SO TRAINING CENTER 15RFP133

		Chasco	American	Bartlett	Byrne
Pre-Construction Phase Fee		\$45,000	\$45,000	\$50,000	\$45,000
Construction Phase Fee		\$165,000	\$148,000	\$151,250	\$192,500
General Condition Cost		\$380,000	\$410,000	\$422,000	\$698,251
Total of All		\$590,000	\$603,000	\$623,250	\$935,751
RPF Cost Score: Lowest Responent's bid / Respondent's Bid x 5 (points)	Maximum Score Points = 5	0	0	0	0
Pricing Notes:		Non-responsive: Respondant received ZERO points for price scoring (see notes below)	Non-responsive: Respondant received ZERO points for price scoring (see notes below)	Non-responsive: Respondant received ZERO points for price scoring (see notes below)	Non- responsive: Respondant received ZERO points for price scoring (see notes below)
Additional Pricing Notes:		General Conditions. No cost was	Did not respond with pricing for every line item of General Conditions. Stated "Cost of Work" for 2 General Conditions.	Stated "Cost of Work" for 1 General Conditions	Did not respond with pricing for every line item of General Conditions. No cost was provided for 19 requested General Conditions.

Interview Criteria:	Maximum Score Points		Chasco	American	Bartlett	Byrne
Respondent's CMAR Experience with a similar project and size	5	 5 = Significantly Exceeds Requirements 4 = Marginally Exceeds Requirements 3 = Meets Requirements 2 = Marginally Meets Requirements 1 = Does Not Meet Requirements 	5	5	5	3
Project Manager Experience	5	 5 = Significantly Exceeds Requirements 4 = Marginally Exceeds Requirements 3 = Meets Requirements 2 = Marginally Meets Requirements 1 = Does Not Meet Requirements 	4	5	4	4
Project Superintendent Experience	5	5 = Significantly Exceeds Requirements 4 = Marginally Exceeds Requirements 3 = Meets Requirements 2 = Marginally Meets Requirements 1 = Does Not Meet Requirements	3	5	4	3
Post Construction / Warranty Assurance	5	 5 = Significantly Exceeds Requirements 4 = Marginally Exceeds Requirements 3 = Meets Requirements 2 = Marginally Meets Requirements 1 = Does Not Meet Requirements 	4	5	4	3
Staff's availability to work County's Project (on requested timeline, with other current / potential County projects, and considering prior / current commitments to Respondant's other customers)	5	5 = Significantly Exceeds Requirements 4 = Marginally Exceeds Requirements 3 = Meets Requirements 2 = Marginally Meets Requirements 1 = Does Not Meet Requirements	4	5	2	3
Past Performance with Williamson County	5	5 = Significantly Exceeded Requirements 4 = Marginally Exceeded Requirements 3 = Met Requirements or 3 = No Past Performance 2 = Marginally Met Requirements 1 = Did Not Meet Requirements	5	3	4	3
Total Interview Points	30	Total	25	28	23	19

				Ex By		原政策以
			Chasco	American	Bartlett	Byrne
Total Points (Price + Interview)	35	Grand Total (Final Scores)	25	N 28	Ø 23	DE 19

Evaluation Committee Members	Date	Signature //	Voting Member	Department		
Commissioner Pct #1: Lisa Birkman	9-16-15	That by Sanon	YES	ımissioners Office, Pct #1		
Lt. Tony Carter	9-16-15	Jany (25)	YES	Sheriff's Office		
Lt. James Carmona	9/16/15	Hamel mu	YES	Sheriff's Office		
Robert Lubecker	9-16-15	Perse	YES	Facilities		
Gary Wilson	9-16-15	Larry Vil	YES	Facilities		
Robert Daigh	9/16/15	For Edward	NO	Infrastructure		
Max Bricka	9/16/15	Manh det	NO	Purchasing		
Sarah Ramos	9-16-15	Saal Land	NO	Purchasing		
Sheriff James Wilson	9-16-15	gam herelie	NO	Purchasing		

Fields Did Not Copy Down M Shroods hact. Max R. Ble Purchasing Director 9-16-15