







Evaluation and Scoring Criteria for RFQ1610-118
Transporation Corridor A1

			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Corridor A1 Criteria	Maximum Score Points	Please select a Whole Number from the list provided for each question. (Decimal scoring or unlisted rating scores are not permitted)	Alliance	Atkins	Bridge farmer	Brown & Gay	Cobb, Fendley	CP&Y	Costello	Dannen baum	Freese & Nichols	K. Friese	Garver	Halff	HDR	Jacobs	Kennedy	Kimley- Horn	Klotz	LJA	M. Baker	Pape- Dawson	RS&H	Seller Lankes	Stantec	Volkert
Office located within Texas	Pass or Fail	This is a Requirement to be considered.	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
<u>Project Manager's</u> Experience/Qualifications with similar projects	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	12	9	15	9	15	12	9	9	9	15	15	12	15	12	9	9	9	6	9	6	9	9	9
<u>Inviduals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications with Roadway Corridor Planning	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	12	6	12	12	12	9	9	6	15	9	12	9	15	12	9	9	6	9	12	15	6	6	9
<u>Individuals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications with all Aspects of Roadway Design	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	9	12	12	9	12	6	9	9	9	6	12	12	9	12	9	9	6	6	6	12	9	12	12
<u>Individuals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications providing Environmental clearance of Roadway Projects in Central Texas	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	12	12	9	12	12	12	12	9	12	12	12	9	12	12	12	9	12	12	12	9	12	9	12
<u>Individuals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications providing Drainage planning and design services for large projects	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	6	9	9	6	9	9	9	9	6
<u>Individuals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualificaitons with Public involvement projects	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	9	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	6	12
<u>Availability of Project Manager and</u> Task leads	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
<u>Understanding of Project</u>	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
Total Interview Points	120	TOTAL	84	84	78	87	81	90	78	78	72	84	81	90	81	87	87	75	75	72	69	78	81	75	69	78

Department	Committee Member	Voting Member Yes or No	Signature	Date
Commissioner Precinct 2	Commissioner Cynthia Long	YES		12/20
Road and Bridge	Robert B. Daigh	YES		12/20/16
Road and Bridge	J. Terron Evertson	YES		12/19/16
Commissioner Precinct 1	Commissioner Valerie Covey	NO		12/20/16
Road and Bridge	Sarah Ramos	NO		12.20.16
Purchasing	Teri Jeffries	NO		12/20/16
Committee Recommendation: The evaluation panel recommends to interview the following firms: Alliance Transportation Group, Inc., Atkins North America, Inc., Brown & Gay Engineers, Inc. (BGE), CP&Y, Inc., Halff Associates, Inc., Jacobs Engineering Group Inc., K Friese + Associates (KFA), Kenndey Consulting, Inc. (KCI)				

NOTE: On 12/8/2016, The Auditor's Office has granted a one time exemption from its Professional Services Policy requirement of no fewer than five (5) evaluation committee members for projects over \$1 million, and has allowed this evaluation committee to operate with a three (3) member committee.