

Laurie Swindell

From: Joe Foust <joefoust2@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2020 1:01 PM
To: CC (City Clerk's Office)
Subject: City Council Agenda Aug 17, 2020 Conccent Calendar Item 4 -- Review Approval and Adoption Governing Body Public Meeting Policy

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear City Council Persons,

I believe there is a serious error in the Staff Report for the proposed new public meeting policy, Item 4 on the Aug 17th 2020 Council Agenda. The staff report indicates a "Redline" copy of the current Public Meeting Policy is included as Attachment 1 and the proposed new policy is included as Attachment 2. In reality both attachments 1 and 2 are the new policy, no redline of the current policy is attached. This is significant because after reading both attachments I thought there was no change in the policy itself only a change in its name from Council/Agency" to "Governing Body." This is, in fact, not the case at all. There is a major change of limiting public testimony to 3 minutes per person whereas no such time limit currently exists in the Council/Agency Policy. I do not support the proposed time limit on public testimony at public hearings.

Joe Foust 601 Sandlewood Ave. La Habra

Laurie Swindell

From: Joe Foust <joefoust2@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2020 1:37 PM
To: CC (City Clerk's Office)
Subject: Scheduling of Public Hearings During Pandemic- City Coucil Aug 17, 2020 Agena--
Public Comments

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Council Persons:

I am seriously concerned about the possibility that public hearings will be held on highly sensitive issues during the current pandemic. California "Stay-At-Home" guidelines preclude large public gatherings and I am observing this guide completely. I realize Gov. Neusom made some adjustments to the State's Brown Act etc. in this regard. Nevertheless there remains widespread differences of opinion whether an all electronic meeting (such as Zoom) can provide for adequate public involvement, particularly on a issue as sensitive as Rancho La Habra in our community. This proposal has filled the council chambers before and except for the pandemic is expected to do so again. No public hearings on any highly sensitive matters should be attempted before the Stay At Home restriction is lifted or at least reduced to a manageable level. I am preparing my response to possible Rancho La Habra public hearings and wish to address the Planning Commission and City Council face to face in a conventional public meeting environment to ensure my point comes across. However under the current Stay-At-Home condition I will not feel safe in attending any such meeting and Zoom does not provide and adequate alternative.

Joe Foust 601 Sandlewood Ave. La Habra

Laurie Swindell

From: Christine Cook <cc@cooktr.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 1:10 PM
To: CC (City Clerk's Office)
Subject: City Council Public Comments for 8/17/20

Please read during Public Comments:

Hello all La Habra City council members.

1-

I would like to state that Consent Calendar item 4 was sneaky and speaks volumes about your opinions in allowing your La Habra residents to speak. It's obvious the Rancho La Habra Debate will be soon and you are attempting to silence La Habra residents. Please allow people to speak during Public Hearings.

2-

As a La Habra business owner, I'm offended by the Letter I received from Rancho La Habra Homes last week. The letter is not truthful. Many people think the Westridge golf club rezoning and closure is a done deal while it is NOT. Please listen to the La Habra residents about this issue and allow the rezoning to be voted on by citizens during the elections.

Keep healthy and Cool.
Thank you

Christine Cook
2001 S Mangrum Ct
