

Self Scoring Worksheet

| | | | Community | | | Ramsey, MN 01 05 2012 | | | |
|----------------------------|--|----------------|------------|----------|----------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| SELECTION PRIORITIES | | Max Points | Potential | Claimed | Awarded | Potential points for 2012 HTC | | | |
| | | | | | | NOTES | | | |
| 1 | Large Family | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | At least 75% of the units must contain 2 or more BRs | | | |
| 2 | Single Room Occupancy | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 3 | Strategically Targeted Resources | 10 - 12 points | 10 | 0 | 0 | New cost that uses exist s/w lines without substantial extension | | | |
| 4 | Special Populations | 3 - 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 5 | Economic Integration | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Census Tract | 50228 | | |
| 6 | Project Location | 5 - 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 7 | Cost Avoidance / Cost Reduction | 1 - 7 points | | | | | | | |
| | Donation or waiver of project specific fees | | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | Donation or waiver of project specific assmts / infrastructure costs | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | Density Bonus | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | Flexibility in Zoning Code requirements | | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | WAC / SAC reductions | | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | Fast Tracking permitting and approval | | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | Historic Tax credits | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 8 | Local Contributions | 2 - 10 points | | | | % | Contribution | Est. TDC | |
| | 2.1 - 5 % = 2 points / 158,550 | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2.15% | \$ 200,864 | \$ 9,349,375 | |
| | 5.1 - 10 % = 4 points / 385,050 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | Calculate value by using NPV discounted by the AFR of 2.63. | | | |
| | 10.1 - 15 % = 4 points / 762,550 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 9 | Readiness to Proceed | 0 - 20 | | | | % of Funding secured, awarded or committed | % Committed | Committed | TDC |
| | If no RFP funding | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | | 0.00% | \$ - | \$ 9,349,375 |
| | 50% or funding secured, awarded or committed | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 10 % = | 934937.5 | |
| | 40 - 49.9% or funding secured, awarded or committed | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | 30 - 39.9% or funding secured, awarded or committed | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | 20 - 29.9% or funding secured, awarded or committed | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | 10 - 19.9% or funding secured, awarded or committed | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | NON - FINANCIAL COMPONENTS | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | Land Use and Zoning Approvals | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | Title Work and survey | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | Verification that all infrastructure is complete | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | Draft Building Permits | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 10 | Intermediary Costs | 1 - 6 points | | | | | | | |
| | 0-15% | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19.4% | \$ 1,810,400 | \$ 9,349,375 | |
| | 15.1- 20% | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | Usually 3 Points | | | |
| | 20.1 - 25% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | 25.1 - 30% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 11 | Unacceptable Practices | -10 to - 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 12 | Eventual tenant ownership | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 13 | Underserved Populations | 3 - 10 points | 10 | 0 | 0 | Marketing efforts and past performance | | | |
| 14 | Temporary Priority | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | Foreclosure Recovery Efforts | | | |
| 15 | Preservation of Existing HTC units | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 16 | Permanent Housing for LT Homelessness | 5 - 105 points | 105 | 0 | 0 | Points awarded until set aside is met (25% of total) | | | |
| 17 | High Speed Internet Access | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Some changed criteria | | | |
| 18 | Transit-Orientated developments | 1 - 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 19 | Non-Smoking Policy | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Non-smoking Policy | | | |
| PREFERENCE POINTS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Serves lowest income tenants | 5 to 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | Option 1 & Option 3 | | | |
| 2 | Preservation of Federal Assisted Hsg.. | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 3 | QCT / Community Revitalization | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 4 | Rental Assistance | 4 - 21 | 4 | 0 | 0 | PBA section. You cannot claim pts. here and in Sect 2 of Preference Pts.) | | | |
| TOTAL Points | | | 194 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Points for 2012 HTC | | | | | 194 | Total Points | | | |
| | Greater Minnesota (For-Profit) | 204-95 | | | -100 | Deduction if set aside is met. | | | |
| | Greater Minnesota (Non-Profit) | 96 | | | 94 | Potential Awarded Points | | | |
| | Rural Development / Small Projects | 93 | | | | | | | |
| | Metro (For-Profit) | 92-76 | | | | Metro (For Profit) Set aside | | | |
| | Metro (Non-Profit) | 205-204 | | | | | | | |