

Documentation:	Requirement met?	Comments
Does the facility have SMRF non-submittals or exceedances?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	M&R Review being done under a separate action.
If yes, do the exceedances meet the requirements for significant non-compliance? <i>(list in Comments)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Were required notifications provided for violation of any permit condition, discharge limit, or exceedance of an alert level? <i>(list notifications in Comments)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	M&R Review being done under a separate action.
Were SMRFs and monitoring requirements discussed with the facility?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Does the facility have any outstanding or overdue compliance schedule items? (Section 3.0 in the permit)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Does the facility have a copy of the current signed permit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Is there a copy of the operations and maintenance manual on-site?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Is there a copy of the approved contingency/emergency response plan on-site?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Was there any unauthorized discharge of suspected hazardous, toxic, or non-hazardous materials? If so list corrective actions taken. (Section 2.6.5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Facility Description and Operational Monitoring Requirements	Requirement met?	Comments
Is the log book of the inspections and measurements required by this permit updated and current?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Do the facility treatment processes match what is in the current permit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Is the facility being maintained according to the Operations and Maintenance Manual?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Do the treatment plant components appear to be in working order?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	

Compliance Monitoring	Requirement met?	Comments
POC – Well #2 Loc: 32° 29' 33" N 114° 47' 46" W <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Location verified? • Does the well appear to be in working order? 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Discharge Point – Effluent Pump Station to the Welton/Mohawk Salinity ByPass Canal Loc: 32° 29' 33" N 114° 47' 48" W <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Location verified? • Does the discharge appear to be in working order? 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Field Methods – Is the facility using applicable on-site calibrations and quality assurance for BOD, T, pH, and turbidity?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Does the facility have a written QA manual for all analysis done on-site?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Are ADHS approved methods used for all analyses?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Did the facility have a method detection limit study for lab methods?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Are on-site lab instruments properly calibrated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Are on-site calibration and baseline reagents current and not expired?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Are on-site flow meters properly calibrated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Does the facility have a bench log sheet?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	

RECOMMENDATIONS AND POTENTIAL DEFICIENCIES:

SBR #1 is being rebuilt with new aerators at the time of inspection. The inspector was told by Miguel (Shaft head operator) the work on this SBR will be finished around the end of September and SBR #2 will then be drained and the aerators worked on with the work on this one being finished 30-days after it is started.

The decant water in SBR #2 looked a little green to the inspector and this may be due to the aerators needing maintenance work, but the flow going out to the channel was clear.

A new monitoring well had been installed due to the ground water level drop over the years.

Inspector suggests another inspection in 2018.

