

5.02: Consider Sketch Plan Review for Northfork Meadows located Near Puma Street and Alpine Drive; Case of Paxmar (Project #17-126)

Presentation

Community Development Director Gladhill presented the staff report stating the purpose of this case is to review a sketch plan for a 149-lot subdivision (56 detached single-family lots with 65-foot-wide lots, and 93 detached townhome lots). A Sketch Plan affords the Planning Commission the opportunity to review a project before it enters the official Preliminary Plat stage. The Preliminary Plat (future step) is the most important step in the review process and gives the project 'entitlement' to the project. Please note that the request requires a Comprehensive Plan Amendment. The City can approve said amendment, but is not obligated to approve said amendment. The City has discretion on how to move forward with the request.

Commission Business

Chairperson Bauer stated he was not on the Planning Commission when the current Comprehensive Plan was put in place. He understood the Commission had to thoroughly evaluate each change and questioned why the current zoning designation was put in place.

Community Development Director Gladhill explained the current zoning designation (Low Density Residential) has been in place for the past 20 years. However, in the yearly 2000's Pulte homes proposed a housing development which matched the LDR zoning. He indicated this development was never completed. He reported this zoning designation was reconfirmed in the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

Commissioner Brauer recalled this property had been zoned LDR since before The COR was developed.

Commissioner Gengler asked if the neighborhood to the east was zoned LDR.

Community Development Director Gladhill reported this property was zoned Rural Developing and was outside of the MUSA.

Commissioner Nosan questioned if Capstone's subdivision would be across the street from Paxmar's proposed project.

Community Development Director Gladhill stated this was the case.

Commissioner Nosan inquired what the differences were between the Capstone and Paxmar developments.

Community Development Director Gladhill explained both developments were medium density projects. He described the differing buffers between the two projects.

Kent Roessler, Paxmar Land Development, introduced himself to the Commission and thanked them for their time. He explained he had several national and local builders that were interested in a housing development in Ramsey. He stated he currently had a development in Elk River with 250 to 300 lots, and other developments he was working on in Blaine, Buffalo and North Branch. He discussed the proposed detached townhouse community in further detail with the Commission and indicated these types of units were in demand. He reported this development would have a homeowner's association to maintain the exterior of the units. He provided further comment on the styles and types of detached townhome units

that would be constructed, along with the proposed price points. He then discussed the advantages of his proposed upscale neighborhood.

Commissioner Brauer asked if the developer believed the site was being proposed for multi-family based on the site or current market conditions.

Mr. Roessler stated he had considered both the site location and the market conditions. He discussed the developments that surrounding the subject property and recommended the Commission consider re-guiding the property. He anticipated his development would nicely complement the Capstone development.

Commissioner Brauer commented on the density transition issue. He questioned if the developer could amend his plans.

Mr. Roessler explained there was no easy way to completely buffer the project from the three adjacent homeowners. He reported there was an existing tree line in place that was quite dense. He stated he could work with staff to enhance the rear elevation of the units.

Commissioner Gengler asked what the price range would be for the detached townhome units.

Mr. Roessler stated the single-family homes would be in the high \$200,000 to \$400,000. He noted the detached townhome units would range in price from \$190,000 to \$250,000.

Commissioner Nosan believed Paxmar completed nice developments and noted her daughter lived in a Paxmar development in Monticello. She stated she was having a hard time with the transition between the proposed project and the existing homeowners. She questioned how much space was between the new units and the existing homes.

Mr. Roessler reviewed the rear yard setback (30 feet) with the Commission and noted most of his units were 50 feet from the property line. He encouraged the Commission to consider the greater good for the entire community and not strictly how six properties will be impacted.

Community Development Director Gladhill provided further comment on the City's landscaping and buffer requirements. He understood that more work had to be done to address density transition and stated the City was aware of the fact that the existing residents were important to Ramsey.

Commissioner Brauer recommended that the City keep the promises that it has made to its existing residents.

Commissioner Anderson stated he was not in favor of 28 foot streets as this was too narrow. He reviewed the variances from City Code within the project and suggested these areas of the development be further considered. He questioned if the detached townhouse units would have brick.

Mr. Roessler reported the front of the units would have brick or stone.

Commissioner Anderson indicated he was struggling with the proposed unit types but stated he would be willing to listen to future plans from the developer.

Commissioner Surma expressed concern with the existing homeowners and how their property rights would be impacted.

Steve Bona, Capstone Homes, stated he did not oppose the development of this property. He explained he designed his neighborhood with the understanding the subject property would be zoned R-1. He feared the market would be flooded with too many high to mid-density units if both projects were completed. He believed the Paxmar units did not fit with the character of the surrounding neighborhood and for this reason he encouraged the Commission to keep this property zoned R-1.

Chairperson Bauer recommended a worksession meeting be held on this development to allow for further discussion of the project.

Community Development Director Gladhill stated staff could set a date for a worksession meeting.

Commissioner Brauer stated he would like to see the neighboring property owners involved in the discussions for the proposed development. He did not see how the Planning Commission could move forward on this project until the Commission received direction from the City Council on the Comprehensive Plan amendment. He explained he did not see a compelling reason to change the Comprehensive Plan at this time.

Commissioner Brauer encouraged the developer to continue to work with the City and not take the feedback from the Commission as a no.

Chairperson Bauer recommended a work session meeting be scheduled if the City Council supports the Comprehensive Plan amendment.

Community Development Director Gladhill anticipated that a worksession meeting would not be able to be scheduled until July.