

**PARK AND RECREATION COMMISSION
CITY OF RAMSEY
ANOKA COUNTY
STATE OF MINNESOTA**

The Ramsey Park and Recreation Commission conducted a regular meeting on May 11, 2017 at MnDOT Wayside Rest – 9220 Highway 10 NW.

Commission Members Present: Acting Chair Brandon Sis
 Commissioner Russell Bayer
 Commissioner Andrew Fyten
 Commissioner Jennifer Leistico
 Commissioner Charles Tchuinkwa (arrived at 6:40 p.m.)
 Commissioner Jon Trappen

Commission Members Absent: Chair Shane Bennett (excused)

Also Present: Parks & Assistant Public Works Superintendent Mark Riverblood
 Steve Bona, Capstone Homes

1. CALL TO ORDER

Acting Chair Sis called the Park and Recreation Commission meeting to order at 6:32 p.m.

2. CITIZEN INPUT

Mr. Nathan Barton, a Ramsey resident, stated he came to the meeting regarding the landfill on Alpine. He asked about the feasibility of turning it into a park. He has seen a similar park in Virginia Beach. He was invited by Mr. Riverblood to attend the meeting. He looked at a report from the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) which rates all similar facilities with risk scores. There are 112 landfills on the most recent list. The overall risk score of this area is low compared to others.

Mr. Riverblood noted in the early years, before the landfill was capped a sliding or ski hill was considered. Thereafter, the MPCA took over management of the area as a Superfund Site. There was significant ground water contamination, there is three feet of sand above the membrane, and gases continue to be emitted at the site. MPCA is very concerned about liability and possibly too concerned about liability. It is however, worth pursuing for recreational or passive usage, perhaps even if for a massive wildflower planting. The site has been settling and it may be 50-100 years before the MPCA puts the site into some productive use. He suggested Mr. Barton continue to research the potential for public use and report back to the Commission—Mr. Barton agreed.

3. APPROVE AGENDA

Motion by Commissioner Fyten, seconded by Commissioner Trappen, to approve the Park and Recreation Commission meeting agenda as presented.

Motion carried. Voting Yes: Acting Chair Sis, Commissioners Fyten, Trappen, Bayer, and Leistico. Voting No: None. Absent: Chair Bennett and Commissioner Tchuinkwa.

Commissioner Tchuinkwa arrived at the meeting at 6:40 p.m.

4. APPROVE MINUTES

4.01: Approve Park and Recreation Commission Meeting Minutes

Motion by Commissioner Trappen, seconded by Commissioner Bayer, to approve the following Park and Recreation Commission Regular Meeting Minutes:

- 1) Park and Recreation Commission Regular Meeting Minutes dated April 13, 2017.

Motion carried. Voting Yes: Acting Chair Sis, Commissioners Trappen, Bayer, Fyten, Leistico and Tchuinkwa. Voting No: None. Absent: Chair Bennett.

5. COMMISSION BUSINESS

5.01 Park Dedication Recommendation for Riverstone – Capstone’s Proposed Subdivision

Mr. Riverblood reviewed that staff continues to support Park Dedication for the trail corridor which shall become a part of the future Lake Itasca Greenway between the Lake Itasca Community Park and The COR. The calculations are shown in the table provided by Capstone (the numbers have not been verified as yet). The first item, *Land shall not be identified as a cash amount for this 14’ foot trail easement. If Capstone wishes Park Dedication credit, the acreage shall be credited, and the associated cash balance will be adjusted by the percentage of land the trail easement fulfils.

The options provided are:

1. Outlot C – Not Credit Eligible – Matches Existing Parks and Trail Plan and Park Development Policy – Staff can continue to support this alternative, as it is believed that Capstone will develop the mini-park as proposed without Park Dedication credit, thus serving their development, and accordingly not impact the City’s General Fund budget operational cost for park maintenance. However, if the mini-park is owned by the homeowner’s association, the public may be excluded. There can be a tangible benefit to a “recreational node” alongside the future Lake Itasca Greenway.
 - a. \$0 credit for Outlot C
(Cash Park Dedication credit to be applied for development of the Lake Itasca Park)
 - b. O & M impacts begin 2+ years (for Lake Itasca park)
 - c. Lake Itasca Park (development initiated in 1-5 years)

- a. Parking lot (and potential one-leg of round-a-bout)
- b. Canoe/Kayak kiosk
- c. Pier with seating at lake (existing CIP project)
- d. Playground Equipment
- e. Pavilion
- f. Athletic Fields (future)
- g. Other
- d. Splash Pad and Park Improvements in The COR (development 2-5 years)
- e. Lake Itasca Greenway Improvements – east of Puma Street (2-5 years)

Option 2 – Outlot C or Park C – Primarily Credit Eligible – May 11, 2017 Staff Recommendation *provided* the mini-park development “unique” (nature-based play) and ancillary to greenway trail (either contiguous, or effective and safe pedestrian crossing of adjoining street). No land credit for the mini-park area is calculated under this scenario, as the developer is requesting the mini-park and deviation from the Park Plan and Park Development Policy. The land dedication for the mini-park (approximately one acre) is thoroughly consistent with the requirements of Planned Unit Development (PUD), which is the land use mechanism under which Riverstone is to be developed.

Park C or Outlot C	Park Ded.
Nature Based Playground	\$100,000
Landscaping, irrigation (with H ² O feature for play area)	\$100,000
Pavilion	\$50,000
Parking (parallel to street)	\$4,620
Pedestrian safety treatments (50% Park Ded. 50% developer)	\$5,000
Benches(4)	\$7,680
Drinking fountain and mister w pet attachment	\$8,000
Water and sewer services/stubs (developers expense)	
Sidewalk(s) along Park C (developers expense)	
Total not-to-exceed Park Dedication credit amount	\$275,300

- a. Max Credit - \$275,300 (if other improvements are desired by the development e.g. monument/sign, these shall be at the developer’s expense).

The Development Agreement (DA) shall specify that the park shall be developed as a Stage I improvement and be substantially complete by August 1, 2018. Additionally, all improvements

and specifications shall be pre-approved by the City, with the Developer bearing all costs of bidding, administration, permitting (if any) as well as construction administration. The park construction agreement (DA) will be a reimbursable project, with the developer paying all cash Park Dedication owed, with the City issuing associated payment for each improvement as they are completed and accepted – for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$275,300,

- b. O & M impacts: Development Agreement specifies homeowner's association provides all mowing services, fertilization and fall or spring leaf pick-up. City forces provide all other maintenance.
- c. Lake Itasca Park (development initiated in 3-10 years)
 - i. Parking lot (and potential one-leg of round-a-bout)
 - ii. Canoe/Kayak kiosk
 - iii. Pier with seating at lake (existing CIP project)

Staff is requesting a recommendation from the Commission either this evening or on June 9, 2017. The Community Park should provide the neighborhood park functions as well as the community park functions. The mini park proposed at Outlot C will be of primary benefit to the Riverstone residents. Staff is recommending Option 2. Mr. Riverblood reviewed the breakdown of the \$275,300 not-to-exceed Park Dedication credit amount.

The differences between the original proposal and Option 2 were reviewed. The lots would be 65 feet wide rather than 80 feet wide.

Mr. Steve Bona reviewed the drawing and provided background information on Capstone Homes, and the proposed Riverstone subdivision. The planning process began many months ago. They are trying to get final plat approval in June-July timeframe and start construction in July. The park would be part of Phase I of the project. The property is zoned R-2 which is meant for the smaller lots. A consultant was used to help plan the development as far as lot sizes, home sizes, pricing, etc. There will be a homeowner's association. These are not standard single family lots. There will be three different project types. Some of the lots are 50 feet wide. Mr. Bona feels there is a need for a park close to the homes. When completed there will be 300 units. The neighborhood was designed with the park in mind. The original proposal made to the City included three greenspace areas/parks. One greenspace was removed from the proposal on the south side of the property. The park will cost \$483,000 to build and the developer was requesting park credit for \$300,000. The developer will provide the improvements to the park area. The pad will cost \$146,000. The credit for the trail was \$182,000. \$867,000 in total improvement costs and the developer is requesting \$483,000 in park credits.

Acting Chair Sis asked what benefit it is for the City to be involved in the mini park (Outlot C).

Mr. Bona stated the trail and mini park cannot be constructed without the credits for Park Dedication from the City. The developer is paying over \$1M to bring in the infrastructure into the property.

Mr. Riverblood asked Mr. Bona to respond to Chair Sis, and say what the benefit of the private open space/park is to the City. Also, he clarified that there is no relationship between bringing in sewer and water, to the Park Dedication issue.

Mr. Bona stated the park cannot be constructed without the street. Residents from outside the neighborhood will be using the park especially when the trail is completed and Lake Itasca is in place.

Acting Chair Sis stated he thought the trail to Lake Itasca would be more of a direct route, similar to what was in the original plan.

Mr. Riverblood stated with regard to the development the trail it is somewhat out of alignment. This was a concession made. Mr. Riverblood disagrees when the developer says there cannot be a park without a street or utilities—the street and utilities will still be built without respect what occurs with Outlot C.

Commissioner Trappen is concerned with the HOA.

Mr. Bona stated the park would be City property and maintained by the HOA.

Commissioner Trappen does not believe people outside the community will go into the park if they do not live in the area.

Mr. Bona stated the park is one acre and to maintain it is not substantial and will be maintained by the HOA.

Acting Chair Sis stated he would be in favor of Option 1 and the City take the Park Dedication and use it for larger parks.

Mr. Bona stated the park cannot be built if no credits are received.

Mr. Riverblood pointed out there was more than one precedent prior that constructed private parks without Park Dedication credit, and clarified that the developer's statement that 'no development this size has ever been in Ramsey' is not entirely accurate. The 1,200 acre North Fork PUD has many green space areas and a fully developed private park and maintains it.

Commissioner Leistico stated a compromise would be to have the park along the edge of the plat [along Puma] and this plan does not show a direct path to the park. It is an indirect path and not an inviting thoroughfare, and no access for wildlife.

Mr. Riverblood stated City Staff supports either Option presented. Another sketch plan was received for 151 units near Lake Itasca, on the east side of Puma Street, and when platted would provide even more justification for aligning the Capstone Park Dedication monies towards the Community Park.

Commissioner Trappen believes there is some middle ground.

Mr. Riverblood explained Option 1 and noted that option does not credit Park Dedication for what the developer is proposing for Outlot C. This matches existing Parks and Trail Plan and Park Development Policy. Option 2 would allow for a \$275,300 Park Dedication credit.

Mr. Bona stated one caveat with respect to Option 2 would be the “nature based” playground—if it costed more than \$100,000, they would insist on more credit. They do not know the cost for a nature based playground. Mr. Riverblood clarified Option 2 includes the items listed as a not-to-exceed amount with no caveat.

Commissioner Trappen asked if the plan is set in stone.

Mr. Bona said it is for the most part but if agreement cannot be met, the park will be removed and single-family lots being in its place.

Commissioner Trappen asked if the ponds could be relocated and the path be relocated which would make more sense. He believes the children need a place to play.

Acting Chair Sis suggested an Option 3 where the developer would come back with a revised proposal creating more of a corridor passageway, and shifting the street to allow the mini park to be adjacent to the trail.

Mr. Bona asked how wide the trail needs to be and Acting Chair Sis stated a more direct route would appear wider.

Mr. Riverblood stated 35 feet of greenspace along the trail would be appropriate for this location.

Mr. Bona stated there is a lot of information on the small piece of paper containing the drawing—the trail corridor is wider than it appears.

Commissioner Trappen would like to see a greenway plan more realistic as to what was seen originally.

Mr. Bona stated the pond can be reviewed. The pond will be quite deep because material will need to be removed to fill in the property. The wetlands will not be affected. Mr. Bona stated if the pond was made smaller, material would need to be brought onto the site and that is expensive. If basements are to be on the homes the site needs to be raised.

Commissioner Tchuinkwa asked what is wrong with Option 1.

Mr. Bona stated the developer can't give Park Dedication funds AND build a park on the site.

Acting Chair Sis asked if the park could be just greenspace.

Mr. Bona stated the park is equivalent to 4 home lots. The owner of the company will build 4 homes if there is not the park.

Commissioner Trappen stated if the plan could be altered pursuant to the Commission's suggestions he would be supportive.

Mr. Riverblood stated a special meeting could be held to review, or the June 9 regular Commission meeting precedes the Preliminary Plat approval scheduled for June 13 with the City Council.

Acting Chair Sis stated if an Option 3 is not acceptable he would be in favor of Option 1. The consensus of the Commission was for an Option 3, pursuant to the discussion and suggestions of the Commissioners. Mr. Riverblood stated that, when the City receives Option 3, it will be sent out to the Commission and a special meeting could be scheduled.

Motion by Commissioner Fyten, seconded by Commissioner Trappen, to table consideration to look at an Option 3, including a modified route of the trail as well as the pond features, to locate the mini park and trail to be more inviting to those outside the immediate area and providing some Park Dedication credits as proposed in Option 2.

Motion carried. Voting Yes: Acting Chair Sis, Commissioners Fyten, Trappen, Bayer, Lestico, and Tchuinkwa. Voting No: None. Absent: Chair Bennett.

Mr. Bona noted this is to be an affordable development for the residents and the City's help is needed to make this happen.

5.02: Review Goals and Strategies within Ramsey's Chapter 10 of the Comprehensive Plan

Parks & Assistant Public Works Superintendent Riverblood reviewed the purpose of this case is to review the Goals and Strategies within the City's existing Comprehensive Plan, relating to parks, trails and open space. The review will be helpful in the context of the update to the Comprehensive Plan (underway now) and also as the Park and Recreation Commission begins the Master Park Plan endeavor.

Mr. Riverblood suggested this be tabled to the June meeting when Chair Bennett will be present

Motion by Commissioner Lestico, seconded by Commissioner Fyten, to table review of goals and strategies within Ramsey's Chapter 10 of the Comprehensive Plan until the June Commission Meeting.

Motion carried. Voting Yes: Acting Chair Sis, Commissioners Lestico, Fyten, Bayer, Tchuinkwa and Trappen. Voting No: None. Absent: Chair Bennett.

6. COMMISSION/STAFF INPUT

Parks & Assistant Public Works Superintendent Riverblood provided updates on the following:

Update on the Comprehensive Plan – Mr. Riverblood stated there was a Steering Committee meeting on Monday to summarize what was heard from the community. More has been heard on trails and trail connections and pedestrian safety than park development. The Comp Plan Steering Committee will be present at the July 13 concert at The Draw to further interact with the residents.

Update on Master Parks Plan – Mr. Riverblood stated work is beginning on the master parks plan. The Athletic Association will be involved throughout the process for their input.

Rec Programming Report – Programs are continuing to be planned. There were 90 attendees at Movie Night. Commissioner Bayer stated he was there, and heard people were interested in more Movie Night events.

Inspect the Mississippi River Trail (following discussion and adjournment)

7. ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Commissioner Fyten, seconded by Commissioner Bauer, to adjourn the meeting.

Motion carried. Voting Yes: Acting Chair Sis, Commissioners Fyten, Bayer, Lestico, Tchuinkwa and Trappen. Voting No: None. Absent: Chair Bennett.

The Park and Recreation Commission meeting adjourned at 7:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark Riverblood
Parks & Assistant Public Works Superintendent

Drafted by Debbie Wolfe
TimeSaver off Site Secretarial, Inc.