

Councilmember Look noted if traffic drastically increased, that would cause a problem on Variolite also.

Councilmember Dehen arrived at 5:49 p.m.

Councilmember Elvig stated his main concern was the decrease in property values for the homeowners. He asked if the City wanted to ghost plat this at this time.

Councilmember Wise recommended if the City was going to ghost plat this, to shift the road to the north for minimal impact to the residents.

Councilmember Look stated he was in favor of striking this, as the City did not know if the road would ever be put in and the impact from the Peterson development. He indicated he did not like lines on maps. He did not believe this served a purpose at this time. City Engineer Himmer responded if the line was taken off the map, any of the properties could propose to subdivide.

Councilmember Elvig requested staff look at an alternative route that would go to the north that would have less impact on the residents or property value and encourage the opportunity to look at that as a connection.

Councilmember Dehen stated the reality was there was needed transportation and people wanted corridors for transportation. He noted eventually the corridors had to be established and plan for the future as to where roads would go, even if the decision were not popular.

Mayor Ramsey agreed the City should move the line slightly north. City Engineer Himmer noted not only property impacts needed to be considered, but also environmental impacts had to be considered which might make this proposal too expensive to complete.

It was Council's consensus to move the road to north of the tree line.

2) Consider Extension of Variolite Street from Alpine Drive to Bunker Lake Boulevard

City Engineer Himmer presented the staff report.

Mayor Ramsey stated this workshop would not allow time for public comments, but he was in favor of holding another open house, if necessary.

Councilmember Wise stated development had a way of finding the best use for the properties that could be developed and when lines were put on a map it was a "taking" and he believed this was an infringement on property rights. He stated he was in favor of taking this completely out of the plan. He agreed the cost was excessive also.

Councilmember Elvig also believed there had to be some plan there, but he recommended this not be moved forward.

Councilmember Jeffrey agreed that putting lines through property would decrease property values, but if the City was not ready to do this project, then the line should be taken off the map, even though some day the line might be put back.

Councilmember Look stated if the tree farm were to be developed at some point, people would want the City to purchase it because it was a City resource. He indicated this was a road of connectivity and it had a specific purpose. He indicated even if all of the property owners were willing to sell and there was a funding source, he still would not support it. He indicated the City had substantial roads that had to be addressed first. He believed this was an insurmountable task the City was trying to accomplish and he did not want to see any new roads at this point.

Councilmember McGlone stated the City was not doing anything that was not common to City practice. He stated he did not want roads taken off of maps. He stated he wanted to plan ahead.

Councilmember Wise stated by pulling this off of the map was planning for the future by having a development that came in to be different than what the City was looking at today.

Councilmember Dehen stated it was important to get the roads in and wherever a road is put in, it would affect people. He stated he had no interest in proceeding with this road, but to pull it back now would create uncertainty for the future.

It was Council's consensus that the original line on the map in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan should be moved to align with the right of way as much as possible and there would be no houses acquired.

3) Update on City Project #09-25, Dysprosium Street Reconstruction

Moved to the regular Council meeting.

4) Discuss Agenda Process Software

Moved to the regular Council meeting.

MAYOR, COUNCIL AND STAFF INPUT

None

ADJOURNMENT

The Work Session of the City Council was adjourned at 6:56 p.m.