-25-05)
1171.06-General standards for approval.

The Planning Commission shall review the application, prepared development plan and the facts presented at the hearing. The applicantshall have the burden of proof. No approval shall be given unless the Commission shall find by a preponderance of the evidence that such PUD on the proposed locations:
(a) Is consistent with official thoroughfare plan, comprehensive development plan and other applicable plans and policies;
(b) Could be substantially completed within the period of time specified in the schedule of development submitted by the developer;
(c) Is accessible from public roads that are adequate to carry the traffic that shall be imposed upon them by the proposed development. Further, the streets and driveways on the site of the proposed development shall be adequate to serve the residents or occupants of the proposed development;
(d) Shall not impose an undue burden on public services such as utilities, fire and police protection, and schools;
(e) Contains such proposed covenants, easements and other provisions relating to the proposed development standards as may reasonably be required for the public health, safety and welfare;
(f) Shall be landscaped or otherwise improved and the location and arrangement of structures, parking areas, walks, lighting and appurtenant facilities shall be compatible with the existing intended uses, and any part of a PUD not used for structures, parking and loading areas, or accessways;
(g) Shall preserve natural features such as water courses, trees, and rock outcrops, to the degree possible, so that they can enhance the overall design of the PUD;
(h) Is designed to take advantage of the existing land contours in order to provide satisfactory road gradients and suitable building lots and to facilitate the provision of proposed services;
(i) Shall place underground all electric and telephone facilities, streetlight wiring and other wiring conduits and similar facilities in any development which is primarily designed for or occupied by dwellings, unless waived by the Commission because of technical reasons;
(j) Shall not create excessive additional requirements at public cost of public facilities and services and shall not be detrimental to the economic welfare of the community;
(k) Shall not involve uses, activities, processes, materials, equipment, and conditions of operation that shall be detrimental to any persons, property or the general welfare by reason of excessive production of traffic, noise, smoke, fumes, glare or odors; and
(I) Rezoning of the land to the PUD District and approval of the development plan shall not adversely affect the public peace, health, morals, safety or welfare.
1171.07 - Review and recommendations by planning commission.

The Planning Commission shall review the proposed PUD as presented in the application and basic development plan in terms of the standards in Section 1171.06 and the specific requirements as outlined in all Planned Unit Developments. The Commission shall hold a public hearing on the proposed PUD. At least ten days in advance of such hearing, notice of time and place of such hearing shall be published in a neuspaper of general circulation in the City. Written notice of such hearing shall be mailed at least ten days before the public hearing to the ouners of property located within 200 feet of the property proposed for the PUD. The Planning Commission shall make its recommendation, indicating approval, approval with modifications, or disapproval. If the Commission recommends approving rezoning of land to a PUD District and also approves a basic development plan for the area to be rezoned, it may impose upon that plan any additional requirements or conditions deemed appropriate by the Commission to ensure that the development shall meet the standards described in Section 1171.06 and shall comply with the intention and objectives of this Zoning Ordinance.

If the ouner chooses to submit a combined development plan, the Planning Commission shall review the aspects of it constituting the basic development plan pursuant to the standards set out in Section 1171.06. The detailed development plan aspects shall be reviewed in the same manner as provided herein for review of detailed developmentplans.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)

### 1171.08 - Action by council.

Council shall hold a public hearing for application for rezoning and approval of the basic development plan (or combined development plan) after receiving the proposal from the Planning Commission. Atleast 15 days' notice of the time and place of such public hearing shall be placed in a newspaper of general circulation in the City. Written notice of such hearing shall be mailed at least ten days before the public hearing to the ouners of property located within 200 feet of the property proposed for the PUD. Council shall approve, reject or approve with modifications the rezoning and basic development plan in the same manner as other rezoning requests. If the applicant has chosen to submit a combined development plan, Council shall review the aspects of it constituting the basic development plan pursuant to the standards set out in Section 1171.06. If Council approves the basic developmentplan aspects of a combined development plan, the detailed development plan shall be deemed to be approved and no further action shall be required for the area covered by the combined development plan. If the basic development plan aspects of a combined development plan are modified, the combined development plan shall be changed in all aspects to meet that modification. The City staff in charge of plan review shall determine when the basic development plan or combined plan meets the modification required by Council.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)

### 1171.11 - Changes in the basic and detailed developmentplans.

A PUD shall be developed only according to the approved and recorded detailed development plan and supporting data together with all recorded amendments and shall be binding on the applicants, their successors, grantees and assigns and shall limit and control the use of premises (including the internal use of buildings and structures) and location of structures in the PUD as set forth therein.
(a) Major Changes. Changes which alter the concept, uses or intent of the PUD including increases in the number of units per acre, change in location or amount of nonresidential land uses, more than 15 percent modification in proportion of housing types, significant redesign of roadways, utilities or drainage, may be approved only by submission of a new basic plan and supporting data in accordance with Sections 1171.03, 1171.04 and 1171.05.
(b) Minor Changes. The Zoning Officer recommends to the Planning Commission approval or disapproval of the minor changes in the PUD. Minor changes are defined as any change not defined as a major change.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89)

## Planning Commission Decision Record

WHEREAS, on September 17, 2021, the applicant, Megan Prather, requested approval of a Basic and Detailed Development Plan for property located at 6119 Brandt Pike, (ZC 21-37); and

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2021, the Planning Commission did meet and fully discuss the details of the request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby recommended approval of the request.
moved to recommend approval of the request by the applicant, Megan Prather for a Basic and Detailed Development Plan for property located at 6119 Brandt Pike, (ZC 21-37) in accordance with the recommendation of Staff's Memorandum
Dated October 15, 2021 with the following conditions:

1. The Basic and Detailed Development Plan for Dogtown shall be the plans stamped received by the City of Huber Heights Planning Department on September 17, 2021.
2. Development ground signage shall have a maximum height of six (6) feet and shall be no larger than 75 square feet in total sign area.
3. The applicant shall receive final Engineering approval prior to a Zoning Certificate being issued.
4. The applicant shall address all Fire Division comments prior to a Zoning Certificate being issued.
5. Prior to the issuance of a zoning permit, the applicant shall enter into a PUD Agreement with the City for the purpose, but not the sole purpose,
of establishing the development obligations of the applicant and requiring the submittal of a performance bond, cash bond, or letter of credit to insure the installation of landscaping as approved. The bond or letter of credit shall be in an amount equal to the applicant's estimate of the cost of installation as approved by the Planning Department and shall remain in effect until such time as the landscaping has been completed as determined by the Planning Department. Upon completion of the installation of landscaping as required by the approved landscape plan, the applicant may request release of the performance bond or letter of credit. Following an inspection by the Planning Department and upon determination by the department that the landscaping has been completed in accordance with the approved landscaping plan, $80 \%$ of the performance bond or letter of credit may be released. However, the performance bond or letter of credit will not be released until a maintenance bond lasting three growing seasons, or letter of credit equal to $20 \%$ of the initial performance bond or letter of credit to ensure maintenance of the landscaping, is submitted to and accepted by the Planning Department. The term of the maintenance bond shall be three growing seasons.

Seconded by $\qquad$ . Roll call showed: YEAS: $\qquad$ . NAYS: $\qquad$ . Motion to recommend approval carried $\qquad$ .


PARKING CALCULATIONS


PARKING SPACCES REUIRED: 23 SPACCI






$\bigcirc \frac{\text { BD VIEW }}{\text { Nis }}$


## Huber Heights Fire Division

Inspections require two business days advance notice! (OAC)1301:7-7-09(A)(5)

| Occupancy Name: | Dogtown |
| :--- | :--- |
| Occupancy Address: | 6119 Brandt Pike |


| Type of Permit: | HHP\&D Site Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |


| MCBR BLD: | Not Yet Assigned | HH P\&D: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MCBR MEC: |  | HHFD Plan: | $21-218$ |
| MCBR ELE: |  | HHFD Box: | 46 |
| REVIEWER: | Susong | DATE: | $10 / 20 / 2021$ |

## Fire Department Comments:

The Huber Heights City Code Part 15 Refers to Fire Code Requirements and has adopted by reference OFC and IFC Appendices

- Approval of site is subject to compliance with the following. Additional requirements for structure may arise during permitting process:

Requirements: (Site Plan)

- Proposed driveways are acceptable and appear to meet Ohio Fire Code requirements for turn radius.
- Proposed fencing shall not obstruct fire department access to building. Approved gates shall be provided, or fencing moved away from building. Locking devices for gates shall be approved by Huber Heights Fire Division.
- If building will be equipped with a fire sprinkler system at least one fire hydrant will be required within 75 feet of the Fire Department Connection for the sprinkler system. (Huber Heights Codified Ordinance 1521.01)
- A permit shall be obtained for construction from Montgomery County Building Regulations.

Please reference contact information below for questions or concerns with this document.

[^1]Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
REZONING
Information
Agenda Title
REZONING - The applicant, DANIEL GREENE, is requesting approval of a Rezoning to Planned Residential for .3445 acres of property located at 4270 Murdock Avenue (ZC 21-40).

Purpose and Background

|  | Attachments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staff Report |  |
| Decision Record |  |

# Memorandum <br> Staff Report for Meeting of October 26, 2021 

To: Huber Heights City Planning Commission<br>From: Scott P. Falkowski, Assistant City Manager<br>Date: October 15, 2021<br>Subject: ZC 21-40 (Rezoning from B-1 Commercial to PR Planned Residential) . 3445 Acres 4270 Murdock Avenue

Application dated September 9, 2021
Department of Planning and Development City of Huber Heights
APPLICANT/OWNER: Daniel Greene - Applicant/Owner

| DEVELOPMENT NAME: | 4270 Murdock Avenue |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADDRESS/LOCATION: | 4270 Murdock Avenue |
| ZONING/ACREAGE: | B-1/0.344 acres |
| EXISTING LAND USE: | Residential |
| ZONING | R-4, B-1, PC |
| ADJACENT LAND: | The applicant requests approval of a Rezoning to PR <br> Planned Residential at 4270 Murdock Avenue. |
| REQUEST: |  |

ORIGINAL APPROVAL:
APPLICABLE HHCC:
CORRESPONDENCE: In Favor - None Received
In Opposition - None Received

## OVERVIEW:

The applicant requests rezoning from B-1 Commercial to PR Planned Residential District.

## STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

The applicant requests a lot combination of five parcels including 0.344 -acres in order to utilize the property for its current use. This new parcel combines five 25 -foot frontage lots which has existing buildings that cross said property lines. This cleans up the parcel into one ownership parcel. The property is zoned B-1 Commercial but has a residential house on the property and the owner would like to continue to have that use. A rezoning will be required of the newly combined parcel for the residential use. The rezoning will be to Planned Residential to allow for the current locations of all buildings on the site. No additional right-of-way was required with this split and rezoning. The surrounding uses at the area of the split are a combination of residential and commercial, so this use fits. No additional improvements are being requested with this application.

## CHAPTER 1172 (PR) PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT¹

### 1172.01 Principal permitted uses.

The following principal uses are permitted, provided that they are approved as provided for in this chapter:
(a) All residential uses permitted in all other chapters of the Zoning Ordinance such as: one family dwellings, two family dwellings, multiple family dwellings, including garden apartments, row houses, quadraminiums and condominiums;
(b) Churches and other places of worship;
(c) Colleges, primary and secondary schools under School Board or Parochial supervision, and public libraries;
(d) Public recreation buildings, parks, playgrounds and athletic fields under School Board, Parochial, other governmental supervision or "homeowners association" supervision; and
(e) Uses designed solely to serve in a complimentary way the needs of this District above.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89)

[^2]
### 1172.02 Accessory uses.

The following accessory uses are permitted:
(a) Uses customarily incidental to all permitted uses; and
(b) Temporary structures and uses required during construction in this District.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89; Ord. No. 2019-O-2398, § 1, 10-14-19)

### 1172.03 Development standards.

Except when specifically modified herein, the provisions of Chapter 1181, "General Provisions", shall govern. In addition, the following development standards apply:
(a) Minimum "PR" Land Area Requirement.
(1) A minimum of one acre shall be required.
(b) Dwelling Unit Density—Five Dwelling Units.
(1) The average dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed five dwelling units (DU) per acre.
(2) Minimum area standards such as individual lot size, frontage, setbacks, side and rear yards shall be those prescribed in the City approved detailed final development plans, except that:
A. Lots for detached single family dwellings shall meet each of the standards set forth in Section 1147.04.
(c) Dwelling Unit Density—Eight Dwelling Units.
(1) Dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed eight dwelling units per acre of land on which dwellings are constructed. For example, if the entire district is three acres but dwellings are constructed on two acres only, dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed 16 dwellings.
(2) Minimum area standards such as individual lot size, frontage, setbacks, side and rear yards shall be those prescribed in the City approved detailed final development plans, except that:
A. Lots for detached single family dwellings shall meet each of the standards set forth in Section 1147.04.
(d) Dwelling Unit Density—Twelve Dwelling Units.
(1) Dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed 12 dwelling units per acre of land on which dwellings are constructed. For example, if the entire district is three acres but dwellings are constructed on two acres only, dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed 24 dwellings.
(2) Minimum area standards such as individual lot size, frontage, setbacks, side and rear yards shall be those prescribed in the City approved detailed final development plans, except that:
A. Lots for detached single family dwellings shall meet each of the standards set forth in Section 1147.04.
(e) Character of Neighborhood. Use of the Planned Residential Zoning District for developments with a proposed dwelling unit density greater than five
dwelling units per acre shall be considered only when the district is bounded at least on one side by $R-5, R-6, R-7, O-1, B$, I, or Planned Development Districts.
(Case 378, 6-17-76; Case 235, 7-11-94; Ord. 94-O-711, Passed 7-11-94; Ord. 2006-O1664, Passed 10-23-06)

### 1172.04 Parking and loading.

(a) The provisions of Chapter 1185, "Parking and Loading", shall apply, except that at least two permanently maintained parking spaces shall be provided for each family unit, except for detached single family dwellings.
(b) Required parking spaces shall not be part of public thoroughfares, private roads leading to and serving the sites of the various uses in this district.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89)

### 1172.05 Utilities.

The distribution systems for utilities are required to be underground.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89)
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## Planning Commission Decision Record

WHEREAS, on September 9, 2021, the applicant, Daniel Greene, requested approval of a Rezoning from B-1 (Commercial) to PR (Planned Residential) for 0.3445 acres located at 4270 Murdock Avenue (ZC 21-40); and

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2021, the Planning Commission did meet and fully discuss the details of the request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE $\Pi$ RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby recommended approval of the request.
moved to recommend approval of the request by the applicant, Daniel Greene, for a Rezoning from B-1 (Commercial) to PR (Planned Residential) for 0.3445 acres located at 4270 Murdock Avenue (ZC 21-40) in accordance with the recommendation of Staff's Memorandum dated October 15, 2021 with the following conditions:

1. No additional improvements to the site are approved with this application.

Seconded by $\qquad$ . Roll call showed: YEAS: $\qquad$ . NAYS: $\qquad$ . Motion to recommend approval carried $\qquad$ .

Al-7892
7. C.

Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
LOT SPLIT

Information
Agenda Title
LOT SPLIT - The applicant, DANIEL GREENE, is requesting approval of a Lot Split of . 3445 acres (ZC 21-39).

Purpose and Background

|  | Attachments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staff Report |  |
| Decision Record |  |
| Drawing |  |

# Memorandum <br> Staff Reportfor Meeting of October26, 2019 

| To: | Huber Heights City Planning Commission |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Scott P. Falkowski, Assistant City Manager |
| Date: | October 15, 2021 |
| Subject: | ZC 21-39 (Lot Split for 4270 Murdock Avenue) |

Application dated September 09, 2021
Department of Planning and Zoning City of Huber Heights
APPLICANT/OWNER: Daniel Green - Applicant/Owner
DEVELOPMENT NAME: 4270 Murdock Avenue
ADDRESS/LOCATION: 4270 Murdock Avenue
ZONING/ACREAGE: B-1/0.344 acres
EXISTING LAND USE: Residential
ZONING
ADJACENT LAND:
R-4, B-1, PC
REQUEST:
The applicant requests approval of a lot split at 4270 Murdock Avenue.

ORIGINAL APPROVAL:
APPLICABLE HHCC:
Chapter
CORRESPONDENCE:
In Favor - None Received
In Opposition - None Received

## STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

## Overview

The applicant requests a lot combination of five parcels including 0.344 -acres in order to utilize the property for its current use. This new parcel combines five 25 -foot frontage lots which has existing buildings that cross said property lines. This cleans up the parcel into one ownership parcel. The property is zoned B-1 Commercial but has a residential house on the property and the owner would like to continue to have that use. A rezoning will be required of the newly combined parcel for the residential use. The rezoning will be to Planned Residential to allow for the current locations of all buildings on the site. No additional right-of-way is required with this combination. No new improvements are being requested with this application.

# Planning Commission Decision Record 

WHEREAS, on September 09, 2021, the applicant, Daniel Greene, requested approval of a lot split of .3445 acres at 4270 Murdock Avenue (Zoning Case 2139), and;

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2021, the Planning Commission did meet and fully discuss the details of the request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby approved the request.
$\qquad$ moved to approve the request by Daniel Greene for approval of Lot Split of .3445 acres at 4270 Murdock Avenue (Zoning Case 21-39) in accordance with the recommendation of Staff's Memorandum dated October 15, 2021 with the following conditions:

1. The Lot Split for 4270 Murdock Avenue shall be the plans stamped received by the City of Huber Heights Planning Department on September 09, 2021.
2. The lot combination shall be recorded with Montgomery County with documents prepared by a licensed surveyor.

Seconded by $\qquad$ . Roll call showed: YEAS: $\qquad$ . NAYS: $\qquad$ . Motion to approve carried $\qquad$ .


Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
BASIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Information
Agenda Title
BASIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, DARIN SCHMIDT, is requesting approval of a Basic Development Plan for 19.1 acres for an Airsoft and Paintball facility for property located at 7860 Bellefontaine Road (ZC 21-36).

Purpose and Background

|  | Attachments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staff Report |  |
| Decision Record |  |
| Drawing |  |
| Resident letter |  |
| Fire Assessment |  |
| Resident letter |  |
| Resident letter |  |

# Memorandum <br> Staff Report for Meeting of October 26, 2021 

| To: | Huber Heights City Planning Commission |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Scott P. Falkowski, Assistant City Manager |
| Date: | October 15, 2021 |
| Subject: | ZC 21-36 Basic Development Plan G2 Tactical 7860 Bellefontaine Road |

Application dated September 24, 2021
Department of Planning and Development City of Huber Heights
APPLICANT/OWNER: Darin Schmidt - Applicant/Owner
DEVELOPMENT NAME: G2 Tactical
ADDRESS/LOCATION: 7860 Bellefontaine Road
ZONING/ACREAGE:
Ag / 19.1
EXISTING LAND USE: Vacant
ZONING
ADJACENT LAND:

## REQUEST:

The applicant requests approval for a Basic Development Plan for 19.1 acres for G2 Tactical.

ORIGINAL APPROVAL:
APPLICABLE HHCC:
CORRESPONDENCE:
In Favor - None Received In Opposition - One Received

## ATTACHMENTS:

## OVERVIEW:

The applicant requests approval of a Basic Development Plan for 19.1 acres at 7860 Bellefontaine Road for a paintball and airsoft facility.

## STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

The applicant requests approval of a Basic Development Plan for 19.1 acres at 7860 Bellefontaine Road for a paintball and airsoft facility.

The City's Comprehensive Plan calls for this area to be Agricultural/Low Density Residential.

There are no public sanitary and water connections available at the site. Drainage calculations shall be submitted by a licensed professional engineer and follow the standards for water quality and quantity. The proposal calls for areas to be set up for paint ball and airsoft facilities. Staff's recommendation is that any building follow the standard Commercial Design as per City Code. Also, the entrance shall be upgraded to the City Standard of a concrete drive apron for commercial standards. Parking and loading shall follow the City Standards as well, including materials, number of spaces and dimensions. The minimum standard for a landscaped buffer in 15 feet along the southern and eastern property lines. The parking and loading facilities shall be a distance of at least 25 feet from the established right-of-way line, and the building(s) or the structure(s) at least 75 feet from the established right-of-way line per the Official Thoroughfare Plan or the recorded plat. The nearest residential structure to this property is approximately 780 feet away.

The Zoning Code for the proposal is as follows:

## CHAPTER 1176 (PC) PLANNED COMMERCIAL DISTRICT¹

### 1176.01 Principal permitted uses.

The following principal uses are permitted provided that they are approved as provided for in this chapter:
(a) Retail, office and commercial establishments;
(b) Personal service commercial establishments;
(c) Motels and hotels;
(d) Filling stations;

[^3](e) Service stations; and
(f) Public garages.
(g) Sweepstakes cafe.
(Ord. 2012-O-1948, Passed 3-12-12)

### 1176.02 Accessory uses.

Only the following accessory uses shall be permitted in this District:
(a) Uses customarily incident to all principal permitted uses; and
(b) Temporary buildings and uses for construction purposes, not to exceed 12 months.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89)

### 1176.03 Development standards.

Except when specifically modified herein, the provisions of Chapter 1181, "General Provisions" shall govern. In addition, the following development standards shall apply:
(a) Minimum Land Area Requirement.
(1) No minimum land area shall be required.
(b) Site Planning.
(1) All yards within the development plan except those abutting a Business or Industrial District shall be maintained in landscaping and not used for parking, to the extent of a minimum of 15 feet along property lines.
(2) The parking and loading facilities shall be a distance of at least 25 feet from the established right-of-way line, and the building(s) or the structure(s) at least 75 feet from the established right-of-way line per the Official Thoroughfare Plan or the recorded plat.
(Ord. 2006-O-1656, Passed 10-5-05)

### 1176.04 Parking and loading.

The provisions of Chapter 1185, "Parking and Loading" shall apply, except that offstreet loading space shall be provided with area, location and design appropriate to the needs of the development and specific uses within it, and the space designated for offstreet loading shall not be used for off-street parking.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89)

### 1176.05 Special uses.

The following shall be permitted as a special use:
(a) Reserved.
(b) Fraternal organizations, service clubs and other nonprofit organizations in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 1135. In addition to the criteria set forth in Chapter 1135, the parking requirements have to be reviewed yearly.
(c) Places of worship.
(Ord. 2000-O-1159, Passed 1-10-00; Ord. 2002-O-1354, Passed 6-24-02)
1171.05 - Contents of basic development plan.
(a) The basic development plan shall consist of at least the following information together with such other data and materials as may be required by the City:
(1) Site plan showing the actual shape and dimensions of the lot to be built upon or to be changed in its use together with the location of the existing and proposed structures with approximate square footages, number of stories including heights of structures;
(2) Typical elevation vieus of the front and side of each type of building;
(3) Planning location and dimensions of all proposed drives, service access road, sidewalks, and curb openings;
(4) Parking lot areas (show dimensions of a typical parking space), unloading areas, fire lanes and handicapped parking;
(5) Landscaping plan, walls and fences;
(6) Storm water detention and surface drainage;
(7) Exterior lighting plan;
(8) Vehicularcirculation pattern;
(9) Location and square footage of signs;
(10) Topographic survey; and
(11) Listing of proposed uses taken from the list of permitted and special uses of the PUD zoning district to which rezoning is being sought.
(b) The Planning Commission shall schedule both the proposed rezoning and the issue of approval of the basic development plan for a combined public hearing, following which it shall make its recommendation indicating approval, approval with modification or disapproval.

### 1171.06-General standards for approval.

The Planning Commission shall review the application, prepared developmentplan and the facts presented at the hearing. The applicantshall have the burden of proof. No approval shall be given unless the Commission shall find by a preponderance of the evidence that such PUD on the proposed locations:
(a) Is consistent with official thoroughfare plan, comprehensive development plan and other applicable plans and policies;
(b) Could be substantially completed within the period of time specified in the schedule of development submitted by the developer;
(c) Is accessible from public roads that are adequate to carry the traffic that shall be imposed upon them by the proposed development. Further, the streets and driveways on the site of the proposed development shall be adequate to serve the residents or occupants of the proposed development;
(d) Shall not impose an undue burden on public services such as utilities, fire and police protection, and schools;
(e) Contains such proposed covenants, easements and other provisions relating to the proposed development standards as may reasonably be required for the public health, safety and welfare;
(f) Shall be landscaped or otherwise improved and the location and arrangement of structures, parking areas, walks, lighting and appurtenant facilities shall be compatible with the existing intended uses, and any part of a PUD not used for structures, parking and loading areas, or accessways;
(g) Shall preserve natural features such as water courses, trees, and rock outcrops, to the degree possible, so that they can enhance the overall design of the PUD;
(h) Is designed to take advantage of the existing land contours in order to provide satisfactory road gradients and suitable building lots and to facilitate the provision of proposed services;
(i) Shall place underground all electric and telephone facilities, street light wiring and other wiring conduits and similar facilities in any development which is primarily designed for or occupied by dwellings, unless waived by the Commission because of technical reasons;
(j) Shall not create excessive additional requirements at public cost of public facilities and services and shall not be detrimental to the economic welfare of the community;
(k) Shall not involve uses, activities, processes, materials, equipment, and conditions of operation that shall be detrimental to any persons, property or the
general welfare by reason of excessive production of traffic, noise, smoke, fumes, glare or odors; and
(I) Rezoning of the land to the PUD District and approval of the development plan shall not adversely affect the public peace, health, morals, safety or welfare.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)

### 1171.07-Review and recommendations by planning commission.

The Planning Commission shall review the proposed PUD as presented in the application and basic development plan in terms of the standards in Section 1171.06 and the specific requirements as outlined in all Planned Unit Developments. The Commission shall hold a public hearing on the proposed PUD. At least ten days in advance of such hearing, notice of time and place of such hearing shall be published in a neuspaper of general circulation in the City. Written notice of such hearing shall be mailed at least ten days before the public hearing to the owners of property located within 200 feet of the property proposed for the PUD. The Planning Commission shall make its recommendation, indicating approval, approval with modifications, or disapproval. If the Commission recommends approving rezoning of land to a PUD District and also approves a basic development plan for the area to be rezoned, it may impose upon that plan any additional requirements or conditions deemed appropriate by the Commission to ensure that the developmentshall meet the standards described in Section 1171.06 and shall comply with the intention and objectives of this Zoning Ordinance.

If the ouner chooses to submit a combined development plan, the Planning Commission shall review the aspects of it constituting the basic development plan pursuant to the standards set out in Section 1171.06. The detailed development plan aspects shall be reviewed in the same manner as provided herein for review of detailed developmentplans.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)

### 1171.08 - Action by council.

Council shall hold a public hearing for application for rezoning and approval of the basic development plan (or combined development plan) after receiving the proposal from the Planning Commission. Atleast 15 days' notice of the time and place of such public hearing shall be placed in a newspaper of general circulation in the City. Written notice of such hearing shall be mailed at least ten days before the public hearing to the owners of property located within 200 feet of the property proposed for the PUD. Council shall approve, reject or approve with modifications the rezoning and basic development plan in the same manner as other rezoning requests. If the applicant has
chosen to submit a combined development plan, Council shall review the aspects of it constituting the basic development plan pursuant to the standards set out in Section 1171.06. If Council approves the basic developmentplan aspects of a combined development plan, the detailed development plan shall be deemed to be approved and no further action shall be required for the area covered by the combined development plan. If the basic development plan aspects of a combined development plan are modified, the combined development plan shall be changed in all aspects to meet that modification. The City staff in charge of plan review shall determine when the basic development plan or combined plan meets the modification required by Council.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)

### 1171.11-Changes in the basic and detailed development plans.

A PUD shall be developed only according to the approved and recorded detailed development plan and supporting data together with all recorded amendments and shall be binding on the applicants, their successors, grantees and assigns and shall limit and control the use of premises (including the internal use of buildings and structures) and location of structures in the PUD as set forth therein.
(a) Major Changes. Changes which alter the concept, uses or intent of the PUD including increases in the number of units per acre, change in location or amount of nonresidential land uses, more than 15 percent modification in proportion of housing types, significant redesign of roadways, utilities or drainage, may be approved only by submission of a new basic plan and supporting data in accordance with Sections 1171.03, 1171.04 and 1171.05.
(b) Minor Changes. The Zoning Officer recommends to the Planning Commission approval or disapproval of the minor changes in the PUD. Minor changes are defined as any change not defined as a major change.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89)

## Planning Commission Decision Record

WHEREAS, on September 24, 2021, the applicant, Darin Schmidt, requested approval of a rezoning from A (Agriculture) to PC (Planned Commercial) and a Basic Development Plan for property located at 7860 Bellefontaine Road, Parcel Number P70-03905-0060 of the Montgomery County, Ohio Records (ZC 21-36); and

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2021, the Planning Commission did meet and fully discuss the details of the request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby recommended approval of the request.
moved to recommend approval of the request by the applicant, Darin Schmidt for a rezoning from A (Agriculture) to PC (Planned Commercial) and a Basic Development Plan for property located at 7860 Bellefontaine Road, Parcel Number P70-03905-0060 of the Montgomery County, Ohio Records (ZC 21-36) in accordance with the recommendation of Staff's Memorandum dated October 15, 2021 with the following conditions:

1. The Basic Development Plan shall be the plans stamped received by the City of Huber Heights Planning Department on September 24, 2021.
2. Prior to the issuance of a zoning permit, the applicant shall submit and receive approval of a Detailed Development Plan through the Planning Commission.
3. The drive approach shall be a City standard concrete commercial drive entrance.
4. Parking and Loading shall meet the requirements of Chapter 1185 of the City Code.
5. A drainage report shall be submitted following the Montgomery County Standards for water quality and quantity.

ZC 21-36 - Decision Record
6. Buildings shall meet the City's commercial design standards.
7. Applicant shall meet all Fire Code requirements.
8. A 15' preservation zone shall be placed along the Southern and Eastern boundary lines.

Seconded by $\qquad$ . Roll call showed: YEAS: $\qquad$ . NAYS: $\qquad$ . Motion to recommend approval carried $\qquad$ .


Huber Heights Planning Commission
Terry Walton Chairman
Re: $\quad$ Case No. 21-36
Address: 7860 Bellefontaine Rd, Huber Heights, OH 45424

Terry \& Commission Members,
My name is Michael Bickley and I own 11.4 acres at 8827 Taylorsville Rd. My property and farm field are situated 200 feet to the east of the proposed development. My property is bordered to the south by Taylorsville Rd. and to the north by Interstate 70.

I am unable to attend the October, 26 meeting as I will be out of town.

I have many concerns about such a development and would very much appreciate your review and consideration when looking to approve such a development in our rural area of Huber Heights. I would hope that once you have reviewed my concerns that you would not grant the approval for this development.

## Environmental Concerns:

The subject property is situated along the Dry Lick Run Creek which runs west to east and is positioned on the south border. This is the creek that runs thru Carriage Hill Farms, under I-70, and continues east thru all of the properties to the south and feeding into the Mad River in Greene County. As many of you may recall this is the creek which flooded and shut down I-70 at one time. This creek is very active with fish including trout spawning up from the Mad River. As we continue to have development in the northwest corner of Huber Heights the level of the creek rises very quickly from rain and storm runoff.

The elevation of the Bellefontaine Rd property slopes to the south which would definitely allow for increased water runoff as well as possible waste (paint ball) contamination of the creek. The possible pollution and paint ball dye reaching the creek could be severe to the environment and wildlife.

## Noise Concerns:

We live in the rural part of Huber Heights, as we say out in the country. We do have some interstate noise but other than that it is pretty quiet out here. We spend a lot of time in the woods, out by the pool, as well as on the back patio enjoying the quiet. I would be very concerned over the continual yelling and popping of air soft guns from the team battles going on in such a development. The sound from such a development would definitely reach our property.

## Safety Concerns:

The property is bordered to the north by interstate 70 traffic. I would have concerns about stray paint balls reaching the interstate and resulting in distracting a driver and a possible collision. The liability concern for the developer as well as the City of Huber Heights for approving such a project could be huge.

## Hunting and Shooting:

Myself as well as many of the neighbors are actively involved in hunting and shooting. I am a licensed hunter in the State of Ohio and I am also a licensed fur trapper. Many of the neighbors actively target shoot and participate in the Ohio hunting seasons as well as the Ohio Deer Gun Hunting season. One of the reasons that I purchased this property is for the ability to hunt right out my back door. Allowing such a development could possibly infringe on our right and ability to hunt and shoot as we have always done.

## Trespassing:

There have been cases of random people wandering where they shouldn't be. I have several of cases where people have been captured on my trail cameras in the woods, as well as some that I have seen on the neighbor's trail cameras as well. The increase in activity on such a development would possibly increase the trespassing traffic and being in a rural, private area, I would have a concern for burglary and theft, that we have never had to worry about prior to such a development.

## Summary:

For the reasons stated above, I would request that the City Planning Commission to Not grant the approval for such a development. I feel that such a development would definitely result in environmental contamination and runoff into the Dry Lick Run Creek causing damage to the creek and wildlife. I feel that such a development would be a public nuisance and would disturb the piece in our rural part of this community. I feel that the liability exposure for the City of Huber Heights allowing such a facility bordering I-70 could be huge. I feel that such a development would infringe on our ability to shoot and hunt on our properties, and I feel that here would be a huge concern for increased trespassing traffic as well as burglary and theft on the bordering properties.

I appreciate your review of my thoughts.
Thank you,
Michael Bickley
8827 Taylorsville Rd
Huber Heights, OH 45424
937-475-5170

## $\mathcal{H} u$ er $\mathcal{H}$ eights Fire $\operatorname{Division}$

Inspections require two business days advance notice! (OAC)1301:7-7-09(A)(5)

| Occupancy Name: | G2 Tactical |
| :--- | :--- |
| Occupancy Address: | 7860 Bellefontaine Road |


| Type of Permit: | HHP\&D Site Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |


| MCBR BLD: | Not Yet Assigned | HH P\&D: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MCBR MEC: |  | HHFD Plan: | $21-220$ |
| MCBR ELE: |  | HHFD Box: |  |
| REVIEWER: | Susong | DATE: | $10 / 25 / 2021$ |

## Fire Department Comments:

The Huber Heights City Code Part 15 Refers to Fire Code Requirements and has adopted by reference OFC and IFC Appendices
THIS DRAWING HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED AT THIS TIME DUE TO THE FOLLOWING:

## Site Plan :

- Fire Department access roads shall be 20 feet in width and a vertical clearance of 13 feet 6 inches. Ohio Fire Code 503.2.1. Access needs to be shown on drawing.
- Access roads shall be capable of handling the imposed load of fire apparatus and have an all-weather driving surface. Ohio Fire Code 503.2.4.
- Fire hydrants shall be provided where any portion of the building is greater than 400 feet from existing hydrants. Ohio Fire Code 507.5.1 and HHCO 1521.06(c).
- Please clarify parking and staging areas
- All new places of assembly, educational, health care, detention and correctional occupancies, and business, industrial, storage or unusual structures, which are located more than 150 feet from a public street, or which require quantities of water beyond the capabilities of the public water distribution system shall be provided with properly placed fire hydrants. HHCO 1521.01(a).


## Building:

- Clarify proposed occupancy of building.

Please reference contact information below for questions or concerns with this document.

[^4]
# Edwin I. Howell <br> 8653 Taylorsville Road <br> Huber Heights, OH 45424-6335 <br> (937) 236-2144 

Terry Walton, Chairman
City Planning Commission
City of Huber Heights
6131 Taylorsville Road
Huber Heights, OH 45424

Subject: Case No. 21-36
Dear Mr. Walton,
In regards to an application filed by Darin Schmidt for approval, l oppose the Basic Development Plan for 19.1 acres for an AirSoft and Paintball facility at 7860 Bellefontaine Road. It adjoins 565.62 feet of my property and I have several concerns as follows:

- Litter on my property
- Noise from air guns on residents and animals
- Toxicity to animals (including my 3 dogs) from eating discharged air gun expended ammunition
- Trespassers on my property
- Safety of drivers on adjacent roadways as well as safety of people on my property
- Paintball and AirSoft facility usage promotes criminal activities
- Property values in area likely to decrease
- Non-profitable business leading to eyesore when it closes

Mr. Schmidt started a business (G2 Tactical) in the city of Troy in the State of Ohio under fictitious name registration document number "201921800818" effective 08/06/2019. Slightly over 8 months later the fictitious name "G2 Tactical" was cancelled per document number "202010603756" effective $04 / 15 / 2020$. What was the reason for this cancellation?

Is there sufficient demand for Huber Heights to have a second AirSoft and Paintball facility? What does the business plan predict the demand will be to make the business profitable? The website for 170 Paintball and Airsoft business on Wildcat Road in Huber Heights shows that they're open on Monday through Thursday only by appointment and only for groups of 15 or more so it appears there is not much demand during weekdays.

Should you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact me at (937) 236-2144.

Best Regards,


Edwin I. Howell

# Huber Heights Planning Commission 

Re: Case No. 21-36
Address: 7860 Bellefontaine Road
My name is Rich Moore, and the back portion of my property is adjacent to the proposed airsoft and paintball facility. My family lives at 8787 Taylorsville Road. It is a beautiful 8 acre lot. We've lived at this property for almost 20 years, raising our four children, with two of them still in school. We moved to this property from inner Huber Heights for the peace, privacy, and natural surroundings. Quite frankly, if the proposed facility had been in place when we were househunting, we never would have bought the property. I have several concerns with this proposed action:
(1)Safety of Children: I have a son who frequently has friends over, and they like to hike in our back woods. I would not feel comfortable having them hike back there anymore, as they would be directly next to individuals running around with paintball and airsoft guns. I also worry that these individuals will not be screened for being child predators. There are numerous documented instances of paintball facilities being nuisances when they are located in neighborhoods.
(2)Safety of Others: My neighbors and I frequently walk through the portion of our property adjacent to this proposed facility. None of us want to be dodging errant paintball or airsoft bb's, or being forced to wear protective gear as we walk around our property. And, what about the I-70 drivers? While it is unlikely, though not impossible, for a paintball shot to reach I-70 drivers, it is very likely that Airsoft BB's could, as they can shoot up to 300 feet or more.
(3)Trespassing: There are no natural boundaries in that area, so individuals will be free to roam onto other's properties. What's to stop them from extending their games onto our property, and even towards our houses?
(4)Litter: These types of facilities produce a lot of trash, including the paintball capsules, airsoft BB's, batteries, and other material associated with the game. The participants can also leave behind other trash. I don't want that on my property.
(5) Environmental Impact: According to paintballusa.org:
"The paintball is made of a biodegradable gelatin that will dissolve with time. However, the paint inside of the paintball can at times be questionable. Cheaper paintballs or seconds sometimes use an oil-based fill. This oil can damage the environment, not to mention any animal that might find a few dropped paintballs on the ground and eat them.
In addition, the paintball is made of food-grade materials, which is excellent for those who might accidentally get some paint in their mouth. However, it is extremely toxic to both cats and dogs. If it is lethal to those animals, it is highly likely to be lethal to other animals as well."

According to bachbio.com, an airsoft promotional site:
"A traditional plastic BB can take years to fully decompose, with some studies showing that trace materials were still found after seven years. These pellets can leak toxic chemicals into the environment as they degrade and will cause landfill pollution during this process."

According to Wikipedia and ubuntumanual.org:
"These non-biodegradable plastics more often than not have a mineral or petroleum-based-center. When used on the environment, they could last for several centuries on end. These plastics end up making the soil infertile and as a result affect plant growth. Due to their smaller sizes and durability, they are bound to be picked up by kids or animals and consumed leading to complications. They may also be carried away by rain and be accumulated in drainage choke-points.
In large water bodies, they can be eaten by marine life and lead to complications and in severe cases lead to death.
Several countries and states have banned airsoft guns due to environmental impacts."
Everyone in this area has wells. And, Dry Lick Run Creek flows through the area, and I'm told it is controlled as a navigable waterway by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. There are several drainage lines from that field down towards our houses and Dry Lick Run Creek. And, that area is prone to flooding, increasing the chances the toxic material will flow to our properties and downstream in Dry Lick Run Creek. There is clear danger
for the fish, turtles, and amphibians in that area. There is also danger to the other wildlife in the area, including deer, fox, beaver, and others. And, most importantly, there is danger to our pets. I don't want that toxic waste anywhere near my two dogs.
(6) Quality of Life: We are blessed to live in one of the few remaining quiet, rural areas of HH . We are able to hike, fish, hunt, and do many other outdoor activities right in our backyards. This facility would drastically reduce and, in many cases, eliminate those opportunities. In my case, I've been working with the US Dept of Agriculture to reforest the land to make it more appealing for outdoor enjoyment and wildlife. I've removed over 4 acres of honeysuckle and planted over 700 trees, significantly improving the quality and value of my property. Much of the value of that work would be compromised by this facility.
(7)Noise: We live in a very peaceful area. The only noise we can hear on our property is the low hum of traffic on I-70. This facility would violate that peace with a constant pop-pop-pop sound of guns as well loud music being played during tournaments.
(8)Economics: According to IBISWorld, an industry research company for over 50 years:
"The market size of the Paintball Fields industry in the US has declined 0.3\% per year on average between 2016 and 2021."

We already have 1-70 Paintball \& Airsoft in Huber Heights only 5 miles away. This new facility would only steal business from that company, not increase overall tax revenue for the city. And how much money are we talking about? According to howtostartanllc.com:
"With a good business plan, paintball business owners earn an average of between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 30,000$ per year."

And, those estimates are probably not considering having two facilities within 5 miles of each other ... so the true earnings might only be half of that. Clearly, the economic value of this proposed facility will be very low.

Contrast that with the property values in that area. This is some of the highest value property in HH , with the 6 adjacent properties on Taylorsville Rd alone appraising for about $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}$. That's $\sim 100 \mathrm{x}$ more
than the annual earnings this proposed business might make. And the annual property taxes paid on these adjacent properties is likely double the earnings of the proposed business. A rough analysis of the net tax revenue implications for the city shows the proposed business would need to have earnings roughly 10x the \$20-30K mentioned above to offset the loss of real estate taxes from even a modest $10 \%$ reduction in the property values of the adjacent properties. And that doesn't even consider the effect of reduced property values from the nearby, but not adjacent, properties. Again, who's going to want to buy a house with a paintball and airsoft facility as it's neighbor? This proposal doesn't make good economic sense for Huber Heights.
(9)Future: When this facility goes under, which the data suggests is certainly likely, what will be left? It will either be left as an eyesore for the neighborhood, or it will be turned into some other commercial facility that would again have detrimental effects on the area and it's residents.

In closing, due to concerns about safety, trespassing, litter, environmental impacts, quality of life, noise, and economics, I strongly urge the Planning Commission to protect our neighborhood and recommend disapproval of this application. Thank you.

Richard Moore 8787 Taylorsville Road Huber Heights, OH 45424 937-993-6104

Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
BASIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN

## Information

Agenda Title
BASIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, DDC MANAGEMENT, is requesting approval of a Basic Development Plan for 172.5 acres for property located at Chambersburg Road, Villages of Westport, a Planned Residential Development (ZC 21-35).

Purpose and Background

Attachments<br>Staff Report<br>Decision Record<br>Drawings<br>Fire Assessment

# Memorandum <br> Staff Report for Meeting of October 26, 2021 

| To: | Huber Heights City Planning Commission |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Scott P. Falkowski, Assistant City Manager |
| Date: | October 15, 2021 |
| Subject: | ZC 21-35 Basic Development Plan Villages of Westport |

Application dated October 1, 2021
Department of Planning and Development City of Huber Heights

APPLICANT/OWNER: $\quad$| DDC Management - Applicant |
| :--- |
|  |
| Meridian Land Group LTD \& Wild Creek Properties |
|  |
| LTD/Owner |

DEVELOPMENT NAME: Villages of Westport
ADDRESS/LOCATION: Chambersburg Road
ZONING/ACREAGE: / 172.5
EXISTING LAND USE: Vacant
ZONING
ADJACENT LAND: PC, PP, R-1, R-4

## REQUEST:

The applicant requests approval for a Basic Development Plan for 172.5 acres for Villages of Westport, a Planned Residential Development.

ORIGINAL APPROVAL:
APPLICABLE HHCC:
CORRESPONDENCE:

In Favor - None Received
In Opposition - None Received

## ATTACHMENTS:

## OVERVIEW:

The applicant requests approval of a Rezoning to Planned Residential and a Basic Development Plan for 172.5 acres on Chambersburg Road for a residential subdivision.

## STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

The applicant is looking to develop 172.5 acres on the north side of Chambersburg Road. The intent is to build two hundred and eighty-three (283) residential lots. The request is to rezone to Planned Residential for this development.

The Zoning Code is as follows:

## CHAPTER 1172 -(PR) PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ${ }^{[42]}$

### 1172.01 - Principal permitted uses.

The following principal uses are permitted, provided that they are approved as provided for in this chapter:
(a) All residential uses permitted in all other chapters of the Zoning Ordinance such as: one family dwellings, two family dwellings, multiple family dwellings, including garden apartments, row houses, quadraminiums and condominiums;
(b) Churches and other places of worship;
(c) Colleges, primary and secondary schools under School Board or Parochial supervision, and public libraries;
(d) Public recreation buildings, parks, playgrounds and athletic fields under School Board, Parochial, other governmental supervision or "homeowners association" supervision; and
(e) Uses designed solely to serve in a complimentary way the needs of this District above.
1172.02 - Accessory uses.

The following accessory uses are permitted:
(a) Uses customarily incidental to all permitted uses; and
(b) Temporary structures and uses required during construction in this District.
1172.03 - Development standards.

Except when specifically modified herein, the provisions of Chapter 1181, "General Provisions", shall govern. In addition, the following development standards apply:
(a) Minimum "PR" Land Area Requirement.
(1) A minimum of one acre shall be required.
(b) Dwelling Unit Density—Five Dwelling Units.
(1) The average dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed five dwelling units (DU) per acre.
(2) Minimum area standards such as individual lot size, frontage, setbacks, side and rear yards shall be those prescribed in the City approved detailed final development plans, except that:
A. Lots for detached single family dwellings shall meet each of the standards set forth in Section 1147.04.
(c) Dwelling Unit Density—Eight Dwelling Units.
(1) Dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed eight dwelling units per acre of land on which dwellings are constructed. For example, if the entire district is three acres but dwellings are constructed on two acres only, dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed 16 dwellings.
(2) Minimum area standards such as individual lot size, frontage, setbacks, side, and rear yards shall be those prescribed in the City approved detailed final development plans, except that:
A. Lots for detached single family dwellings shall meet each of the standards set forth in Section 1147.04.
(d) Dwelling Unit Density—Twelve Dwelling Units.
(1) Dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed 12 dwelling units per acre of land on which dwellings are constructed. For example, if the entire district is three acres but dwellings are constructed on two acres only, dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed 24 dwellings.
(2) Minimum area standards such as individual lot size, frontage, setbacks, side and rear yards shall be those prescribed in the City approved detailed final development plans, except that:
A. Lots for detached single family dwellings shall meet each of the standards set forth in Section 1147.04.
(e) Character of Neighborhood. Use of the Planned Residential Zoning District for developments with a proposed dwelling unit density greater than five dwelling units per acre shall be considered only when the district is bounded at least on one side by R-5, R-6, R-7, O-1, B, I, or Planned Development Districts.
1172.04 - Parking and loading.
(a) The provisions of Chapter 1185, "Parking and Loading", shall apply, except that at least two permanently maintained parking spaces shall be provided for each family unit, except for detached single family dwellings.
(b) Required parking spaces shall not be part of public thoroughfares, private roads leading to and serving the sites of the various uses in this district.
1172.05 - Utilities.

The distribution systems for utilities are required to be underground.
The request is for the following:
The City's Comprehensive Plan calls for this area to be Single Family Residential with a maximum of 6 units per acre. The proposed density is 1.65 dwelling units per acre.

Sanitary and water will connect into the City's public main system. Water and sanitary are located along the perimeter of the property. Drainage will be handled through a public storm sewer system including detention basins, following the City's Code for storm water drainage. Chambersburg Road will be improved to a forty-five (45) foot half right-of-way section per our City's Thoroughfare Plan. All streets will be public with curb and sidewalk on both sides.

Two styles of lot are being proposed. All lots are proposed to have a minimum of 120 feet in depth, twenty five foot front yards, five foot side yards and twenty five foot rear yards. The two styles are fifty one foot minimum width lots and seventy foot minimum width lots. The total lots count is 283 lots, with 98 of them being the 70 foot lots and 185 being the 51 foot lots. The seventy foot lots are all located at the exterior of the development. The proposal calls for 101.58 acres of open space, or $59.4 \%$ of the development. Staff recommends that a minimum of $25 \%$ of the surface area of the front façade shall be finished with brick or stone masonry products.

### 1171.05 - Contents of basic developmentplan.

(a) The basic development plan shall consist of at least the following information together with such other data and materials as may be required by the City:
(1) Site plan showing the actual shape and dimensions of the lot to be built upon or to be changed in its use together with the location of the existing and proposed structures with approximate square footages, number of stories including heights of structures;
(2) Typical elevation vieus of the front and side of each type of building;
(3) Planning location and dimensions of all proposed drives, service access road, sidewalks, and curb openings;
(4) Parking lot areas (show dimensions of a typical parking space), unloading areas, fire lanes and handicapped parking;
(5) Landscaping plan, walls and fences;
(6) Storm water detention and surface drainage;
(7) Exterior lighting plan;
(8) Vehicularcirculation pattern;
(9) Location and square footage of signs;
(10) Topographic survey; and
(11) Listing of proposed uses taken from the list of permitted and special uses of the PUD zoning district to which rezoning is being sought.
(b) The Planning Commission shall schedule both the proposed rezoning and the issue of approval of the basic development plan for a combined public hearing, following which it shall make its recommendation indicating approval, approval with modification or disapproval.
(Ord. 2006-O-1655, Passed 9-25-05)

### 1171.06-General standards for approval.

The Planning Commission shall review the application, prepared development plan and the facts presented at the hearing. The applicantshall have the burden of proof. No approval shall be given unless the Commission shall find by a preponderance of the evidence that such PUD on the proposed locations:
(a) Is consistent with official thoroughfare plan, comprehensive development plan and other applicable plans and policies;
(b) Could be substantially completed within the period of time specified in the schedule of development submitted by the developer;
(c) Is accessible from public roads that are adequate to carry the traffic that shall be imposed upon them by the proposed development. Further, the streets and driveways on the site of the proposed development shall be adequate to serve the residents or occupants of the proposed development;
(d) Shall not impose an undue burden on public services such as utilities, fire and police protection, and schools;
(e) Contains such proposed covenants, easements and other provisions relating to the proposed development standards as may reasonably be required for the public health, safety and welfare;
(f) Shall be landscaped or otherwise improved and the location and arrangement of structures, parking areas, walks, lighting and appurtenant facilities shall be compatible with the existing intended uses, and any part of a PUD not used for structures, parking and loading areas, or accessways;
(g) Shall preserve natural features such as water courses, trees, and rock outcrops, to the degree possible, so that they can enhance the overall design of the PUD;
(h) Is designed to take advantage of the existing land contours in order to provide satisfactory road gradients and suitable building lots and to facilitate the provision of proposed services;
(i) Shall place underground all electric and telephone facilities, street light wiring and other wiring conduits and similar facilities in any development which is primarily designed for or occupied by dwellings, unless waived by the Commission because of technical reasons;
(j) Shall not create excessive additional requirements at public cost of public facilities and services and shall not be detrimental to the economic welfare of the community;
(k) Shall not involve uses, activities, processes, materials, equipment, and conditions of operation that shall be detrimental to any persons, property or the general welfare by reason of excessive production of traffic, noise, smoke, fumes, glare or odors; and
(I) Rezoning of the land to the PUD District and approval of the development plan shall not adversely affect the public peace, health, morals, safety or welfare.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)
1171.07-Review and recommendations by planning commission.

The Planning Commission shall review the proposed PUD as presented in the application and basic developmentplan in terms of the standards in Section 1171.06 and the specific requirements as outlined in all Planned Unit Developments. The Commission shall hold a public hearing on the proposed PUD. At least ten days in advance of such hearing, notice of time and place of such hearing shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City. Written notice of such hearing shall be mailed at least ten days before the public hearing to the ouners of property located within 200 feet of the property proposed for the PUD. The Planning Commission shall make its recommendation, indicating approval, approval with modifications, or disapproval. If the Commission recommends approving rezoning of land to a PUD District and also approves a basic development plan for the area to be rezoned, it may impose upon that plan any additional requirements or conditions deemed appropriate by the Commission to ensure that the development shall meet the standards described in Section 1171.06 and shall comply with the intention and objectives of this Zoning Ordinance.

If the ouner chooses to submit a combined development plan, the Planning Commission shall review the aspects of it constituting the basic development plan pursuant to the standards set out in Section 1171.06. The detailed development plan aspects shall be reviewed in the same manner as provided herein for review of detailed developmentplans.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)

### 1171.08 - Action by council.

Council shall hold a public hearing for application for rezoning and approval of the basic development plan (or combined development plan) after receiving the proposal from the Planning Commission. Atleast 15 days' notice of the time and place of such public hearing shall be placed in a newspaper of general circulation in the City. Written notice of such hearing shall be mailed at least ten days before the public hearing to the ouners of property located within 200 feet of the property proposed for the PUD. Council shall approve, reject or approve with modifications the rezoning and basic development plan in the same manner as other rezoning requests. If the applicant has chosen to submit a combined development plan, Council shall review the aspects of it constituting the basic development plan pursuant to the standards set out in Section 1171.06. If Council approves the basic developmentplan aspects of a combined development plan, the detailed development plan shall be deemed to be approved and no further action shall be required for the area covered by the combined development plan. If the basic development plan aspects of a combined development plan are modified, the combined development plan shall be changed in all aspects to meet that modification. The City staff in charge of plan review shall determine when the basic development plan or combined plan meets the modification required by Council.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)

### 1171.11 - Changes in the basic and detailed development plans.

A PUD shall be developed only according to the approved and recorded detailed development plan and supporting data together with all recorded amendments and shall be binding on the applicants, their successors, grantees and assigns and shall limit and control the use of premises (including the internal use of buildings and structures) and location of structures in the PUD as set forth therein.
(a) Major Changes. Changes which alter the concept, uses or intent of the PUD including increases in the number of units per acre, change in location or amount of nonresidential land uses, more than 15 percent modification in proportion of housing types, significant redesign of roadways, utilities or drainage, may be approved only by submission of a new basic plan and supporting data in accordance with Sections 1171.03, 1171.04 and 1171.05.
(b) Minor Changes. The Zoning Officer recommends to the Planning Commission approval or disapproval of the minor changes in the PUD. Minor changes are defined as any change not defined as a major change.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89)

## Planning Commission Decision Record

WHEREAS, on October 1, 2021, the applicant, DDC Management, requested approval of a Basic Development Plan for property located at Chambersburg Road, Parcel Numbers P70-04008-0006 and P70-04008 0004 of the Montgomery County, Ohio Records (ZC 21-35); and

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2021, the Planning Commission did meet and fully discuss the details of the request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby recommended approval of the request.
moved to recommend approval of the request by the applicant, DDC Management for a Basic Development Plan for property located at Chambersburg Road, Parcel Numbers P70-04008-0006 and P70-04008 0004 of the Montgomery County, Ohio Records (ZC 21-35) in accordance with the recommendation of Staff's Memorandum dated October 15, 2021 with the following conditions:

1. The Basic Development Plan for Village of Westport shall be the plans stamped received by the City of Huber Heights Planning Department on October 1, 2021.
2. The minimum setbacks shall be as follows: 25 -foot front yard, 25 -foot rear yard, 5 -foot side yard.
3. A minimum of $25 \%$ of the surface area of the front façade shall be finished with brick or stone masonry products.
4. Minimum floor area shall be as follows: one story dwellings shall have a minimum floor area of 1,200 square feet and two-story dwellings shall have a minimum floor area of 1,600 square feet.

ZC 21-35 - Decision Record
5. Chambersburg Road will be improved to a forty-five (45) foot half right-of-way section per our City's Thoroughfare Plan.
6. Prior to the issuance of a zoning permit, the applicant shall submit and receive approval of a Detailed Development Plan through the Planning Commission.
7. A 20' preservation zone shall be placed along the East boundary line.

Seconded by $\qquad$ . Roll call showed: YEAS: $\qquad$ . NAYS: $\qquad$ . Motion to recommend approval carried $\qquad$ .

Terry Walton, Chair

Date
Planning Commission













Inspections require two business days advance notice! (OAC)1301:7-7-09(A)(5)

| Occupancy Name: | Villages of Westport |
| :--- | :--- |
| Occupancy Address: | Chambersburg Road |


| Type of Permit: | HHP\&D Site Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |


| MCBR BLD: | N/A | HH P\&D: | N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MCBR MEC: | N/A | HHFD Plan: | $21-214$ |
| MCBR ELE: | N/A | HHFD Box: |  |
| REVIEWER: | Susong | DATE: | $10 / 25 / 2021$ |

## Fire Department Comments:


#### Abstract

These comments are based only on the proposed site work, fire department access and basic fire protection concept at this time. The proposed development will need to meet the requirements of the Ohio Fire Code 2017 and the Huber Heights Codified Ordinance. Based on the drawings provided the following requirements need to be met.


Requirements:

- Fire apparatus access roads will need to comply with OFC 503 as well as the adopted appendices from the OFC (2017) and the Huber Heights Codified Ordinance (HHCO) Section 15.
- Cul-de-sac measurements should be increased to comply with Ohio Fire Code, Appendix D. D103.1.
- Fire Hydrants: Hydrants shall be spaced no greater than 500 feet apart and within 400 feet from any opening in any building. Structure locations are not provided on current drawings so the 400 feet from any opening shall be confirmed during next phase. Hydrant spacing exceeds 500 feet between the hydrant at Endicott and the next hydrant on Street A and hydrants on Street C. Please confirm locations.
- In accordance with Huber Heights Codified Ordinance 1521.06 (b)(1), "The Fire Code Official shall make a determination if a double Storz hydrant is needed in residential areas with single family homes greater than 3600 square feet." If homes in this area exceed 3600 sq. ft. please advise.
- All new water mains and any existing water mains that are replaced shall be eight inches in diameter or greater in all one-, two- and three-family dwelling
areas and in multi-family areas or commercial areas. All water mains shall be sectionalized and looped when reasonably feasible and achievable. Dead end water mains shall only be permitted upon written approval from the Fire Official and City Engineer. Huber Heights Codified Ordinance 1519.01-Water mains. Dead ends mains are shown at Endicott.
- The minimum fire-flow and flow duration requirements for one- and two-family dwellings shall comply with Ohio Fire Code B105.1.

Please reference contact information below for questions or concerns with this document.

[^5]Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
BASIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN

## Information

Agenda Title
BASIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, THE ANNEX GROUP, is requesting approval of a Basic Development Plan for 20 acres for property located at 6502 Old Troy Pike for 216 multi-family units in a Planned Residential Development (ZC 21-34).

Purpose and Background

|  | Attachments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staff Report |  |
| Decision Record |  |
| Drawings |  |
| Elevations |  |
| Fire Assessment |  |
| Traffic Impact Study |  |

# Memorandum <br> Staff Report for Meeting of October 26, 2021 

| To: | Huber Heights City Planning Commission |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Scott P. Falkowski, Assistant City Manager |
| Date: | October 15, 2021 |
| Subject: | ZC 21-34 Basic Development Plan 6502 Old Troy Pike |

Application dated October 4, 2021
Department of Planning and Development City of Huber Heights
APPLICANT/OWNER: The Annex Group - Applicant Charles Annarino/Owner

DEVELOPMENT NAME: Union at Chambersburg
ADDRESS/LOCATION: 6502 Old Troy Pike
ZONING/ACREAGE: R-4 / 20
EXISTING LAND USE: Office and vacant
ZONING
ADJACENT LAND:
A, O-1, R-6, PP

REQUEST:
The applicant requests approval for a Basic Development Plan for 20 acres for 216 multi-family units in a Planned Residential Development.

ORIGINAL APPROVAL:
APPLICABLE HHCC:
CORRESPONDENCE: In Favor - None Received
In Opposition - None Received

## ATTACHMENTS:

## OVERVIEW:

The applicant requests approval of a rezoning to Planned Residential and a Basic Development Plan for 20.0 acres at the northeast corner of Old Troy Pike and Chambersburg Road.

## STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

The applicant is looking to develop 20 acres at the northeast corner of Old Troy Pike and Chambersburg Road. The current request is to rezone to PR Planned Residential for construction of 216 multi family units.

The request is for the following:
The City's Comprehensive Plan calls for this area to be Commercial Business.
Sanitary and water will connect into the City's public main system and is located on Old Troy Pike and Chambersburg Road. Drainage will be handled through a public storm sewer system including detention basins, following the City's Code for storm water drainage. Chambersburg Road shall be improved to a forty-five (45) foot half right-ofway section per our City's Thoroughfare Plan, including curbs and sidewalks. Two access points are proposed, one on Old Troy Pike and one on Chambersburg Road. The parking code for Multi-family residential is two spaces per dwelling unit. 322 parking spaces are proposed with 14 of those being ADA accessible.

Six buildings are proposed with 36 units in each building. The buildings are all three stories in height. The buildings are proposed to be all siding. Staff recommends that there be a minimum of $25 \%$ brick or stone.

The Zoning Code is as follows:

CHAPTER 1172 -(PR) PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ${ }^{[42]}$
1172.01 - Principal permitted uses.

The following principal uses are permitted, provided that they are approved as provided for in this chapter:
(a) All residential uses permitted in all other chapters of the Zoning Ordinance such as: one family dwellings, two family dwellings, multiple family dwellings, including garden apartments, row houses, quadraminiums and condominiums;
(b) Churches and other places of worship;
(c) Colleges, primary and secondary schools under School Board or Parochial supervision, and public libraries;
(d) Public recreation buildings, parks, playgrounds and athletic fields under School Board, Parochial, other governmental supervision or "homeowners association" supervision; and
(e) Uses designed solely to serve in a complimentary way the needs of this District above.
1172.02 - Accessory uses.

The following accessory uses are permitted:
(a) Uses customarily incidental to all permitted uses; and
(b) Temporary structures and uses required during construction in this District.
1172.03 - Development standards.

Except when specifically modified herein, the provisions of Chapter 1181, "General Provisions", shall govern. In addition, the following development standards apply:
(a) Minimum "PR" Land Area Requirement.
(1) A minimum of one acre shall be required.
(b) Dwelling Unit Density—Five Dwelling Units.
(1) The average dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed five dwelling units (DU) per acre.
(2) Minimum area standards such as individual lot size, frontage, setbacks, side and rear yards shall be those prescribed in the City approved detailed final development plans, except that:
A. Lots for detached single family dwellings shall meet each of the standards set forth in Section 1147.04.
(c) Dwelling Unit Density—Eight Dwelling Units.
(1) Dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed eight dwelling units per acre of land on which dwellings are constructed. For example, if the entire district is three acres but dwellings are constructed on two acres only, dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed 16 dwellings.
(2) Minimum area standards such as individual lot size, frontage, setbacks, side, and rear yards shall be those prescribed in the City approved detailed final development plans, except that:
A. Lots for detached single family dwellings shall meet each of the standards set forth in Section 1147.04.
(d) Dwelling Unit Density—Twelve Dwelling Units.
(1) Dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed 12 dwelling units per acre of land on which dwellings are constructed. For example, if the entire district is three acres but dwellings are constructed on two acres only, dwelling unit density for the entire district shall not exceed 24 dwellings.
(2) Minimum area standards such as individual lot size, frontage, setbacks, side and rear yards shall be those prescribed in the City approved detailed final development plans, except that:
A. Lots for detached single family dwellings shall meet each of the standards set forth in Section 1147.04.
(e) Character of Neighborhood. Use of the Planned Residential Zoning District for developments with a proposed dwelling unit density greater than five dwelling units per acre shall be considered only when the district is bounded at least on one side by R-5, R-6, R-7, O-1, B, I, or Planned Development Districts.
1172.04 - Parking and loading.
(a) The provisions of Chapter 1185, "Parking and Loading", shall apply, except that at least two permanently maintained parking spaces shall be provided for each family unit, except for detached single family dwellings.
(b) Required parking spaces shall not be part of public thoroughfares, private roads leading to and serving the sites of the various uses in this district.
1172.05 - Utilities.

The distribution systems for utilities are required to be underground.

### 1171.05 - Contents of basic development plan.

(a) The basic development plan shall consist of at least the following information together with such other data and materials as may be required by the City:
(1) Site plan showing the actual shape and dimensions of the lot to be built upon or to be changed in its use together with the location of the existing and proposed structures with approximate square footages, number of stories including heights of structures;
(2) Typical elevation vieus of the front and side of each type of building;
(3) Planning location and dimensions of all proposed drives, service access road, sidewalks, and curb openings;
(4) Parking lot areas (show dimensions of a typical parking space), unloading areas, fire lanes and handicapped parking;
(5) Landscaping plan, walls and fences;
(6) Storm water detention and surface drainage;
(7) Exterior lighting plan;
(8) Vehicular circulation pattern;
(9) Location and square footage of signs;
(10)

Topographic survey; and
(11) Listing of proposed uses taken from the list of permitted and special uses of the PUD zoning district to which rezoning is being sought.
(b) The Planning Commission shall schedule both the proposed rezoning and the issue of approval of the basic development plan for a combined public hearing, following which it shall make its recommendation indicating approval, approval with modification or disapproval.
(Ord. 2006-O-1655, Passed 9-25-05)
1171.06-General standards for approval.

The Planning Commission shall review the application, prepared development plan and the facts presented at the hearing. The applicantshall have the burden of proof. No approval shall be given unless the Commission shall find by a preponderance of the evidence that such PUD on the proposed locations:
(a) Is consistent with official thoroughfare plan, comprehensive development plan and other applicable plans and policies;
(b) Could be substantially completed within the period of time specified in the schedule of development submitted by the developer;
(c) Is accessible from public roads that are adequate to carry the traffic that shall be imposed upon them by the proposed development. Further, the streets and driveways on the site of the proposed development shall be adequate to serve the residents or occupants of the proposed development;
(d) Shall not impose an undue burden on public services such as utilities, fire and police protection, and schools;
(e) Contains such proposed covenants, easements and other provisions relating to the proposed development standards as may reasonably be required for the public health, safety and welfare;
(f) Shall be landscaped or othervise improved and the location and arrangement of structures, parking areas, walks, lighting and appurtenant facilities shall be compatible with the existing intended uses, and any part of a PUD not used for structures, parking and loading areas, or accessways;
(g) Shall preserve natural features such as water courses, trees, and rock outcrops, to the degree possible, so that they can enhance the overall design of the PUD;
(h) Is designed to take advantage of the existing land contours in order to provide satisfactory road gradients and suitable building lots and to facilitate the provision of proposed services;
(i) Shall place underground all electric and telephone facilities, street light wiring and other wiring conduits and similar facilities in any development which is primarily designed for or occupied by dwellings, unless waived by the Commission because of technical reasons;
(j) Shall not create excessive additional requirements at public cost of public facilities and services and shall not be detrimental to the economic welfare of the community;
(k) Shall not involve uses, activities, processes, materials, equipment, and conditions of operation that shall be detrimental to any persons, property or the general welfare by reason of excessive production of traffic, noise, smoke, fumes, glare or odors; and
(I) Rezoning of the land to the PUD District and approval of the development plan shall not adversely affect the public peace, health, morals, safety or welfare.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)
1171.07 - Review and recommendations by planning commission.

The Planning Commission shall review the proposed PUD as presented in the application and basic developmentplan in terms of the standards in Section 1171.06 and the specific requirements as outlined in all Planned Unit Developments. The Commission shall hold a public hearing on the proposed PUD. At least ten days in advance of such hearing, notice of time and place of such hearing shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City. Written notice of such hearing shall be mailed at least ten days before the public hearing to the ouners of property located within 200 feet of the property proposed for the PUD. The Planning Commission shall make its recommendation, indicating approval, approval with modifications, or disapproval. If the Commission recommends approving rezoning of land to a PUD District and also approves a basic development plan for the area to be rezoned, it may impose upon that plan any additional requirements or conditions deemed appropriate by the Commission to ensure that the development shall meet the standards described in Section 1171.06 and shall comply with the intention and objectives of this Zoning Ordinance.

If the owner chooses to submit a combined development plan, the Planning Commission shall review the aspects of it constituting the basic development plan pursuant to the standards set out in Section 1171.06. The detailed development plan aspects shall be reviewed in the same manner as provided herein for review of detailed developmentplans.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)

### 1171.08 - Action by council.

Council shall hold a public hearing for application for rezoning and approval of the basic development plan (or combined development plan) after receiving the proposal from the Planning Commission. Atleast 15 days' notice of the time and place of such public hearing shall be placed in a newspaper of general circulation in the City. Written notice of such hearing shall be mailed at least ten days before the public hearing to the ouners of property located within 200 feet of the property proposed for the PUD. Council shall approve, reject or approve with modifications the rezoning and basic development plan in the same manner as other rezoning requests. If the applicant has chosen to submit a combined development plan, Council shall review the aspects of it constituting the basic development plan pursuant to the standards set out in Section 1171.06. If Council approves the basic developmentplan aspects of a combined development plan, the detailed development plan shall be deemed to be approved and no further action shall be required for the area covered by the combined development plan. If the basic development plan aspects of a combined development plan are modified, the combined development plan shall be changed in all aspects to meet that modification. The City staff in charge of plan review shall determine when the basic development plan or combined plan meets the modification required by Council.
(Ord. 93-O-602, Passed 3-22-93)

### 1171.11 - Changes in the basic and detailed developmentplans.

A PUD shall be developed only according to the approved and recorded detailed development plan and supporting data together with all recorded amendments and shall be binding on the applicants, their successors, grantees and assigns and shall limit and control the use of premises (including the internal use of buildings and structures) and location of structures in the PUD as set forth therein.
(a) Major Changes. Changes which alter the concept, uses or intent of the PUD including increases in the number of units per acre, change in location or amount of nonresidential land uses, more than 15 percent modification in proportion of housing types, significant redesign of roadways, utilities or drainage, may be approved only by submission of a new basic plan and supporting data in accordance with Sections 1171.03, 1171.04 and 1171.05.
(b) Minor Changes. The Zoning Officer recommends to the Planning Commission approval or disapproval of the minor changes in the PUD. Minor changes are defined as any change not defined as a major change.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89)

## Planning Commission Decision Record

WHEREAS, on September 24, 2021, the applicant, The Annex Group, requested approval of a Basic Development Plan for property located at 6502 Old Troy Pike, Parcel Number P70-04004-0003 of the Montgomery County, Ohio Records (ZC 21-34); and

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2021, the Planning Commission did meet and fully discuss the details of the request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby recommended approval of the request.
moved to recommend approval of the request by the applicant, The Annex Group for a Basic Development Plan for property located at 6502 Old Troy Pike, Parcel Number P70-04004-0003 of the Montgomery County, Ohio Records (ZC 21-34) in accordance with the recommendation of Staff's Memorandum dated October 15, 2021 with the following conditions:

1. The Basic Development Plan shall be the plans stamped received by the City of Huber Heights Planning Department on September 24, 2021.
2. A minimum of $25 \%$ of the surface area of the buildings shall be finished with brick or stone masonry products.
3. Chambersburg Road will be improved to a forty-five (45) foot half right-of-way section per our City's Thoroughfare Plan.
4. Prior to the issuance of a zoning permit, the applicant shall submit and receive approval of a Detailed Development Plan through the Planning Commission.

ZC 21-34 - Decision Record
Seconded by $\qquad$ . Roll call showed: YEAS: $\qquad$ . NAYS: $\qquad$ . Motion to recommend approval carried $\qquad$ .

Terry Walton, Chair
Date
Planning Commission

## BASIC DEVELOPMENT PLANS UNION AT CHAMBERSBURG

NEC OF CHAMBERSBURG RD. \& OLD TROY PIKE HUBER HEIGHTS, OH 45424

| Sheet List Table |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sheet Number | Sheet Title |
| C0.0 | TITLE SHEET |
| C2.0 | EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN |
| C3.0 | SITE PLAN |
| C5.0 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN |
| C6.0 | UTILITY PLAN |



MONTGOMERY COUNTY
CONSTRUCTION OF 6 MULTI-FAMLL BUILDINGS EACH $\pm 13,800$ SF FOR THE
ANNEX GROUP ON $\pm 19.48$ AC. PROJECT IS IN THE CITY OF HUBER HEIGHTS, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO






(3) $\frac{\text { SIDE ELEVation } 2}{\text { wist }}$



(1) $\frac{\text { frontra }}{\text { Wont }}$

The Annex Group
City of Huber Heights Review - Building Elevations




The Annex Group
City of Huber Heights Review - First Floor Building Plans



(1) TYPICAL SECOND FLOOR BULLDING PLAN

The Annex Group
City of Huber Heights Review - Second and Third Floor Building Plans
09.24.2021

(1) $\frac{\text { FIRST FLOOR }}{332^{2}=1 \cdot 0^{-2}}$ BUILDING PLAN WITH CLUBHOUSE

The Annex Group
City of Huber Heights Review - First Floor Plans - Clubhouse
09.24.2021

(2) $\frac{118 R 1}{144^{\circ}=10^{-1}}$ BA B ENLARGED UNIT PLAN

$\frac{18 R 1 B A ~ A ~ E N L A R G E D ~ U N I T ~ P L A N ~}{144^{\circ}=1 \cdot 00^{\circ}}$

(2) $\frac{38 R}{14 Q^{R}=1.0 \cdot 0^{\prime}}$ 2BA ENLARGED UNIT PLAN

$\frac{2 \text { 2RR 2BA ENLARGED UNIT PLAN }}{1144^{2}=1 \cdot 0^{\circ}}$

## Unit Tabulation



\section*{| 1st filoor |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |}

## 2nd floor 

3rd floor

 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2nd floor

| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\stackrel{3}{30} 5$

The Annex Group
City of Huber Heights Review - Unit Tabulation

## Huber Heights Fire Division

## Inspections require two business days advance notice! (OAC)1301:7-7-09(A)(5)

| Occupancy Name: | Union at Chambersburg - Planning Commission |
| :--- | :--- |
| Occupancy Address: | Old Troy Pike and Chambersburg Road |


| Type of Permit: | HHP\&D Site Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |


| MCBR BLD: | Not Yet Assigned | HH P\&D: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MCBR MEC: |  | HHFD Plan: | $21-215$ |
| MCBR ELE: |  | HHFD Box: | 2 |
| REVIEWER: | Susong | DATE: | $10 / 22 / 2021$ |

## Fire Department Comments:

The Huber Heights City Code Part 15 Refers to Fire Code Requirements and has adopted by reference OFC and IFC Appendices
These comments are based only on the proposed site work, fire department access and basic fire protection concept at this time. The proposed development will need to meet the requirements of the Ohio Fire Code 2017, Ohio Building Code 2017, and the Huber Heights Codified Ordinance. Based on the drawings provided the following requirements need to be met.

- Please review requirements for fire service features in Ohio Fire Code (OFC), Rule 5.
- Fire apparatus access roads will need to comply with OFC 503 as well as the adopted appendices from the OFC (2017) and the Huber Heights Codified Ordinance (HHCO) Section 15.
- Additional access is required to the residential portion of project. Refer to OFC 503.1.2 and Appendix D106.1. Corrected. Proposed drawing C3.0, dated 10/1/2021, shows access entries on Chambersburg Road and Old Troy Pike.
- Buildings where the vertical distance between the grade plane and the highest roof surface exceeds 30 feet, shall be provided with approved aerial fire apparatus access roads. OFC Appendix D105.1. Refer to D105.2, D105.3 and D105.4 for additional requirements. Aerial access for buildings 1,4 and 5 meets the requirements of D105 due to no parking along back sides. Aerial access for buildings 2,3 and 6 slightly exceeds the maximum

30 feet but will be accepted based on buildings being equipped with fire sprinklers systems. If buildings will not be sprinklered access will need to be adjusted.

- Multi family residential developments with more than $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ dwelling units shall have two separate and approved fire access roads regardless of whether they are equipped with an approved automatic sprinkler system. OFC Appendix D106.2. Proposed drawing C3.0, dated 10/1/2021, shows access entries on Chambersburg Road and Old Troy Pike.
- The minimum drive width shall be 26 feet with fire hydrants. OFC Appendix D103.1. Proposed drawing C3.0, dated 10/1/2021, shows roads to be 26 feet in width.
- The turning radius for fire department access roads shall meet requirements for Huber Heights Fire Division (HHFD) vehicles. Contact HHFD to obtain information. OFC 503.2.4 and Appendix D103.3. Turn radius shown on proposed drawing C3.0, dated 10/1/2021 appears to comply.
- Fire department access roads shall not have dead ends over 150 feet without an approved turnaround. OFC 503.2.5 and Appendix D103.4. Dead ends over 150 feet have been removed as shown on proposed drawing C3.0, dated 10/1/2021.
- The water supply for fire protection shall meet the requirements of OFC 507 and Appendix B. Calculations and findings will need to be determined and provided. Water Main and hydrant extension sizes and spacing will also need to be shown in detail. Fire flow requirements shall be determined in accordance with Ohio Fire Code, Appendix B, Fire Flow Requirements for Buildings. Once the fire flow has been determined the minimum number of required fire hydrants can be confirmed. (Building Construction Classification and Square Footage will need to be determined first). Fire flows have not been provided with this submittal and shall be determined before installation of underground mains.
- Fire hydrant spacing shall also meet the requirements of HHCO 1521. Hydrant spacing exceeds 300 feet, as required in accordance with HHCO 1521.06(c), in areas as shown on proposed drawing C6.0, dated 10/1/2021.
- Buildings provided with fire sprinkler systems will need to have a fire department connection located within 75 feet of a fire hydrant in accordance with Huber Heights Codified Ordinance 1521.01(e). The connection shall be a 4 " Storz fitting with a 30 -degree turn-down. Engineer/Architect shall determine if buildings will be required to be sprinklered.

Please reference contact information below for questions or concerns with this document.

[^6]
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## Kimley»Horn

## INTRODUCTION

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. (Kimley-Horn) was retained by the Annex Group to perform a traffic impact study for a proposed multifamily development called "Union at Chambersburg". The proposed 19.5-acre site, illustrated in Figure 1, is generally located north of Chambersburg Road and east of Old Troy Pike in Huber Heights, Ohio. The existing site has a vacant office building just north of the bank on the northeast corner of Old Troy Pike and Chambersburg Road intersection. The rest of the property is a wooded lot with another existing structure on the rear of the property just east of the existing wet pond. Neither this structure nor the office building will remain with this project.

The proposed multifamily residential development includes a total of 216 dwelling units, distributed equally across six residential buildings, each with 36 dwelling units. There are 3 access driveways proposed to serve the site - two along Old Troy Pike and one additional access provided along Chambersburg Road.

This report presents and documents the study methodology, summarizes data collection and development traffic characteristics, highlights the evaluation of traffic conditions on the study intersections and roadways, and identifies recommendations to address operational impacts and integrate the proposed multifamily development into the surrounding transportation system.


## Existing Conditions

Kimley-Horn conducted a review of the subject site and surrounding area to inventory relevant information pertaining to nearby land uses, inventory key transportation system characteristics, and document existing traffic control. This section of the report details information on these existing conditions.

## Area Land Uses

The Union at Chambersburg development site is mostly wooded with an existing wet pond in the center and has a couple buildings to be removed. The surrounding areas to the north, east, and west are all residential. Directly adjacent to the southwest corner of the site is a bank which will share an access drive along Old Troy Pike. Directly across Chambersburg Road to the south of the site is Wayne High School which has 3 access drives along the south side of Chambersburg Road.

## Roadway Characteristics

Interstate 70 and the Old Troy Pike interchange is over a mile north of the site, providing regional connectivity to Columbus (east) and Indianapolis (west). The subject site will be primarily served by Old Troy Pike and Chambersburg Road. General descriptions of these roadways are summarized below.

Old Troy Pike is a north-south arterial road located immediately west of the proposed site. Old Troy Pike provides two lanes of travel in each direction with a continuous center left turn lane. Old Troy Pike has a dedicated left-turn lane, thru lane, and shared thru and right-turn lane on both the northbound and southbound approaches to the Chambersburg Road intersection. The posted speed limit is 35 mph on Old Troy Pike, which is defined as a principal arterial road per the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) functional classification map for Montgomery County.

Chambersburg Road is an east-west street located along the southern boundary of the proposed site. Chambersburg Road provides a single lane of travel in each direction with a continuous center left turn lane east of Old Troy Pike. The eastbound approach at the Old Troy Pike intersection has a short protected left turn lane and a shared thru/right turn lane. The westbound approach has a dedicated left turn, thru, and right turn lanes on Chambersburg Road. The posted speed limit is 35 mph on Chambersburg Road, which is defined as a minor arterial road per the ODOT's functional classification map for Montgomery County.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

The City of Huber Heights provided traffic count data for use in this traffic study. This data was collected on Thursday, March 22, 2018 and contained turning movement counts for the morning (7:15-8:15 AM) and evening (4:30-5:30 PM) peak hours, coinciding with the anticipated peak hours of traffic activity on the adjacent roadways and the proposed residential development. Existing peak hour vehicle traffic volumes are presented in Figure 2.


## Background Traffic Growth

Area background traffic was developed with consideration for regional traffic growth over time. In order to estimate the growth in the ambient levels of traffic in the study area, an annual growth rate was applied to existing traffic volumes in the study area.

It is anticipated that the development will be fully occupied by 2023; therefore Year 2023 was used as the analysis horizon for the future condition analysis. Based on a review of historic average annual daily traffic (AADT) volumes on Old Troy Pike (increasing over time) and Chambersburg Road (decreasing over time), a conservative overall annual growth rate of 1.5 percent was assumed and applied for a period of five years (Year 2018 to Year 2023) to the existing volumes in the study area in order to estimate an increase in future background traffic unrelated to the development or changes in traffic patterns. Projected Year 2023 background peak hour vehicle traffic volumes are presented in Figure 3.

## Background Traffic Adjustment

The Union at Chambersburg development plans to use the bank's existing access drive along Old Troy Pike. Additionally, the bank has another access drive approximately 200 feet east of Old Troy Pike along the north side of Chambersburg Road. The bank's traffic volumes are reflected in the traffic counts at the Old Troy Pike/Chambersburg Road intersection, but volumes at the site access driveways are not available. In order to estimate the number of trips generated by the bank at the existing access driveways, data published in the Institution of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation, Tenth Edition was referenced. Trip generation rates for a Drive-In Bank, similar to the existing Key Bank, are shown in Table 1. Table 2 shows the calculated daily trips and peak hour volumes estimated for this bank.

Table 1: ITE Trip Generation Data for the Existing Bank

| ITE Land Use | Unit | Deekday |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| Drive-in Bank (LUC 912)) |  | 100.03 Avg. Trips/Unit <br> $50 \%$ in $/ 50 \%$ out | 9.50 Avg. Trips/Unit <br> $58 \%$ in $/ 42 \%$ out | 20.45 Avg. Trips/Unit <br> $50 \%$ in $/ 50 \%$ out |

Table 2: Existing Bank Trip Generation

| Land Use | Size | Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Drive-in Bank (LUC 912) | $\sim 4,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 400 | 25 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 80 |

Assuming half of the bank trips will use Access B on Old Troy Pike and the other half will use the existing access on Chambersburg Road, the estimated peak hour generated trips shown in Table 2 were assigned to the network. The bank trip adjustments to the peak hour turning movement

## Kimley»)Horn

projections in Year 2023 are shown in Figure 4. It should be noted that no adjustments were applied at the signalized intersection of Old Troy Pike and Chambersburg Road as these trips are already accounted for in the existing volumes collected in 2018.
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## DEVELOPMENT CHARACTERISTICS

This section of the report outlines key characteristics for the proposed multifamily development and estimates the site's trip generation and distribution on the study area street system during peak hours.

## Development Plan

The proposed development is a multifamily residential community on a 19.5-acre property. Access to the site is planned via two access drives on Old Troy Pike and an additional access drive on Chambersburg Road. The proposed site plan showing the access location and site layout is provided in the Appendix. The site is assumed to be built and occupied by 2023, which is the analysis horizon for this traffic study.

The proposed multifamily residential community will be comprised of the following characteristics:

## Residential Units

Total Dwelling Units: 216 Dwelling Units (6 Buildings \& 36 Units/Building)
Parking
Total Vehicle Parking: 322 Spaces (incl. 14 ADA spaces)

## Trip Generation

In order to calculate trips generated by the proposed development plan, data was referenced from ITE's Trip Generation, Tenth Edition. Trip generation rates for the ITE Land Use Code (LUC) corresponding to the proposed use are shown in Table 3. Per these assumptions, site-generated traffic projections are presented in Table 4.

Table 3: ITE Trip Generation Data

| ITE Land Use | Unit | Weekday |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) (LUC 221) | Per <br> Dwelling Unit | 5.44 Avg. Trips/Unit $50 \%$ in / $50 \%$ out | 0.36 Avg. Trips/Unit $26 \%$ in / $74 \%$ out | 0.44 Avg. Trips/Unit $61 \%$ in / 39\% out |

Table 4: Site-Generated Traffic Projections

| Land Use | Size | Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Daily | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) | 216 Units | 1,175 | 20 | 60 | 80 | 50 | 45 | 95 |

The Union at Chambersburg multifamily development is estimated to generate 1,175 daily weekday trips. In the morning peak hour, an estimated 80 trips will arrive at or depart the site, while the afternoon is expected to generate 95 trips total.

## Kimley»Horn

## Directional Distribution

The estimated distribution of site-generated traffic on the surrounding roadway network as it approaches and departs the site is a function of several variables, such as site access locations, characteristics of the street system, the ease with which motorists can travel over various sections of the street system, key origins and destinations, and prevailing traffic volumes/patterns. As such, the directional distribution shown in Table 5 presents the anticipated directional distribution from which vehicles will travel to and from the site.

Table 5: Estimated Trip Distribution

| Traveling to/from | Estimated Trip Distribution |
| :--- | :---: |
| North via Old Troy Pike | $35 \%$ |
| South via Old Troy Pike | $45 \%$ |
| East via Chambersburg Road | $10 \%$ |
| West via Chambersburg Road | $10 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ |

## Site Traffic Assignment

The Union at Chambersburg development proposes three full access driveways to serve the site. These are identified as Access A and Access B (the existing northern and southern, respectively, bank access drives) on Old Troy Pike and Access C on Chambersburg Road. Based on the preceding directional distribution assumptions in conjunction with the estimated trip generation, the site trip assignments across the study area network are illustrated on Figure 5. The future traffic projections for Year 2023, including this development plan, is shown on Figure 6.



Future (2023) Build Traffic Projections
Figure 6

## ANALYSES

This section of the report provides an overview of the capacity analysis conducted for key intersections in the site vicinity under existing and future traffic conditions, evaluates site access conditions, and highlights recommended improvements to address identified issues.

## Capacity Analysis

Per INDOT standards, Synchro capacity software was used to evaluate existing and future operational conditions at the study intersections during the weekday peak hours. The capacity of an intersection quantifies its ability to accommodate traffic volumes and is expressed in terms of level of service (LOS), measured in average delay per vehicle. LOS grades range from $A$ to $F$, with LOS $A$ as the highest (best traffic flow and least delay), LOS E as saturated or at-capacity conditions, and LOS F as the lowest (oversaturated conditions). For the capacity analysis criteria, most review agencies consider acceptable conditions at LOS D or better.

The LOS grades shown below, which are provided in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), quantify, and categorize the driver's discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption, and travel times experienced as a result of intersection control and the resulting traffic queuing. A detailed description of each LOS rating can be found in Table 6.

Table 6: Level of Service Grading Descriptions ${ }^{1}$

| Level of Service | $\quad$ Description ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :--- | | Minimal control delay: traffic operates at primarily free-flow conditions; unimpeded movement within traffic |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| stream. |  |
| A | Minor control delay at signalized intersections; traffic operates at a fairly unimpeded level with slightly <br> restricted movement within traffic stream. |
| B | Moderate control delay; movement within traffic stream more restricted than at LOS B; formation of queues <br> contributes to lower average travel speeds. |
| C | Considerable control delay that may be substantially increased by small increases in flow; average travel <br> speeds continue to decrease. |
| D | High control delay; average travel speed no more than 33 percent of free flow speed. |
| E | Extremely high control delay: extensive queuing and high volumes create exceedingly restricted traffic flow. |
| F |  |

${ }^{1}$ Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition.
The range of control delay for each rating (as detailed in the HCM) is shown in Table 7. Because signalized intersections are expected to carry a larger volume of vehicles and stopping is required during red time, note that higher delays are tolerated for the corresponding LOS ratings.

## Kimley»Horn

Table 7: Level of Service Grading Criteria ${ }^{1}$

| Level of Service | Average Control Delay (s/veh) at: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unsignalized Intersections | Signalized Intersections |
| A | $0-10$ | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>15-25$ | $>20-35$ |
| D | $>25-35$ | $>35-55$ |
| E | $>35-50$ | $>55-80$ |
| F $^{2}$ | $>50$ | $>80$ |

${ }^{1}$ Highway Capacity Manual, $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition
${ }^{2}$ All movements with a Volume to Capacity (v/C) ratio greater than 1 receive a rating of LOS F.
Synchro software was utilized to evaluate capacity of the study intersections (reported by approach and critical movements) for the weekday morning and evening peak hours. Table 8 summarizes the capacity analysis results for existing traffic conditions and the future Year 2023 scenario without the proposed development (no-build). Tables 9 summarizes the capacity analysis for the future Year 2023 scenario with the proposed development (build). Additional capacity analysis details for the study intersections are provided using HCM $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition reports included in the Appendix.

Table 8: Intersection Capacity Analysis for Existing Conditions and Future Year 2023 No-Build Conditions

| Intersection | Existing Conditions |  |  |  | Future 2023 No-Build Conditions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (s/veh) } \end{aligned}$ | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| Old Troy Pike / Chambersburg Rd * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound | 63 | E | 63 | E | 63 | E | 64 | E |
| Westbound | 59 | E1 | 51 | D | 61 | E1 | 52 | D1 |
| Northbound | 44 | D | 46 | D | 46 | D | 49 | D |
| Southbound | 34 | C | 32 | C | 36 | D | 33 | C |
| Overall | 45 | D | 44 | D | 46 | D | 46 | D |
| * - Signalized Intersection ${ }^{1}$ Leff-turn movement operates at LOS F. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9: Intersection Capacity Analysis for Future Year 2023 Build Conditions


## Capacity Analysis Results

## Existing Conditions

Under existing conditions, all approaches at the study intersections operate at LOS E or better in both peak periods. The Old Troy Pike approaches are expected to perform at an LOS D or better while the Chambersburg Road approaches are expected to perform at LOS E. The westbound left turn lane operates at an LOS F during the weekday morning peak hour. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the westbound left turn lane and southbound left turn lanes exceed the available turn lane storages in the AM peak hour. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the eastbound, northbound, and southbound left-turn lanes exceed the available turn lane storages in the PM peak hour.

## Future Conditions

Under projected future conditions without the Union at Chambersburg multifamily development, traffic conditions for the study intersection of Old Troy Pike and Chambersburg Road are expected to operate at a similar level of service as the existing conditions. The overall intersection delay is expected to increase by1 second in the AM peak hour and 2 second in the PM peak hour, but still operate at an overall LOS D in both peak hours.

Under projected future conditions with the Union at Chambersburg multifamily development, traffic conditions for the study intersection of Old Troy Pike and Chambersburg Road are expected to operate at a similar level of service as the future Year 2023 No-Build condition. The overall
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intersection is still expected to operate at an LOS D in both peak hours with additional incremental delay to each approach. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the westbound left-turn lane also exceed the available turn lane storage in both peak hours. With the additional trips leaving Access $C$, the westbound left-turn lane queue increases by 1.3 vehicles in the AM and 0.9 vehicles in the PM. All other queues increase by less than one vehicle. It should be noted that the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the westbound left-turn lane is exceed in the AM peak hour in the existing and future No-Build conditions.

In the future Year 2023 build conditions, all stop-controlled approaches at every site access drive are expected to operate at an LOS C or better.

## Queuing Analysis

SimTraffic software was used to analyze the queuing distances in the future Year 2023 Build Conditions to see if the westbound queues on Chambersburg Road and the southbound queues on Old Troy Pike will block the nearest site access locations.

In the AM peak hour, the analysis estimated that the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue on Chambersburg Road westbound approach at Old Troy Pike is 244 feet for the westbound left-turn lane and 204 feet for the westbound through lane. Although the westbound left-turn lane queue exceeds the available storage length, this queue does not block Access $C$ and has a negligible impact on the westbound through lane. The estimated $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue on Old Troy Pike for the southbound left turn lane is 202 feet in AM peak hour. The protected southbound left turn lane has a storage length of 130 feet and is blocked $9 \%$ of the time during the peak hour since the southbound thru lanes queue is 228 feet. While this southbound queue does not block Access B as there's approximately 380 feet available, an extension of the marked protected left turn lane should be considered to permit more vehicles to stack in the center left turn lane.

During the PM peak hour, the queuing analysis reflected similar results as the AM peak hour on Old Troy Pike. The southbound through lanes have a $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue of 283 feet while the southbound left turn lane is 250 feet. The southbound left turn lane is blocked $20 \%$ of the time during the PM peak hour. The westbound queues on Chambersburg Road in the PM peak hour are similar to the AM peak hour. The left turn lane's $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue is just 180 feet while the through lane is estimated at 218 feet. In the PM peak hour, the westbound left turn lane storage is block just $2 \%$ of the time from thru lane queues. There is approximately 100 feet between the end of the westbound queues and the intersection with Access C.
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## SUMMARY

The proposed multifamily development plan for the Union at Chambersburg includes 216 dwelling units on a 19.5-acre site generally located north of Chambersburg Road and east of Old Troy Pike in Huber Heights, Ohio. Access to the proposed development would be provided via three access drives. Two access drives (Access A \& B) are proposed along the east side of Old Troy Pike and an additional access drive (Access $C$ ) is planned along the north side of Chambersburg Road.

Based on a comparative analysis of the projected Year 2023 conditions with and without the proposed development, the changes in the average vehicle delay and levels of service are minimal, thus the proposed development is not expected to cause significant impact on area traffic operation. However, the design elements outlined below are recommended to address queuing issues:

- Old Troy Pike / Access A
- No turn lane improvements are warranted on Old Troy Pike at this intersection.
- Due to close proximity to the offset intersection with Johannsen Drive, this access is recommended to be limited to right-in/right-out movements only. All left-in and left-out movements from Old Troy Pike can be accommodated at Access B
- Old Troy Pike / Access B
- No turn lane improvements are warranted on Old Troy Pike at this intersection.
- The outbound lane on Access B is recommended to provide a single lane with shared left- and right-turn movements.
- Old Troy Pike / Chambersburg Road
- The southbound left-turn lane is recommended, through re-striping, to be lengthened by 120 feet for a total storage length of 250 feet. This extended turn lane length would address existing queuing issues regardless of the proposed development.
- Chambersburg Road / Access C
- No turn lane improvements are warranted on Chambersburg Road at this intersection.
- The outbound lane on Access $C$ is recommended to provide a single lane with shared left- and right-turn movements.

Regardless of the final configuration of the intersection geometrics, several additional items should be taken into consideration when preparing site and roadway improvement plans for the subject development. While vertical sight distance appears to be adequate and unobstructed within the study area, care should be taken with landscaping, signage, and monumentation at the site access locations to ensure that adequate horizontal sight distance is provided from the new stop bars. If alterations to the site plan or land use should occur, changes to the analysis provided within this traffic impact study may be needed.

## TECHNICAL APPENDIX

Proposed Site Plan
Existing (2018) Count Data
Existing (2018) Capacity Reports
Future (2023) No-Build Capacity Reports
Future (2023) Build Capacity Reports
Future (2023) Build Queuing Report from SimTraffic

## Kimley»"Horn

## PROPOSED SITE PLAN
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## EXISTING (2018) COUNT DATA

Provided by the City of Huber Heights from March 22, 2018

|  | Chambersburg Rd. Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Chambersburg Rd. Westbound |  |  |  |  | Old Troy Pike Northbound |  |  |  |  | Old Troy Pike Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 7:15 AM | 8 | 26 | 14 | 1 | 48 | 56 | 23 | 29 | 2 | 108 | 5 | 100 | 29 | 2 | 134 | 56 | 175 | 10 | 0 | 241 | 531 |
| 7:30 AM | 5 | 30 | 9 | 0 | 44 | 46 | 18 | 43 | 1 | 107 | 10 | 132 | 44 | 0 | 186 | 80 | 174 | 12 | 0 | 266 | 603 |
| 7:45 AM | 14 | 22 | 20 | 1 | 56 | 34 | 37 | 53 | 0 | 124 | 6 | 104 | 18 | 0 | 128 | 39 | 120 | 12 | 0 | 171 | 479 |
| 8:00 AM | 5 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 33 | 16 | 20 | 22 | 0 | 58 | 9 | 76 | 9 | 0 | 94 | 20 | 137 | 9 | 0 | 166 | 351 |
| Total | 32 | 94 | 55 | 2 | 181 | 152 | 98 | 147 | 3 | 397 | 30 | 412 | 100 | 2 | 542 | 195 | 606 | 43 | 0 | 844 | 1964 |
| PHF | 0.57143 | 0.78333 | 0.6875 |  | 0.80804 | 0.67857 | 0.66216 | 0.6934 |  | 0.8004 | 0.75 | 0.7803 | 0.56818 |  | 0.72849 | 0.60938 | 0.86571 | 0.89583 |  | 0.79323 | 0.81426 |
| HV\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 7.3\% |  | 3.3\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% |  | 3.8\% | 3.3\% | 3.6\% | 10.0\% |  | 4.8\% | 0.5\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% |  | 2.5\% | 3.5\% |
| 12:00 PM | 8 | 31 | 8 | 0 | 47 | 22 | 28 | 25 | 0 | 75 | 4 | 102 | 21 | 0 | 127 | 27 | 123 | 10 | 0 | 160 | 409 |
| 12:15 PM | 4 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 13 | 24 | 31 | 0 | 68 | 8 | 107 | 20 | 0 | 135 | 28 | 97 | 9 | 0 | 134 | 367 |
| 12:30 PM | 9 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 38 | 26 | 29 | 53 | 0 | 108 | 8 | 104 | 16 | 1 | 128 | 33 | 125 | 10 | 0 | 168 | 442 |
| 12:45 PM | 9 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 15 | 19 | 31 | 0 | 65 | 5 | 107 | 22 | 0 | 134 | 39 | 101 | 10 | 0 | 150 | 377 |
| Total | 30 | 88 | 25 | 1 | 143 | 76 | 100 | 140 | 0 | 316 | 25 | 420 | 79 | 1 | 524 | 127 | 446 | 39 | 0 | 612 | 1595 |
| PHF | 0.83333 | 0.70968 | 0.78125 |  | 0.76064 | 0.73077 | 0.86207 | 0.66038 |  | 0.73148 | 0.78125 | 0.98131 | 0.89773 |  | 0.97037 | 0.8141 | 0.892 | 0.975 |  | 0.91071 | 0.90215 |
| HV\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.7\% | 5.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% |  | 2.2\% | 8.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.8\% |  | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 10.3\% |  | 2.3\% | 2.4\% |
| 4:30 PM | 19 | 41 | 9 | 0 | 69 | 31 | 34 | 50 | 2 | 115 | 12 | 183 | 25 | 2 | 220 | 48 | 182 | 25 | 1 | 255 | 659 |
| 4:45 PM | 20 | 36 | 9 | 0 | 65 | 17 | 33 | 39 | 0 | 89 | 9 | 203 | 27 | 0 | 239 | 47 | 194 | 17 | 0 | 258 | 651 |
| 5:00 PM | 18 | 44 | 10 | 0 | 72 | 24 | 38 | 59 | 1 | 121 | 15 | 193 | 31 | 0 | 239 | 40 | 151 | 18 | 0 | 209 | 641 |
| 5:15 PM | 26 | 42 | 12 | 0 | 80 | 21 | 32 | 56 | 0 | 109 | 17 | 213 | 30 | 2 | 260 | 55 | 159 | 27 | 0 | 241 | 690 |
| Total | 83 | 163 | 40 | 0 | 286 | 93 | 137 | 204 | 3 | 434 | 53 | 792 | 113 | 4 | 958 | 190 | 686 | 87 | 1 | 963 | 2641 |
| PHF | 0.79808 | 0.92614 | 0.83333 |  | 0.89375 | 0.75 | 0.90132 | 0.86441 |  | 0.89669 | 0.77941 | 0.92958 | 0.91129 |  | 0.92115 | 0.86364 | 0.88402 | 0.80556 |  | 0.93314 | 0.95688 |
| HV\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% |  | 0.3\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |  | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.8\% |  | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.7\% | 0.9\% |
| 5:30 PM | 23 | 34 | 9 | 0 | 66 | 25 | 40 | 61 | 1 | 126 | 17 | 197 | 26 | 0 | 240 | 42 | 181 | 10 | 0 | 233 | 665 |
| 5:45 PM | 22 | 34 | 7 | 0 | 63 | 29 | 30 | 52 | 0 | 111 | 13 | 165 | 37 | 0 | 215 | 68 | 170 | 12 | 0 | 250 | 639 |
| 6:00 PM | 21 | 27 | 9 | 1 | 57 | 33 | 27 | 43 | 0 | 103 | 15 | 145 | 28 | 0 | 188 | 33 | 153 | 22 | 0 | 208 | 556 |
| 6:15 PM | 16 | 22 | 12 | 0 | 50 | 17 | 13 | 54 | 0 | 84 | 9 | 145 | 22 | 0 | 176 | 53 | 128 | 21 | 0 | 202 | 512 |
| Total | 82 | 117 | 37 | 1 | 236 | 104 | 110 | 210 | 1 | 424 | 54 | 652 | 113 | 0 | 819 | 196 | 632 | 65 | 0 | 893 | 2372 |
| PHF | 0.8913 | 0.86029 | 0.77083 |  | 0.89394 | 0.78788 | 0.6875 | 0.86066 |  | 0.84127 | 0.79412 | 0.82741 | 0.76351 |  | 0.85313 | 0.72059 | 0.87293 | 0.73864 |  | 0.893 | 0.89173 |
| HV\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.5\% |  | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.7\% | 0.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PHF } \\ & \text { HV\% } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 227 | 462 | 157 | 4 | 846 | 425 | 445 | 701 | 7 | 1571 | 162 | 2276 | 405 | 7 | 2843 | 708 | 2370 | 234 | 1 | 3312 | 8572 |
| Approach \% | 26.8\% | 54.6\% | 18.6\% | - | - | 27.1\% | 28.3\% | 44.6\% | - | - | 5.7\% | 80.1\% | 14.2\% | - | - | 21.4\% | 71.6\% | 7.1\% | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 2.6\% | 5.4\% | 1.8\% | - | 9.9\% | 5.0\% | 5.2\% | 8.2\% | - | 18.3\% | 1.9\% | 26.6\% | 4.7\% | - | 33.2\% | 8.3\% | 27.6\% | 2.7\% | - | 38.6\% | - |
| Lights | 225 | 461 | 152 | - | 838 | 405 | 443 | 692 | - | 1540 | 159 | 2233 | 386 | - | 2778 | 706 | 2328 | 230 | - | 3264 | 8420 |
| Lights \% | 26.6\% | 54.5\% | 18.0\% | - | 99.1\% | 25.8\% | 28.2\% | 44.0\% | - | 98.0\% | 5.6\% | 78.5\% | 13.6\% | - | 97.7\% | 21.3\% | 70.3\% | 6.9\% | - | 98.6\% | 98.2\% |
| Other Vehicles | 2 | 1 | 5 | - | 8 | 20 | 2 | 9 | - | 31 | 3 | 43 | 19 | - | 65 | 2 | 42 | 4 | - | 48 | 152 |
| Other Vehicles \% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | - | 0.9\% | 1.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% | - | 2.0\% | 0.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | - | 2.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.1\% | - | 1.4\% | 1.8\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Pedestrians \% | - | - | - | 21.1\% | - | - | - | - | 36.8\% | - | - | - | - | 36.8\% | - | - | - | - | 5.3\% | - | - |

# EXISTING (2018) CAPACITY REPORTS 

Weekday Morning Peak Hour
Weekday Evening Peak Hour

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\hat{}$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 中t |  | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 32 | 94 | 55 | 152 | 98 | 147 | 30 | 412 | 100 | 195 | 606 | 43 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 32 | 94 | 55 | 152 | 98 | 147 | 30 | 412 | 100 | 195 | 606 | 43 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1811 | 1900 | 1796 | 1826 | 1900 | 1826 | 1856 | 1841 | 1752 | 1885 | 1856 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 40 | 116 | 68 | 188 | 121 | 181 | 37 | 509 | 123 | 241 | 748 | 53 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 6 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 294 | 147 | 86 | 212 | 187 | 635 | 548 | 876 | 211 | 730 | 1333 | 94 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1725 | 1121 | 657 | 1739 | 1900 | 1533 | 1767 | 2794 | 672 | 1795 | 3339 | 237 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 40 | 0 | 184 | 188 | 121 | 181 | 37 | 318 | 314 | 241 | 395 | 406 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1725 | 0 | 1778 | 1739 | 1900 | 1533 | 1767 | 1749 | 1717 | 1795 | 1763 | 1813 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 10.8 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 24.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 10.8 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 24.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.37 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.39 | 1.00 |  | 0.13 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 294 | 0 | 233 | 212 | 187 | 635 | 548 | 548 | 538 | 730 | 704 | 724 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.65 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 0.56 | 0.56 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 294 | 0 | 431 | 258 | 542 | 921 | 548 | 548 | 538 | 730 | 704 | 724 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 52.3 | 0.0 | 59.0 | 61.1 | 60.8 | 27.5 | 29.6 | 40.3 | 40.4 | 28.1 | 32.5 | 32.6 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 25.5 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 2.3 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 12.7 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 15.0 | 14.9 | 9.7 | 16.3 | 16.7 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| InGrp Delay（d）s／veh | 52.5 | 0 | 64.9 | 86.6 | 64.5 | 27.7 | 29. | 44.7 | 45 |  |  |  |


| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 52.5 | 0.0 | 64.9 | 86.6 | 64.5 | 27.7 | 29.7 | 44.7 | 45.0 | 28.4 | 35.8 | 35.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | E | F | E | C | C | D | D | C | D | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 224 |  |  | 490 |  |  | 669 |  | 1042 |  |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 62.7 |  |  | 59.4 |  |  | 44.0 |  | 34.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | D |  | C |  |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c), s$ | 37.2 | 61.0 | 18.3 | 23.4 | 49.2 | 49.0 | 22.9 | 18.9 |
| Change Period $(\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc})$ ，s | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 12.6 | 55.9 | 16.6 | 33.9 | 24.6 | 43.9 | 10.6 | 39.9 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.0 | 26.3 | 12.8 | 16.0 | 2.0 | 23.5 | 2.0 | 10.6 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 44.6 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | $D$ |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\hat{}$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | \％ | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 83 | 163 | 40 | 93 | 137 | 204 | 53 | 792 | 113 | 190 | 686 | 87 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 83 | 163 | 40 | 93 | 137 | 204 | 53 | 792 | 113 | 190 | 686 | 87 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1856 | 1841 | 1900 | 1885 | 1900 | 1885 | 1870 | 1900 | 1885 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 93 | 183 | 45 | 103 | 152 | 227 | 58 | 861 | 123 | 204 | 738 | 94 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 0 | 3 | ， | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 227 | 218 | 54 | 137 | 209 | 637 | 576 | 1143 | 163 | 639 | 1390 | 177 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1810 | 1473 | 362 | 1753 | 1900 | 1585 | 1810 | 3143 | 449 | 1810 | 3195 | 407 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 93 | 0 | 228 | 103 | 152 | 227 | 58 | 491 | 493 | 204 | 413 | 419 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1810 | 0 | 1835 | 1753 | 1900 | 1585 | 1810 | 1791 | 1801 | 1810 | 1791 | 1811 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 1.2 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 3.9 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.6 | 33.6 | 2.6 | 23.7 | 23.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 1.2 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 3.9 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.6 | 33.6 | 2.6 | 23.7 | 23.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.20 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.25 | 1.00 |  | 0.22 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 227 | 0 | 271 | 137 | 209 | 637 | 576 | 651 | 655 | 639 | 779 | 788 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.32 | 0.53 | 0.53 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 227 | 0 | 457 | 184 | 474 | 858 | 576 | 651 | 655 | 639 | 779 | 788 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 58.2 | 0.0 | 58.1 | 64.2 | 60.3 | 29.4 | 26.5 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 33.1 | 29.1 | 29.1 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 1.2 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 11.0 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 5.6 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 7.2 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 2.3 | 22.6 | 22.7 | 8.8 | 16.1 | 16.2 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 59.4 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 75.2 | 65.1 | 29.8 | 26.6 | 46.9 | 46.9 | 33.4 | 31.6 | 31.6 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A | E | E | E | C | C | D | D | C | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 321 |  |  | 482 |  |  | 1042 |  |  | 1036 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 63.3 |  |  | 50.6 |  |  | 45.8 |  |  | 32.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 35.9 | 66.0 | 12.3 | 25.8 | 45.9 | 56.0 | 17.6 | 20.5 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 12.6 | 60.9 | 10.6 | 34.9 | 22.6 | 50.9 | 10.6 | 34.9 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c $\mathbf{~}+1$ ），s | 2.0 | 25.8 | 5.9 | 18.9 | 4.6 | 35.6 | 3.2 | 12.8 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 1.5 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 43.6 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | $D$ |

## FUTURE (2023) NO-BUILD CAPACITY REPORTS

Weekday Morning Peak Hour
Weekday Evening Peak Hour

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 35 | 100 | 60 | 165 | 105 | 160 | 30 | 445 | 110 | 210 | 650 | 45 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 35 | 100 | 60 | 165 | 105 | 160 | 30 | 445 | 110 | 210 | 650 | 45 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1811 | 1900 | 1796 | 1826 | 1900 | 1826 | 1856 | 1841 | 1752 | 1885 | 1856 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 43 | 123 | 74 | 204 | 130 | 198 | 37 | 549 | 136 | 259 | 802 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 6 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 307 | 149 | 90 | 227 | 187 | 616 | 511 | 870 | 215 | 690 | 1335 | 93 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1725 | 1111 | 669 | 1739 | 1900 | 1533 | 1767 | 2776 | 685 | 1795 | 3343 | 233 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 43 | 0 | 197 | 204 | 130 | 198 | 37 | 345 | 340 | 259 | 423 | 435 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1725 | 0 | 1780 | 1739 | 1900 | 1533 | 1767 | 1749 | 1712 | 1795 | 1763 | 1813 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 12.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.6 | 23.8 | 2.8 | 26.5 | 26.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 12.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.6 | 23.8 | 2.8 | 26.5 | 26.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.38 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.40 | 1.00 |  | 0.13 |
| Lane Grp $\operatorname{Cap}$（c），veh／h | 307 | 0 | 239 | 227 | 187 | 616 | 511 | 548 | 537 | 690 | 704 | 724 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.70 | 0.32 | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 307 | 0 | 431 | 258 | 542 | 902 | 511 | 548 | 537 | 690 | 704 | 724 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 51.6 | 0.0 | 59.0 | 60.4 | 61.1 | 29.0 | 32.5 | 41.1 | 41.2 | 31.0 | 33.2 | 33.2 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.2 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 28.7 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 2.4 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 13.8 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 1.6 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 10.5 | 17.7 | 18.1 |

Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh

| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 51.8 | 0.0 | 65.9 | 89.1 | 65.7 | 29.3 | 32.5 | 46.5 | 46.7 | 31.3 | 37.0 | 36.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | E | F | E | C | C | D | D | C | D | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 240 |  |  | 532 |  |  | 722 |  | 1117 |  |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 63.4 |  |  | 61.1 |  |  | 45.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | $E$ |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |
| E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 35.5 | 61.0 | 19.6 | 23.9 | 47.5 | 49.0 | 24.6 | 18.9 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 12.6 | 55.9 | 16.6 | 33.9 | 24.6 | 43.9 | 10.6 | 39.9 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c $\mathbf{C} 11$ ），s | 2.0 | 28.6 | 14.0 | 17.1 | 4.8 | 25.8 | 2.0 | 11.3 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 46.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 90 | 175 | 45 | 100 | 145 | 220 | 55 | 850 | 120 | 205 | 735 | 95 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 90 | 175 | 45 | 100 | 145 | 220 | 55 | 850 | 120 | 205 | 735 | 95 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1856 | 1841 | 1900 | 1885 | 1900 | 1885 | 1870 | 1900 | 1885 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 101 | 197 | 51 | 111 | 161 | 244 | 60 | 924 | 130 | 220 | 790 | 102 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 238 | 231 | 60 | 138 | 218 | 627 | 539 | 1145 | 161 | 602 | 1388 | 179 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1810 | 1455 | 377 | 1753 | 1900 | 1585 | 1810 | 3150 | 443 | 1810 | 3190 | 412 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 101 | 0 | 248 | 111 | 161 | 244 | 60 | 525 | 529 | 220 | 444 | 448 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1810 | 0 | 1832 | 1753 | 1900 | 1585 | 1810 | 1791 | 1803 | 1810 | 1791 | 1811 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 1.8 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 4.6 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 5.4 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 1.8 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 4.6 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 5.4 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.21 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.25 | 1.00 |  | 0.23 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 238 | 0 | 290 | 138 | 218 | 627 | 539 | 651 | 655 | 602 | 779 | 788 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.74 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.37 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 238 | 0 | 457 | 184 | 474 | 840 | 539 | 651 | 655 | 602 | 779 | 788 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 57.6 | 0.0 | 57.3 | 64.4 | 59.9 | 30.4 | 29.3 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 35.9 | 29.7 | 29.7 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 1.2 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 17.0 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 6.0 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 8.0 | 9.8 | 10.0 | 2.5 | 24.9 | 25.0 | 9.7 | 17.4 | 17.5 |

Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh

| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 58.8 | 0.0 | 66.4 | 81.4 | 64.7 | 30.8 | 29.4 | 50.4 | 50.4 | 36.3 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A | E | F | E | C | C | D | D | D | C | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 349 |  |  | 516 |  |  | 1114 |  | 1112 |  |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 64.2 |  |  | 52.2 |  |  | 49.3 |  | 33.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  | C |  |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 34.4 | 66.0 | 12.3 | 27.3 | 44.4 | 56.0 | 18.4 | 21.2 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 12.6 | 60.9 | 10.6 | 34.9 | 22.6 | 50.9 | 10.6 | 34.9 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c $\mathbf{C} 11$ ），s | 2.0 | 28.0 | 6.6 | 20.4 | 7.4 | 39.0 | 3.8 | 13.5 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 1.7 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 45.7 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | $D$ |

# FUTURE (2023) BUILD CAPACITY REPORTS 

Weekday Morning Peak Hour
Weekday Evening Peak Hour

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | F |  | \％ | 4 | 「 | \％ | 性 |  | \％ | 中t |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 35 | 105 | 60 | 180 | 110 | 160 | 30 | 450 | 110 | 210 | 665 | 45 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 35 | 105 | 60 | 180 | 110 | 160 | 30 | 450 | 110 | 210 | 665 | 45 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1811 | 1900 | 1796 | 1826 | 1900 | 1826 | 1856 | 1841 | 1752 | 1885 | 1856 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 43 | 130 | 74 | 222 | 136 | 198 | 37 | 556 | 136 | 259 | 821 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 6 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 324 | 157 | 89 | 244 | 193 | 600 | 482 | 873 | 213 | 664 | 1337 | 91 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1725 | 1137 | 647 | 1739 | 1900 | 1534 | 1767 | 2784 | 678 | 1795 | 3348 | 228 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 43 | 0 | 204 | 222 | 136 | 198 | 37 | 349 | 343 | 259 | 432 | 445 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1725 | 0 | 1784 | 1739 | 1900 | 1534 | 1767 | 1749 | 1713 | 1795 | 1763 | 1814 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 13.5 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 24.1 | 3.4 | 27.3 | 27.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 13.5 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 24.1 | 3.4 | 27.3 | 27.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.36 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.40 | 1.00 |  | 0.13 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 324 | 0 | 246 | 244 | 193 | 600 | 482 | 548 | 537 | 664 | 704 | 724 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 0.91 | 0.71 | 0.33 | 0.08 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.39 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 324 | 0 | 432 | 258 | 542 | 882 | 482 | 548 | 537 | 664 | 704 | 724 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 50.3 | 0.0 | 58.7 | 59.7 | 60.9 | 30.0 | 34.4 | 41.2 | 41.3 | 32.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.2 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 32.1 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 3.9 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（95\％），veh／ln | 2.4 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 15.1 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 1.7 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 10.7 | 18.1 | 18.5 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 50.5 | 0.0 | 65.7 | 91.9 | 65.5 | 30.3 | 34.5 | 46.7 | 47.0 | 32.8 | 37.4 | 37.3 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | E | F | E | C | C | D | D | C | D | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 247 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 729 |  |  | 1136 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 63.1 |  |  | 63.5 |  |  | 46.2 |  |  | 36.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$ ，s | 33.6 | 61.0 | 20.9 | 24.4 | 45.6 | 49.0 | 26.1 | 19.3 |
| Change Period $(\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc})$ ，s | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 12.6 | 55.9 | 16.6 | 33.9 | 24.6 | 43.9 | 10.6 | 39.9 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.0 | 29.3 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 5.4 | 26.1 | 2.0 | 11.7 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 47.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |







| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 472 | 0 | - | 0 | 937 | 236 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 470 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 467 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.13 | - | - - | - | 6.63 | 6.93 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - - | - | 5.83 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.219 | - | - - | - | 3.519 | 3.319 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1088 | - | - | - | 278 | 766 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 596 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 630 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1088 | - | - - | - | 276 | 766 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - - | - | 404 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - - | - | 592 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - - | - | 630 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 |  | 0 |  | 10.8 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1088 | - | - | - | 650 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.005 | - | - | - | 0.042 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.3 | 0 | - | - | 10.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - |  | 0.1 |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{1}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Trafic Volume (veh/h) | 90 | 180 | 45 | 110 | 150 | 220 | 55 | 870 | 125 | 205 | 745 | 95 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 90 | 180 | 45 | 110 | 150 | 220 | 55 | 870 | 125 | 205 | 745 | 95 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1900 | 1856 | 1841 | 1900 | 1885 | 1900 | 1885 | 1870 | 1900 | 1885 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 101 | 202 | 51 | 122 | 167 | 244 | 60 | 946 | 136 | 220 | 801 | 102 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 245 | 236 | 59 | 145 | 224 | 622 | 524 | 1142 | 164 | 583 | 1390 | 177 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1810 | 1464 | 370 | 1753 | 1900 | 1585 | 1810 | 3141 | 451 | 1810 | 3195 | 407 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 101 | 0 | 253 | 122 | 167 | 244 | 60 | 539 | 543 | 220 | 449 | 454 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1810 | 0 | 1833 | 1753 | 1900 | 1585 | 1810 | 1791 | 1801 | 1810 | 1791 | 1811 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.7 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 5.5 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 6.1 | 26.5 | 26.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 1.7 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 5.5 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 6.1 | 26.5 | 26.5 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.20 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.25 | 1.00 |  | 0.22 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 245 | 0 | 295 | 145 | 224 | 622 | 524 | 651 | 655 | 583 | 779 | 788 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.74 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.38 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 245 | 0 | 457 | 184 | 474 | 830 | 524 | 651 | 655 | 583 | 779 | 788 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 57.1 | 0.0 | 57.2 | 64.1 | 59.7 | 30.7 | 30.2 | 40.6 | 40.6 | 37.1 | 29.8 | 29.8 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.1 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 23.0 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(95\%),veh/ln | 6.0 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 8.9 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 25.9 | 26.0 | 9.9 | 17.6 | 17.8 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 58.2 | 0.0 | 66.8 | 87.2 | 64.5 | 31.2 | 30.3 | 52.2 | 52.1 | 37.5 | 32.9 | 32.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A | E | F | E | C | C | D | D | D | C | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 354 |  |  | 533 |  |  | 1142 |  |  | 1123 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 64.3 |  |  | 54.4 |  |  | 51.0 |  |  | 33.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$, s | 33.5 | 66.0 | 12.9 | 27.6 | 43.5 | 56.0 | 18.9 | 21.6 |
| Change Period $(\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc})$, s | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 12.6 | 60.9 | 10.6 | 34.9 | 22.6 | 50.9 | 10.6 | 34.9 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.0 | 28.5 | 7.5 | 20.8 | 8.1 | 40.4 | 3.7 | 13.9 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.1 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 1.7 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 47.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | $D$ |



| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1851 | 639 | 0 | 0 | 1277 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 1275 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 576 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.84 | 6.94 | - | - | 4.14 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.84 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.84 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.52 | 3.32 | - | - | 2.22 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 66 | 419 | - | - | 540 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 226 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 525 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 66 | 419 | - | - | 540 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 168 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 226 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 522 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 15.1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  | NBT | NBR | BLn1 | SBL | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 369 | 540 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.032 | 0.002 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 15.1 | 11.7 | 0 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | B | A |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |




| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 510 | 0 | - | 0 | 1073 | 255 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 508 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 565 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.13 | - | - | - | 6.63 | 6.93 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.83 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.43 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.219 | - | - | - | 3.519 | 3.319 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1053 | - | - | - | 229 | 745 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 570 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 568 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1053 | - | - | - | 226 | 745 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 361 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 561 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | 568 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.2 |  | 0 |  | 11.3 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1053 | - | - | - | 589 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.01 | - | - | - | 0.037 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.5 | 0 | - | - | 11.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | 0.1 |

## FUTURE (2023) BUILD QUEUEING REPORTS FROM SIMTRAFFIC

Weekday Morning Peak Hour
Weekday Evening Peak Hour

Intersection: 100: Old Troy Pike \& Chambersburg Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 153 | 279 | 266 | 270 | 112 | 135 | 291 | 266 | 221 | 278 | 244 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 42 | 129 | 152 | 103 | 50 | 27 | 136 | 103 | 112 | 122 | 110 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 110 | 235 | 244 | 204 | 88 | 87 | 246 | 216 | 202 | 228 | 212 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 599 |  | 320 | 320 |  | 651 | 651 |  | 373 | 373 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 130 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 60 |  | 200 |  |  | 120 | 13 |  | 9 | 6 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 36 | 6 | 1 |  | 0 | 13 |  | 33 | 13 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 6 | 13 | 8 | 1 |  | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 200: Old Troy Pike \& Access A

| Movement | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 37 | 16 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 41 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 171 | 466 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 300: Old Troy Pike \& Access B

| Movement | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 48 | 100 | 25 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 12 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 | 57 | 19 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 175 | 336 | 336 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 400: Chambersburg Road \& Access C

| Movement | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 23 | 6 | 35 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 15 | 6 | 42 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 320 | 1276 | 149 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 80

Intersection: 100: Old Troy Pike \& Chambersburg Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | T | R | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 160 | 401 | 206 | 252 | 160 | 219 | 468 | 435 | 229 | 318 | 287 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 90 | 194 | 100 | 126 | 72 | 68 | 271 | 244 | 147 | 167 | 153 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 177 | 334 | 180 | 218 | 132 | 184 | 417 | 384 | 240 | 283 | 258 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 599 |  | 320 | 320 |  | 651 | 651 |  | 373 | 373 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |  |  | 130 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 60 |  | 200 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 16 | 50 | 2 | 2 |  | 0 | 34 |  | 20 | 11 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 38 | 46 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 19 |  | 76 | 23 |  |

Intersection: 200: Old Troy Pike \& Access A

| Movement | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 32 | 34 | 19 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 34 | 22 | 19 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 171 | 466 | 466 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 300: Old Troy Pike \& Access B

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | T | TR | LT | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 92 | 5 | 4 | 250 | 177 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 30 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 70 | 5 | 3 | 171 | 108 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 175 | 373 | 373 | 336 | 336 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 400: Chambersburg Road \& Access C

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 64 | 39 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 16 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 | 41 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 320 | 149 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 209

Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
DETAILED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Information
Agenda Title
DETAILED DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, is requesting approval of a Detailed Development Plan for .96 acres for property located at the northeast corner of Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike (ZC 21-33).

Purpose and Background

|  | Attachments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staff Report |  |
| Decision Record |  |
| Drawings |  |
| Floor Plan |  |
| Site Plan |  |
| Fire Assessment |  |

# Memorandum <br> Staff Report for Meeting of October26, 2021 



## PREVIOUS APPROVAL:

APPLICABLE HHCC:
CORRESPONDENCE: In Favor - None Received
In Opposition - None Received

## STATEMENT OF FACT:

The applicant requests approval of a Detailed Development Plan for the infrastructure portion of a commercial and multi-family Mixed Use project at the above-described location.

## STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

## Overview:

The above-described property was rezoned from R-6 - Residence and B-3 Commercial to PM - Planned Mixed Use in 2021. The site is located at the northeast corner of Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike. The overall proposal calls for the construction of six commercial buildings to be located on individual lots and 192 market rate apartment units housed in 6 multi-family buildings. The proposal before Planning Commission calls for the construction of a new 4,085 square foot Chase Bank Facility which is a permitted use in the Planned Mixed Use Zoning District as a commercial use. Staff's analysis of the proposal is broken into several segments as follows.

### 1171.09 - Detailed development plan.

The detailed developmentplan shall conform substantially to the basic development plan. If desired by the developer, it may be submitted in stages with each stage reflecting a portion of the approved basic plan which is proposed to be recorded and developed; provided however, that such portion conforms to all requirements of this chapter and other applicable ordinances. The requirement procedure for approval of a detailed developmentplan shall be:
(a) The detailed plan and supporting data shall be filed with the City. The Planning Commission shall determine that such plan is in conformity with these regulations and in agreement with the approved basic plan.
(b) After review of the detailed plan and supporting data, the Commission shall approve or disapprove the plan submitted by the developer. Disapproval of the detailed plan shall be based on its failure to comply with the basic development plan and current applicable codes, standards, and regulations.
(Ord. 89-O-339, Passed 2-6-89)

### 1171.091 - Planning commission/council review.

It is the purpose of the Planning Development regulations to encourage property ouners to develop their land in efficient and effective ways. It is the intent of these regulations to encourage land uses which may not always meet traditional zoning rules. Inherent in these Planned Development regulations is an opportunity for property owners to develop their sites without requiring strict compliance with all zoning regulations where the overall plan is deemed to be in the best interest of the City. During review of a Basic or Detailed Development Plan by the Planning Commission or City Council, all requirements within Part 11, Title 7 of the Code are to be used as guidelines and may be varied as part of the Basic or Detailed Development Plan if it is determined that such deviation will not materially adversely affect neighboring properties or the community as a whole, any such variation of these requirements does not change the overall plan and character of the proposed development, and the variance does not have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of these regulations or the Zoning Ordinance. In granting variances or modifications, the Commission or Council may require such conditions as shall, in its judgement, secure substantially the objective of the standards or requirements so varied or modified.
(Case 427; Ord. 2002-O-1367, Passed 9-9-02)

## Building Elevations:

The building is made up of cast stone, different shades of fiber cement panels and aluminum composite material. There is a good mix of colors and depth to the building. The total building height is 21 feet, six inches. The dumpster enclosure is proposed to be made of masonry materials to match the building and have gates at the front.

## Site Design and Engineering:

### 1179.06 Development standards.

Except when specifically modified herein, the provisions of the Planning and Zoning Code shall govern. The following development standards apply to a PM development:
(a) Minimum Land Area Requirement. A minimum of 20 acres shall be required.
(b) Covenants. The developer of a PM development shall be required to submit a set of covenants or deed restrictions with the Basic Development Plan application that will outline, at a minimum, development standards and guidelines established in this chapter and any other requirements the developer and/or Planning Commission deems necessary. The Planning Commission may require additional or amended covenants as it deems necessary to ensure compliance with the Planning and Zoning Code and the Planned Mixed Use District.
(c) Required Mix of Land Uses. A developer shall be required to provide a mix of land uses in a PM Development. At a minimum, at least two of the following uses are required in a PM Development: residential, commercial, office, institutional, and/or industrial.

## (d) Site Planning.

(1) The combination of different uses whether as part of one building or as part of the overall development shall be designed and developed so as not to create a nuisance by excessive noise, light, vibration, odor, or any other annoyances for any uses within the development or neighboring properties.
(2) A PM development is to be designed so that buildings and structures are clustered and open space areas are preserved and maintained. Special care shall be given to protect preexisting natural features including, but not limited to, woodlands, ravines, streams, lakes, ponds, and/or flood plains. Impervious surface coverage, including, but not limited to, buildings, parking area, and accessways, shall not exceed 75 percent of the total development area. Therefore, 25 percent of the development area shall be reserved for green space.
(3) The number of ingress and egress points onto the public streets shall be limited in order to reduce the number of traffic conflict points. Adequate and properly arranged facilities for internal pedestrian and traffic circulations shall be provided. The street and thoroughfare network shall be designed to minimize truck traffic through residential areas of the development.
(4) Parking systems shall be designed so as to discourage single large unbroken paved lots for off-street parking and shall encourage smaller defined parking areas within the total parking system. Underground parking facilities are encouraged.
(5) The development shall be designed to tie all the uses into one overall community and encourage walking, biking, running, and alternative modes of transportation. Developers are encouraged to incorporate bus stops, bikeways, walkways, and crosswalks into an overall thematic scheme for pedestrian traffic. Sidewalks shall be required except, in the case of a golf course or specific open space development, the Planning Commission may determine them to be unnecessary.
(6) Any signs as proposed within this district, shall comply with Chapter 1189 "Signs". Additionally, a developer of a PM development shall develop and submit with the Detailed Development Plan application, a comprehensive set of graphic design criteria for signage in the development. This set of graphic design criteria for signage shall be approved by the Planning Commission and shall apply to all signage requests within the development. The criteria shall include, at a minimum, the sizes permitted (if different from Chapter 1189), colors
permitted, materials permitted, typefaces permitted, type size permitted, and permitted illumination. Compliance with the on-site comprehensive graphics shall be verified by the Zoning Administrator during the sign permit review process.
(7) Minimum lot area, frontage and setback requirements may be varied to allow greater flexibility in design. However, the following shall be used as a guideline for development:
A. With multiple buildings on a single property, entirely residential buildings shall be at least 15 feet from another entirely residential building and at least 50 feet from nonresidential or mixed-use buildings.
B. With multiple buildings on a single property, nonresidential buildings or mixed use buildings shall be at least 20 feet or one-half the height of the taller building apart, whichever is greater from another nonresidential or mixed use building.
C. All nonresidential buildings or mixed-use buildings shall be set back at least 50 feet or the height of the structure, whichever is greater, from any residential property or residential building, whichever is closer, and from the public right-of-way. This setback applies to multiple buildings on a single property, to development within a PM development, and where it abuts to adjacent property.
(8) No maximum height restriction shall apply, except that the proposed development meets all Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Dayton International Airport or Wright Patterson Air Force Base height or abatement requirements.
(9) Common parking areas and accessways shall be lighted adequately with light fixtures that shall be designed to reflect light away from adjoining properties. Special attention will be given to protect entirely residential structures from light emitted from nonresidential land uses.
(10)Nonresidential uses shall have trash containers and/or receptacles (including recycling containers) placed to the rear of all structures and shall be screened or enclosed on four sides with opening doors for the purpose of trash removal. The placement of trash containers and/or receptacles in multi-family residential developments shall be as inconspicuous as possible. The use of a wooden or vinyl fence structure, earth mound, or wall with an opaqueness of 100 percent and a height of 12 inches above the top of the largest container is required.
(11)The architecture of nonresidential structures is encouraged to be unique yet similar in certain sections of the PM.
(12)The distribution systems for utilities are required to be underground.
(13)The use of privately owned open space and public dedicated park land is encouraged as part of a PM development. Privately owned open space shall be maintained by the developer or by a duly authorized owner's association.
(14)The use of chain link fencing is prohibited. Additionally, on an entirely residential property, no fencing shall be permitted in the front yard and, in the case of a corner lot, no fencing shall be permitted in the side yard with frontage to a public right-of-way. The covenants submitted by the developer shall establish the height requirements for fencing in the development. Fencing in a development shall be uniform in height in related use areas. On an entirely residential property, fence height shall not exceed six feet.
(15)With the submission of a Basic Development Plan application, the applicant is required to submit a phasing plan that details when certain sections of the development will commence construction and when the sections will be complete.
(Case 346; Ord. 99-O-1199, Passed 3-22-99)

The building is 86 feet from the Old Troy Pike Right-of-Way and 90 feet from the Taylorsville Road Right-Of-Way. The building in this location is surrounded by other commercial uses. Pedestrian access is provided from Old Troy Pike and to the north within the development. The dumpster enclosure is located at the rear of the building.

Parking is as follows.
Financial establishment, bank or savings and loan association: one space per 200 square feet of gross floor area, plus one space per employee on the largest work shift, plus five stacking spaces per drive-in window or drive-thru machine.
By Code, thirty spaces would be required. Thirty-seven parking spaces are provided, with two being handicap accessible. The proposal calls for ten-foot width parking spaces.

## Utilities:

The building will be serviced by connections to public water and sanitary sewer connections. Gas, telephone, and electric are also available at the site limits. Drainage is being collected through catch basins and storm sewer routing the flow to the existing retention pond to the east. There is no need for additional storm water detention. Lighting shall meet the standards of City Code 1181.21.

## Signage:

Four wall signs are proposed on the building. The signs are to be located on all faces. The total sign area of the four signs shall not exceed 150 square feet as per that standard City Code for buildings with multiple visible faces. No ground sign will be approved at this location. A master development sign will be available for all users.

## Landscaping:

Landscaping is being provided around the perimeter of the building and site. The landscaping consists of deciduous trees, evergreen trees, shrubs, grasses, and perennials. Staff recommends adding Autumn Fantasy Maple trees along Old Troy Pike and Taylorsville Road to match the spacing that was approved with the Discount Tire Store.

## Planning Commission Decision Record

WHEREAS, on October 1, 2021, the applicant, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., requested approval of a Detailed Development Plan for property at the northeast corner of Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike (Zoning Case 21-33), and;

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2021 the Planning Commission did meet and fully discuss the details of the request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby approved of the request.
moved to approve the application submitted by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., and the applicant's request for approval of a Detailed Development Plan for property at the northeast corner of Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike (Zoning Case 21-33) in accordance with the recommendation of Staff's Memorandum dated October 15, 2021 with the following conditions:

1. The approved Detailed Development Plan shall be the plans stamped received by the City of Huber Heights Planning Department on August 9, 2021, except as modified herein.
2. The applicant shall receive final Engineering approval prior to a Zoning Certificate being issued.
3. The applicant shall address all Fire Division comments prior to a Zoning Certificate being issued.
4. Ground signage is not approved with this application.
5. Wall signage shall have a maximum total of 150 square feet.
6. Landscaping along Old Troy Pike and Taylorsville Road shall closely match that as approved for the Discount Tire Store.
7. All traffic improvements on Old Troy Pike and Taylorsville Road described in Zoning Case 21-25 shall be complete prior to the issuance of a final for any building within this development.
8. Prior to the issuance of a zoning permit, the applicant shall enter into a PUD Agreement with the City for the purpose, but not the sole purpose, of establishing the development obligations of the applicant and requiring the submittal of a performance bond, cash bond, or letter of credit to insure the installation of landscaping as approved. The bond or letter of credit shall be in an amount equal to the applicant's estimate of the cost of installation as approved by the Planning Department and shall remain in effect until such time as the landscaping has been completed as determined by the Planning Department. Upon completion of the installation of landscaping as required by the approved landscape plan, the applicant may request release of the performance bond or letter of credit. Following an inspection by the Planning Department and upon determination by the department that the landscaping has been completed in accordance with the approved landscaping plan, $80 \%$ of the performance bond or letter of credit may be released. However, the performance bond or letter of credit will not be released until a maintenance bond lasting three growing seasons, or letter of credit equal to $20 \%$ of the initial performance bond or letter of credit to ensure maintenance of the landscaping, is submitted to and accepted by the Planning Department. The term of the maintenance bond shall be three growing seasons.

Seconded by $\qquad$ . Roll call showed: YEAS: NAYS: Motion to approve carried

Terry Walton, Chair
Planning Commission
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Inspections require two business days advance notice! (OAC)1301:7-7-09(A)(5)
Occupancy Name: Chase Bank - Broad Reach Development Occupancy Address: Old Troy Pike and Taylorsville Road

| Type of Permit: | HHP\&D Site Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |


| MCBR BLD: | Not Yet Assigned | HH P\&D: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MCBR MEC: |  | HHFD Plan: | $21-217$ |
| MCBR ELE: |  | HHFD Box: | 3 |
| REVIEWER: | Susong | DATE: | $10 / 25 / 2021$ |

## Fire Department Comments:

The Huber Heights City Code Part 15 Refers to Fire Code Requirements and has adopted by reference OFC and IFC Appendices
These comments are based only on the proposed site work, fire department access and basic fire protection concept at this time. A full plan review of the building systems, fire protection, egress and life safety will need to be conducted once the architectural plans have been submitted. The proposed development will need to meet the requirements of
the Ohio Fire Code 2017, Ohio Building Code 2017 and the Huber Heights Codified Ordinance. Based on the drawings provided the following requirements need to be met.

- Fire apparatus access roads will need to comply with OFC 503 as well as the adopted appendices from the OFC (2017) and the Huber Heights Codified Ordinance (HHCO) Section 15.
- The minimum drive width shall be 26 feet with fire hydrants. OFC Appendix D103.1. (To enable fire apparatus access around buildings we are requesting that the bypass lane at the proposed bank be increased.)
- Verify that proposed trees do not obstruct fire department access and access roads. The minimum clear vertical height for fire apparatus access roads shall be 13 feet 6 inches, in accordance with Ohio Fire Code 503.2.1.
- Buildings provided with fire sprinkler systems will need to have a fire department connection located within 75 feet of a fire hydrant in accordance with Huber Heights Codified Ordinance 1521.01(e).

Please reference contact information below for questions or concerns with this document.

[^7]Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
DETAILED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Information
Agenda Title
DETAILED DEVELOPMENT PLAN - The applicant, BROAD REACH RETAIL PARTNERS, LLC, is requesting approval of a Detailed Development Plan for 1.10 acres for property at the northeast corner of Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike (ZC 21-32).

Purpose and Background

|  | Attachments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staff Report |  |
| Decision Record |  |
| Drawings |  |
| Fire Assessment |  |

# Memorandum <br> Staff Report for Meeting of October26, 2021 

| To: Huber Heights City | Huber Heights City Planning Commission |
| :---: | :---: |
| From: Scott Falkowski, As | Scott Falkowski, Assistant City Manager |
| Date: |  |
| Subject: ZC 21-32 (Detailed | ZC 21-32 (Detailed Development Plan - Broad Reach) |
|  | - Application dated October 1, 2021 |
| Department of Planning and Zoning | flanning and Zoning City of Huber Heiohts |
| APPLICANT/OWNER: | /OWNER: Broad Reach Retail Partners, LLC-Applicant HF 2 SUB LLC- Owner |
| DEVELOPMENT NAME: | ENT NAME: Huber Heights Center |
| ADDRESS/LOCATION: | OCATION: Northeast corner Taylorsville and Old Troy Pike |
| ZONING/ACREAGE: | REAGE: Planned Mixed Use (PM) / 1.10 acres |
| EXISTING LAND USE: | AND USE: Multi-Family Residentia//Office |
| ZONING |  |
| ADJACENT LAND: | LAND: R-6, B-3, PC |
| REQUEST: | The applicant requests approval of a Detailed Development Plan for 1.10 acres at the Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike intersection |

## PREVIOUS APPROVAL:

APPLICABLE HHCC:
CORRESPONDENCE: In Favor - None Received
In Opposition - None Received

## STATEMENT OF FACT:

The applicant requests approval of a Detailed Development Plan for a retail building in the Mixed Use development at the above-described location.

## STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

## Overview:

The above-described property was rezoned from R-6 - Residence and B-3 Commercial to PM - Planned Mixed Use in 2021. The site is located at the northeast corner of Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike. The overall proposal calls for the construction of six commercial buildings to be located on individual lots and 192 market rate apartment units housed in 6 multi-family buildings. The proposal before Planning Commission calls for the construction of a new 11,050 square foot retail Facility which is a permitted use in the Planned Mixed Use Zoning District as a commercial use. Staff's analysis of the proposal is broken into several segments as follows.

### 1171.09 - Detailed development plan.

The detailed development plan shall conform substantially to the basic development plan. If desired by the developer, it may be submitted in stages with each stage reflecting a portion of the approved basic plan which is proposed to be recorded and developed; provided however, that such portion conforms to all requirements of this chapter and other applicable ordinances. The requirement procedure for approval of a detailed developmentplan shall be:
(a) The detailed plan and supporting data shall be filed with the City. The Planning Commission shall determine that such plan is in conformity with these regulations and in agreement with the approved basic plan.
(b) After review of the detailed plan and supporting data, the Commission shall approve or disapprove the plan submitted by the developer. Disapproval of the detailed plan shall be based on its failure to comply with the basic development plan and current applicable codes, standards and regulations.

### 1171.091 - Planning commission/council review.

It is the purpose of the Planning Development regulations to encourage property owners to develop their land in efficient and effective ways. It is the intent of the se regulations to encourage land uses which may not always meet traditional zoning rules. Inherent in these Planned Development regulations is an opportunity for property ouners to develop their sites without requiring strict compliance with all zoning regulations where the overall plan is deemed to be in the best interest of the City. During review of a Basic or Detailed Development Plan by the Planning Commission or City Council, all requirements within Part 11, Title 7 of the Code are to be used as guidelines and may be varied as part of the Basic or Detailed Development Plan if it is determined that such deviation will not materially adversely affect neighboring properties or the community as a whole, any such variation of these requirements does not change the overall plan and character of the proposed development, and the variance does not have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of these regulations or the Zoning Ordinance. In granting variances or modifications, the Commission or Council may require such conditions as shall, in its judgement, secure substantially the objective of the standards or requirements so varied or modified.
(Case 427; Ord. 2002-O-1367, Passed 9-9-02)

## Building Elevations:

The building is made up of different shades of brick and different shades of EIFS. There is a good mix of colors and depth to the building. The total building height is twenty feet, eight inches. The dumpster enclosure is proposed to be made of masonry materials to match the building and have gates at the front.

## Site Design and Engineering:

### 1179.06 Development standards.

Except when specifically modified herein, the provisions of the Planning and Zoning Code shall govern. The following development standards apply to a PM development:
(a) Minimum Land Area Requirement. A minimum of 20 acres shall be required.
(b) Covenants. The developer of a PM development shall be required to submit a set of covenants or deed restrictions with the Basic Development Plan application that will outline, at a minimum, development standards and guidelines established in this chapter and any other requirements the developer and/or Planning Commission deems necessary. The Planning Commission may require additional or amended covenants as it deems necessary to ensure compliance with the Planning and Zoning Code and the Planned Mixed Use District.
(c) Required Mix of Land Uses. A developer shall be required to provide a mix of land uses in a PM Development. At a minimum, at least two of the following uses are required in a PM Development: residential, commercial, office, institutional, and/or industrial.

## (d) Site Planning.

(1) The combination of different uses whether as part of one building or as part of the overall development shall be designed and developed so as not to create a nuisance by excessive noise, light, vibration, odor, or any other annoyances for any uses within the development or neighboring properties.
(2) A PM development is to be designed so that buildings and structures are clustered and open space areas are preserved and maintained. Special care shall be given to protect preexisting natural features including, but not limited to, woodlands, ravines, streams, lakes, ponds, and/or flood plains. Impervious surface coverage, including, but not limited to, buildings, parking area, and accessways, shall not exceed 75 percent of the total development area. Therefore, 25 percent of the development area shall be reserved for green space.
(3) The number of ingress and egress points onto the public streets shall be limited in order to reduce the number of traffic conflict points. Adequate and properly arranged facilities for internal pedestrian and traffic circulations shall be provided. The street and thoroughfare network shall be designed to minimize truck traffic through residential areas of the development.
(4) Parking systems shall be designed so as to discourage single large unbroken paved lots for off-street parking and shall encourage smaller defined parking areas within the total parking system. Underground parking facilities are encouraged.
(5) The development shall be designed to tie all the uses into one overall community and encourage walking, biking, running, and alternative modes of transportation. Developers are encouraged to incorporate bus stops, bikeways, walkways, and crosswalks into an overall thematic scheme for pedestrian traffic. Sidewalks shall be required except, in the case of a golf course or specific open space development, the Planning Commission may determine them to be unnecessary.
(6) Any signs as proposed within this district, shall comply with Chapter 1189 "Signs". Additionally, a developer of a PM development shall develop and submit with the Detailed Development Plan application, a comprehensive set of graphic design criteria for signage in the development. This set of graphic design criteria for signage shall be approved by the Planning Commission and shall apply to all signage requests within the development. The criteria shall include, at a minimum, the sizes permitted (if different from Chapter 1189), colors
permitted, materials permitted, typefaces permitted, type size permitted, and permitted illumination. Compliance with the on-site comprehensive graphics shall be verified by the Zoning Administrator during the sign permit review process.
(7) Minimum lot area, frontage and setback requirements may be varied to allow greater flexibility in design. However, the following shall be used as a guideline for development:
A. With multiple buildings on a single property, entirely residential buildings shall be at least 15 feet from another entirely residential building and at least 50 feet from nonresidential or mixed-use buildings.
B. With multiple buildings on a single property, nonresidential buildings or mixed use buildings shall be at least 20 feet or one-half the height of the taller building apart, whichever is greater from another nonresidential or mixed use building.
C. All nonresidential buildings or mixed-use buildings shall be set back at least 50 feet or the height of the structure, whichever is greater, from any residential property or residential building, whichever is closer, and from the public right-of-way. This setback applies to multiple buildings on a single property, to development within a PM development, and where it abuts to adjacent property.
(8) No maximum height restriction shall apply, except that the proposed development meets all Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Dayton International Airport or Wright Patterson Air Force Base height or abatement requirements.
(9) Common parking areas and accessways shall be lighted adequately with light fixtures that shall be designed to reflect light away from adjoining properties. Special attention will be given to protect entirely residential structures from light emitted from nonresidential land uses.
(10)Nonresidential uses shall have trash containers and/or receptacles (including recycling containers) placed to the rear of all structures and shall be screened or enclosed on four sides with opening doors for the purpose of trash removal. The placement of trash containers and/or receptacles in multi-family residential developments shall be as inconspicuous as possible. The use of a wooden or vinyl fence structure, earth mound, or wall with an opaqueness of 100 percent and a height of 12 inches above the top of the largest container is required.
(11)The architecture of nonresidential structures is encouraged to be unique yet similar in certain sections of the PM.
(12)The distribution systems for utilities are required to be underground.
(13)The use of privately owned open space and public dedicated park land is encouraged as part of a PM development. Privately owned open space shall be maintained by the developer or by a duly authorized owner's association.
(14)The use of chain link fencing is prohibited. Additionally, on an entirely residential property, no fencing shall be permitted in the front yard and, in the case of a corner lot, no fencing shall be permitted in the side yard with frontage to a public right-of-way. The covenants submitted by the developer shall establish the height requirements for fencing in the development. Fencing in a development shall be uniform in height in related use areas. On an entirely residential property, fence height shall not exceed six feet.
(15)With the submission of a Basic Development Plan application, the applicant is required to submit a phasing plan that details when certain sections of the development will commence construction and when the sections will be complete.
(Case 346; Ord. 99-O-1199, Passed 3-22-99)

The building is 86 feet from the Old Troy Pike Right-of-Way. The building in this location is surrounded by other commercial uses. Pedestrian access should be provided from Old Troy Pike. The dumpster enclosure is located at the rear of the building.

Parking is as follows.
Specialty retail commercial, specialty food store, personal service and commercial center, shopping center: one space for every 200 square feet of gross floor area less than 2,000 square feet and one space for every 250 square feet of gross floor area greater than 2,000 square feet, except that commercial entertainment uses in commercial centers shall provide additional parking as required in subsection (c)(6) hereof.

By Code, forty-six spaces would be required. Thirty-six parking spaces are provided, with two being handicap accessible. The proposal calls for nine-foot width parking spaces, while the standard City code is ten feet wide spaces.

## Utilities:

The building will be serviced by connections to public water and sanitary sewer connections. Gas, telephone, and electric are also available at the site limits. Drainage is being collected through catch basins and storm sewer routing the flow to the existing retention pond to the east. There is no need for additional storm water detention. A lighting plan has been submitted and meets the standards of City Code 1181.21.

## Signage:

A signage package for the building has not been submitted at this time. Three monuments signs are proposed for the entire development. Sign A located at the new signalized intersection is planned to be sixteen feet eight inches tall and twelve feet wide. This will be a multi tenant sign. The next sign, Sign B, would be located at the entrance to the development on Taylorsville Road. This multi tenant sign is proposed to be fourteen feet two inches tall and nine feet wide. Finally, Sign C located at the intersection of Old Troy Pike and Taylorsville Road is proposed to be five feet tall and fourteen feet wide.

## Landscaping:

Landscaping is being provided around the perimeter of the building and site. The landscaping consists of deciduous trees, evergreen trees, shrubs, grasses, and perennials. Staff recommends adding Autumn Fantasy Maple trees along Old Troy Pike to match the spacing that was approved with the Discount Tire Store.

## Planning Commission Decision Record

WHEREAS, on October 1, 2021, the applicant, Broad Reach Retail Partners, LLC, requested approval of a Detailed Development Plan for property at the northeast corner of Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike (Zoning Case 21-32), and;

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2021 the Planning Commission did meet and fully discuss the details of the request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby approved of the request.
moved to approve the application submitted by Broad Reach Retail Partners, LLC, and the applicant's request for approval of a Detailed Development Plan for property at the northeast corner of Taylorsville Road and Old Troy Pike (Zoning Case 21-32) in accordance with the recommendation of Staff's Memorandum dated with the following conditions:

1. The approved Detailed Development Plan shall be the plans stamped received by the City of Huber Heights Planning Department on August 9, 2021, except as modified herein.
2. The applicant shall receive final Engineering approval prior to a Zoning Certificate being issued.
3. The applicant shall address all Fire Division comments prior to a Zoning Certificate being issued.
4. Ground signage is not approved with this application.
5. A pedestrian connection shall be added to Old Troy Pike.
6. Parking spaces shall be ten feet wide.
7. Landscaping along Old Troy Pike shall closely match that as approved for the Discount Tire Store.
8. All traffic improvements on Old Troy Pike and Taylorsville Road described in Zoning Case 21-25 shall be complete prior to the issuance of a final for any building within this development.
9. Prior to the issuance of a zoning permit, the applicant shall enter into a PUD Agreement with the City for the purpose, but not the sole purpose, of establishing the development obligations of the applicant and requiring the submittal of a performance bond, cash bond, or letter of credit to insure the installation of landscaping as approved. The bond or letter of credit shall be in an amount equal to the applicant's estimate of the cost of installation as approved by the Planning Department, and shall remain in effect until such time as the landscaping has been completed as determined by the Planning Department. Upon completion of the installation of landscaping as required by the approved landscape plan, the applicant may request release of the performance bond or letter of credit. Following an inspection by the Planning Department and upon determination by the department that the landscaping has been completed in accordance with the approved landscaping plan, $80 \%$ of the performance bond or letter of credit may be released. However, the performance bond or letter of credit will not be released until a maintenance bond lasting three growing seasons, or letter of credit equal to $20 \%$ of the initial performance bond or letter of credit to ensure maintenance of the landscaping, is submitted to and accepted by the Planning Department. The term of the maintenance bond shall be three growing seasons.

Seconded by $\qquad$ . Roll call showed: YEAS: NAYS: Motion to approve carried

Terry Walton, Chair
Planning Commission
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## Huber Heights Fire Division

## Inspections require two business days advance notice! (OAC)1301:7-7-09(A)(5)

| Occupancy Name: | Broad Reach Development - Pad 3 (Strip Center) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Occupancy Address: | Old Troy Pike and Taylorsville Road |


| Type of Permit: | HHP\&D Site Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |


| MCBR BLD: | Not Yet Assigned | HH P\&D: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MCBR MEC: |  | HHFD Plan: | $21-039 / 21-177 / 21-216$ |
| MCBR ELE: |  | HHFD Box: | 47 |
| REVIEWER: | Susong | DATE: | $10 / 21 / 2021$ |

## Fire Department Comments:

The Huber Heights City Code Part 15 Refers to Fire Code Requirements and has adopted by reference OFC and IFC Appendices
These comments are based only on the proposed site work, fire department access and basic fire protection concept at this time. A full plan review of the building systems, fire protection, egress and life safety will need to be conducted once the architectural plans have been submitted. The proposed development will need to meet the requirements of the Ohio Fire Code 2017, Ohio Building Code 2017 and the Huber Heights Codified Ordinance. Based on the drawings provided the following requirements need to be met.

- Please review requirements for fire service features in Ohio Fire Code (OFC), Rule 5.
- Fire apparatus access roads will need to comply with OFC 503 as well as the adopted appendices from the OFC (2017) and the Huber Heights Codified Ordinance (HHCO) Section 15.
- Verify that proposed trees do not obstruct fire department access and access roads. The minimum clear vertical height for fire apparatus access roads shall be 13 feet 6 inches, in accordance with Ohio Fire Code 503.2.1.
- The water supply for fire protection shall meet the requirements of OFC 507 and Appendix B. Calculations and findings will need to be determined and provided. Water Main and hydrant extension sizes and spacing will also need to be shown in detail. Fire flow requirements shall be determined in accordance with Ohio Fire Code, Appendix B, Fire Flow Requirements for

Buildings. Once the fire flow has been determined the minimum number of required fire hydrants can be confirmed. (Building Construction Classification and Square Footage will need to be determined first).

- Hydrants in multi-family and commercial districts shall be placed not more than 300 feet apart, measured on the main and not more than 400 feet from any opening in any building. All new fire hydrants and any existing fire hydrants that are in need of replacement, shall meet the Huber Heights hydrant standard for this district of two (2), five (5) inch diameter steamer nozzles. These steamer nozzles shall have a five (5) inch STORTZ quick connection and one steamer shall have a four (4) inch STORTZ connection approved by the Code Official. Huber Heights Codified Ordinance 1521.06(c). (Hydrants appear to meet the 300 feet distance requirement. Additional hydrants may be required to meet the below requirements.)
- Buildings provided with fire sprinkler systems will need to have a fire department connection located within 75 feet of a fire hydrant in accordance with Huber Heights Codified Ordinance 1521.01(e).
- Unobstructed access to fire hydrants shall be maintained at all times. The fire department shall not be deterred or hindered from gaining immediate access to fire protection equipment or fire hydrants. Ohio Fire Code 507.5.4. (See below.)
- A 3-foot ( 914 mm ) clear space shall be maintained around the circumference of fire hydrants except as otherwise required or approved. (No trees, bushes, plantings, etc.) Ohio Fire Code 507.5.5.

Please reference contact information below for questions or concerns with this document.

[^8]Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
FINAL PLAT

Information
Agenda Title
FINAL PLAT - The applicant, CAMPBELL BERLING HUBER HEIGHTS, LLC, is requesting approval of a Final Plat for a residential subdivision containing 43 residential lots, 3 open space lots and on a public street for property located south of Chambersburg Road, east of Belmont Place, west of Stoney Creek Drive, north of Aaron Lane (ZC 21-38).

Purpose and Background

Attachments
Staff Report
Decision Record
Drawings
Fire Assessment

# Memorandum <br> Staff Reportfor Meeting of October26, 2021 

| Huber Heights City Planning Commission |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| From: Scott P. Falkowski, | Assistant City Manager |
| Date: October 15, 2021 |  |
| Subject: ZC 21-38 (Final Plat | at Quail Ridge) |
| Application dated September 24, 2021 |  |
| Department of Planning and Zoning | City of Huber Heights |
| APPLICANT/OWNER: | Campbell Berling Huber Heights, LLC - Applicant/Owner |
| DEVELOPMENT NAME: | Quail Ridge |
| ADDRESS/LOCATION: | South of Chambersburg Road, east of Belmont Place, west of Stone Creek Drive, north of Aaron Lane |
| ONING/ACREAGE: | Planned Residential (PR) / 21.5519 |
| EXISTING LAND USE: | Residential |
| ZONING |  |
| ADJACENT LAND: |  |
| REQUEST: | The applicant requests approval of the Final Plat for Quail Ridge. |

## ORIGINAL APPROVAL:

APPLICABLE HHCC:
CORRESPONDENCE: In Favor - None Received In Opposition - None Received

## STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

## Overview

In June 2021, the Planning Commission approved the Detailed Development Plan for the Quail Ridge Subdivision. The current request is for the Final Plat of the Quail Ridge Subdivision in order for the lots to be sold and developed for single-family houses. The construction of the infrastructure and streets is ongoing.

## Lot Analysis

The forty-three (43) proposed single-family lots meet the minimum dimensional and area requirements of the 2021 Basic Development Plan conditions of approval. All required streets and easements, including utility, waterline and drainage easements, will also be platted with this application. The final plat as presented complies with the previously approved Detailed Development Plan.

## Urban Design

This is the only phase of the development which continues the growth on the south side of Chambersburg Road. The majority of lots have sixty (60) foot minimum widths with a twenty-five (25) foot minimum building setback. There are five (5) foot side yards and forty (40) foot rear yard minimums. There are four lots that are "flag" lots with 12.5 -foot frontages along Quail Ridge Drive. Those lots have driveways that go back to lots that are a minimum of eighty (80) feet wide. There is a ten-foot preservation easement along the western property line and a twenty-foot preservation easement along the southern property line.

HEIGATS
Come Grow With Us!

## Planning Commission Decision Record

WHEREAS, on September 24, 2021, the applicant, Campbell Berling Huber Heights, LLC, requested approval of the Final Plat for Quail Ridge (Zoning Case 21-38), and;

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2021 the Planning Commission did meet and fully discuss the details of the request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby approved the request.
$\qquad$ moved to approve the request by Campbell Berling Huber Heights, LLC, for approval of the Final Plat for Quail Ridge (Zoning Case 21-38) in accordance with the recommendation of Staff's Memorandum dated October 15, 2021, with the following conditions:

1. The Final Plat for Quail Ridge shall be the plans stamped received by the City of Huber Heights Planning Department on September 24, 2021.
2. Prior to the issuance of a permit for any of the lots within Quail Ridge, the applicant shall provide to the Planning Department a copy of the executed and recorded plat.
3. The preservation zones shall be designated on the Final Plat.
4. Prior to the release of the record plan for recording, the applicant shall enter into a Subdivider's Agreement with the City and provide a surety in an amount to be determined by the City in accordance with Section 1107.03 of the City of Huber Heights Subdivision Regulations.

Seconded by . Roll call showed: YEAS: NAYS: Motion to approve carried .
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Inspections require two business days advance notice! (OAC)1301:7-7-09(A)(5)

| Occupancy Name: | Quail Ridge - Revision 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Occupancy Address: | Chambersburg Road |


| Type of Permit: | HHP\&D Site Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |
| Additional Permits: | Choose an item. |


| MCBR BLD: | Not Yet Assigned | HH P\&D: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MCBR MEC: | Not Yet Assigned | HHFD Plan: | 21-019/21-081/21-146/21-219 |
| MCBR ELE: | Not Yet Assigned | HHFD Box: | 51 |
| REVIEWER: | Susong | DATE: | $10 / 20 / 2021$ |

## Fire Department Comments:

The Huber Heights City Code Part 15 Refers to Fire Code Requirements and has adopted by reference OFC and IFC Appendices
Approved per Review of Ohio Fire Code and adopted Life Safety Standards based on the following:

- One- or two-family residential projects having more than 30 dwelling units shall be equipped with two separate and approved fire apparatus access roads in accordance with Ohio Fire Code D106.1. A secondary access is shown connecting Hialeah Park and new road. This access shall comply with the following:
- Be a minimum 20 feet wide, access is currently shown as 12 feet. Ohio Fire Code 503.2.1 (Previous drawing had been provided showing 20 feet, current drawing shows 15 feet.)
- Driveways back to Lots $\mathbf{3 4 , 3 5 , 3 9}$ and 40 shall be a minimum of 20 feet wide. Current drawing shows 12 feet 6 inches each side.
- Constructed of materials capable of handling 75,000 pounds. Ohio Fire Code D102.1.
- Fire hydrants are shown on drawing at the end of each "flag" access drive. Additional hydrants on street shall be spaced so that any structure can be reached within 400 feet of hose. Hydrant spacing shall comply with HHCO 1521.06(b). (Fire hydrants are not shown on this drawing.)

| Code Reference | Standard Requirement Comment |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2017 OFC / IFC | Fire Hydrants: Locations and Distribution shall comply with the |
| Appendices B and C and | referenced code |


| (OAC)1301:7-7-05(G) and HH City Code 1521 | (75' Spacing from FDC to hydrant and minimum 40'spacing from hydrant to building exterior walls) <br> (See city code for more detail) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Specific Code Requirement Comments <br> (In Accordance with (OAC)1301:7-7-01 to 44 Including IFC Appendices as adopted by HH City Code Part 15) Go To: Ohio Fire Code Go To: HH City Code Go To: OAC/OBC |  |
| OFC 2017 | 503.2.1 Dimensions. <br> Fire apparatus access roads shall have an unobstructed width of not less than 20 feet ( 6096 mm ), exclusive of shoulders, except for approved security gates in accordance with paragraph $(\mathrm{C})(6)(503.6)$ of this rule, and an unobstructed vertical clearance of not less than 13 feet 6 inches ( 4115 mm ). <br> D102.1 Access and loading. Facilities, buildings or portions of buildings hereafter constructed shall be accessible to fire department apparatus by way of an approved fire apparatus access road with an asphalt, concrete or other approved driving surface capable of supporting the imposed load of fire apparatus weighing up to 75,000 pounds. <br> D107.1 One- or two-family dwelling residential developments. Developments of one- or twofamily dwellings where the number of dwellings units exceeds 30 shall be provided with two separate and approved fire apparatus access roads. <br> Exception: <br> 1) Where there are more than 30 dwelling units on a single public or private fire apparatus access road and all dwelling units are equipped throughout with an approved automatic sprinkler system in accordance with Section 903.3.1.1, 903.3.1.2 or 903.3.1.3, access from two directions shall not be required. <br> 2) The number of dwelling units on a single fire apparatus access road shall not be increased unless fire apparatus access roads will connect with future development, as determined by the fire code official. |
| Huber Heights Codified Ordinance | 1521.06 FIRE HYDRANT PLACEMENT AND STANDARDS <br> (b) Hydrants in single-family residential districts shall be placed not more than 500 feet apart, measured on the main, and no more than 400 feet from any opening in any building. All new fire hydrants and any existing fire hydrants that are in need of replacement, shall meet the Huber Heights hydrant standard for this district of two, two and one-half inch discharge nozzles and one, five inch steamer discharge nozzle. The five inch steamer discharge nozzle shall have a five inch STORTZ quick connection. The two, two and one-half inch discharge nozzles shall have Dayton threads approved by the Code Official. <br> (1)The Fire Code Official shall make a determination if a double STORTZ hydrant is needed in residential areas with single-family homes greater than 3,600 square feet. When determining the need, the Code Official shall reference the capacity of the hydrant and fire flow requirements listed in the 2006 International Fire Code appendix B. Reductions shall be given for automatic sprinkler systems. <br> (d) The Fire Code Official shall make a determination on the location and distribution of fire hydrants. The fire official shall make this determination by referencing the location and distribution listed in the 2006 International Fire Code appendix C. (Ord. 2010-0-1845. Passed 9-13-10.) |

Please reference contact information below for questions or concerns with this document.

> Plans reviewed by the Huber Heights Fire Division are reviewed with the intent they comply in ALL respects to this code, as prescribed in SECTION (D) 104.1 of the 2017 Ohio Fire Code. Any omissions or errors on the plans or in this review do not relieve the applicant of complying with $A L L$ applicable requirements of this code. These plans have been reviewed for compliance with the Ohio Fire Code adopted by this jurisdiction. There may be other regulations applicable under local, state, or federal statues and codes, which this department has no authority to enforce and therefore have not been evaluated as part of this plan review.

Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
MINOR CHANGE

Information
Agenda Title
MINOR CHANGE - The applicant, SIGNS UNLIMITED, is requesting approval of a Minor Change for signage at property located at 7536 Brandt Pike, Take 5 Oil Change (ZC 21-41).

Purpose and Background

|  | Attachments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staff Report |  |
| Decision Record |  |
| Drawings |  |

# Memorandum <br> Staff Report for Meeting of October26, 2021 

| To: | Huber Heights City Planning Commission |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Scott Falkowski, Interim City Manager |
| Date: | October 11, 2021 |
| Subject: | ZC 21-41 (Minor Change Signage Take 5 Oil Change) |

Application dated September 24, 2021

## City of Huber Heights

APPLICANT/OWNER: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Signs Unlimited - Applicant } \\ & \text { Driven Brands - Owner }\end{aligned}$
DEVELOPMENT NAME: Take 5 Oil Change
ADDRESS/LOCATION: 7536 Brandt Pike

ZONING/ACREAGE:

EXISTING LAND USE:
ZONING
ADJACENT LAND:

REQUEST:

ORIGINAL APPROVAL:
APPLICABLE HHCC:
CORRESPONDENCE: In Favor - None Received
In Opposition - None Received

## STATEMENT OF FACT:

The applicant requests approval of a Minor Change for signage for Take 5 Oil Change at 7536 Brandt Pike.

## STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

## Overview

The applicant wishes to install a ground sign at the north side of the entrance drive. The request is to locate the sign fifteen (15) feet from the Brandt Pike right of way line. As described below the standard code for ground signs is for the sign to be located a minimum of fifteen feet from the right of way. The sign base would be thirteen (13) feet, one (1) inch wide, with an overall height of six (6) feet. The sign face itself is twelve (12) feet wide by four (4) feet tall. The sign area would by forty-eight (48) square feet per side, which is larger than the standard city code of seventy-five (75) square feet total. Landscaping shall be placed around the sign with a minimum square footage of the area of the sign face.

Wall signage is proposed on three faces. On the southern face, there are three components to the signage with a total of 67.4 square feet. On the western face, there are three components to the signage with a total of 73.7 square feet. On the eastern face, there is just informational messaging. Also, there are directional signs throughout the facility as shown on the submitted drawings.

The applicable standard City Code for signage is as follows:

### 1189.05 Types of signs.

(b) Ground Signs.
(1) Setback. Unless otherwise stated in this chapter, any temporary or permanent ground sign or any part thereof shall be set back a minimum distance of 15 feet from any right-of-way.
(2) Landscaping requirement. A permanent ground sign shall require a single continuous landscaped area to be maintained beneath the sign in accordance with the following standards:
A. The minimum landscaped area shall be equal to the area of the sign face.
B. The landscaped area shall include all points where sign structural supports are attached to the ground.
C. Where the required landscaped area is adjacent to a paved surface accessible to vehicular traffic, a raised barrier curb suitable to prevent the encroachment of vehicles shall be required. The minimum distance between the face of any required curb and any part of the sign shall be 30 inches.
D. The landscaped area shall include live plantings aesthetically located and maintained. The use of concrete, asphalt or any other paved surface inside the required landscaped area beneath the sign shall be prohibited.
(c) Wall Signs.
(1) Single wall signs shall not exceed 75 square feet total area.
(2) On structures with more than one visible side, a maximum of four wall signs could be allowed, providing that the total square footage of all the signs does not exceed 150 square feet.
(i) Planned Unit Development Sign Programs. Signs which have been approved as part of a planned unit development sign program may vary from the requirements stated within this chapter. Variations permitted through a PUD sign program may include but are not limited to the following: total number of signs permitted, sign size, sign setback, sign height and percentage of sign area devoted to changeable copy or electronic copy. Such deviations are recognized to be primarily for safety or unique parcel configuration circumstances and are not intended to circumvent the intent of the sign code.

## Planning Commission Decision Record

WHEREAS, on September 24, 2021, the applicant, Signs Unlimited, requested approval of a Minor Change for Signage for Take 5 Oil Change (Zoning Case 21-41), and;

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2021 the Planning Commission did meet and fully discuss the details of the request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby approved the request.
$\qquad$ moved to approve the application by the applicant, Signs Unlimited, for approval of a Minor Change for Signage for Take 5 Oil Change (Zoning Case 21-41) in accordance with the recommendation of Staff's Memorandum dated October 11, 2021, with the following conditions:

1. All previous PUD regulations shall remain in full force and effect unless specifically modified herein.
2. The approved plan shall be that stamped as received by the Planning Department on September 24, 2021, except as modified herein.
3. The ground sign shall be limited to a maximum of 75 square feet total.
4. Landscaping shall be placed around the ground sign with a minimum area equal to the area of the sign face.

Seconded by $\qquad$ . Roll call showed: YEAS: $\qquad$ .NAYS: $\qquad$ . Motion to approve carried $\qquad$ .


## IMPOSED IMAGERY



## OIL CHANGE

7536 Brandł Pike
Huber Heights, OH 45424

## INITAL LAYOUT:

REVISIONS:

IMPOSED IMAGERY


SPECIFICATIONS NON ILLUMINATED PVC LETTERS W/ STUDS

## Quantity:

Owner/Landlord Approval


Owner/Landlord Approval $\qquad$

## INITAL LAYOUT:

REVISIONS:


SIDE 1


SIDE 2
SIDE $2 \quad$ QTY:2


ENTER

Thank You

HONK! If You Received Great Service

Owner/Landlord Approval $\qquad$ SIGNS UNLIMITED 6801 Mount Hermon Church Rd, Ste C, Durham, NC 27705 • (P) 919-552-8689 - (F) 919-557-1322

INITAL LAYOUT:
REVIIIONS:

PROOF


OU 12 Each
INRSTP \& Each


DETALL



SPECIFICATIONS DOUBLE-SIDED

7536 Brandt Pike
Huber Heights, OH 45424


Planning Commission
Meeting Date: 10/26/2021
MINUTES

Information
Agenda Title
Approval of Minutes

Purpose and Background

Attachments
No file(s) attached.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cross reference(s)—PUD General Provisions—SeeCh. 1171; Planned Residential District—SeeCh. 1172; Planned Office District—See Ch. 1173; Planned Public and Private Buildings and Grounds District—See Ch. 1174; Planned Commercial District—SeeCh. 1176.

[^1]:    Plans reviewed by the Huber Heights Fire Division are reviewed with the intent they comply in ALL respects to this code, as prescribed in SECTION (D) $\mathbf{1 0 4 . 1}$ of the $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ Ohio Fire Code. Any omissions or errors on the plans or in this review do not relieve the applicant of complying with ALL applicable requirements of this code. These plans have been reviewed for compliance with the Ohio Fire Code adopted by this jurisdiction. There may be other regulations applicable under local, state, or federal statues and codes, which this department has no authority to enforce and therefore have not been evaluated as part of this plan review.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cross reference(s)—General provisions—SeeCh. 1171.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cross reference(s)—General provisions—SeeCh. 1171.

[^4]:    Plans reviewed by the Huber Heights Fire Division are reviewed with the intent they comply in ALL respects to this code, as prescribed in SECTION (D) $\mathbf{1 0 4 . 1}$ of the $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ Ohio Fire Code. Any omissions or errors on the plans or in this review do not relieve the applicant of complying with ALL applicable requirements of this code. These plans have been reviewed for compliance with the Ohio Fire Code adopted by this jurisdiction. There may be other regulations applicable under local, state, or federal statues and codes, which this department has no authority to enforce and therefore have not been evaluated as part of this plan review.

[^5]:    Plans reviewed by the Huber Heights Fire Division are reviewed with the intent they comply in ALL respects to this code, as prescribed in SECTION (D) $\mathbf{1 0 4 . 1}$ of the $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ Ohio Fire Code. Any omissions or errors on the plans or in this review do not relieve the applicant of complying with ALL applicable requirements of this code. These plans have been reviewed for compliance with the Ohio Fire Code adopted by this jurisdiction. There may be other regulations applicable under local, state, or federal statues and codes, which this department has no authority to enforce and therefore have not been evaluated as part of this plan review.

[^6]:    Plans reviewed by the Huber Heights Fire Division are reviewed with the intent they comply in ALL respects to this code, as prescribed in SECTION (D) 104.1 of the 2017 Ohio Fire Code. Any omissions or errors on the plans or in this review do not relieve the applicant of complying with ALL applicable requirements of this code. These plans have been reviewed for compliance with the Ohio Fire Code adopted by this jurisdiction. There may be other regulations applicable under local, state, or federal statues and codes, which this department has no authority to enforce and therefore have not been evaluated as part of this plan review.

[^7]:    Plans reviewed by the Huber Heights Fire Division are reviewed with the intent they comply in ALL respects to this code, as prescribed in SECTION (D) $\mathbf{1 0 4 . 1}$ of the $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ Ohio Fire Code. Any omissions or errors on the plans or in this review do not relieve the applicant of complying with ALL applicable requirements of this code. These plans have been reviewed for compliance with the Ohio Fire Code adopted by this jurisdiction. There may be other regulations applicable under local, state, or federal statues and codes, which this department has no authority to enforce and therefore have not been evaluated as part of this plan review.

[^8]:    Plans reviewed by the Huber Heights Fire Division are reviewed with the intent they comply in ALL respects to this code, as prescribed in SECTION (D) 104.1 of the 2017 Ohio Fire Code. Any omissions or errors on the plans or in this review do not relieve the applicant of complying with ALL applicable requirements of this code. These plans have been reviewed for compliance with the Ohio Fire Code adopted by this jurisdiction. There may be other regulations applicable under local, state, or federal statues and codes, which this department has no authority to enforce and therefore have not been evaluated as part of this plan review.

