

Evaluation and Scoring Criteria for RFQ1610-118  
Transporation Corridor C

Corridor C Criteria	Maximum Score Points	Please select a Whole Number from the list provided for each question. (Decimal scoring or unlisted rating scores are not permitted )	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
			Alliance	Atkins	Brinkley & Barfield	Bridge farmer	Brown & Gay	Cobb, Fendley	Costello	Dannen baum	Freese & Nichols	Garver	Halff	HDR	K. Friese	Kimley-Horn	Klotz	KSA	LJA	M. Baker	RS&H	Seller Lankes	Stantec	Unitech	Volkert	
Office located within Texas	Pass or Fail	This is a Requirement to be considered.	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	
<u>Project Manager's</u> Experience/Qualifications with similar projects	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	12	9	9	15	9	12	9	9	15	15	12	9	9	9	9	9	6	6	9	9	9	9	
<u>Inviduals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications with Roadway Corridor Planning	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	12	9	6	12	12	9	9	6	9	12	9	15	9	9	9	6	9	15	6	6	9	9	
<u>Inviduals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications with all Aspects of Roadway Design	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	9	9	12	12	9	6	9	9	6	12	12	9	9	9	9	6	6	12	9	12	9	12	
<u>Inviduals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications providing Environmental clearance of Roadway Projects in Central Texas	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	12	12	12	9	12	12	12	9	12	12	9	12	12	9	12	12	12	9	12	9	9	12	
<u>Inviduals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications providing Drainage planning and design services for large projects	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	6	9	6	9	6	9	9	9	9	6	
<u>Inviduals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualificaitons with Public involvement projects	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	6	12	12	12	12	6	6	12
<u>Availability of Project Manager and</u> Task leads	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	
<u>Understanding of Project</u>	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	
Total Interview Points	120	TOTAL	84	84	78	78	87	81	78	78	72	81	90	81	84	75	75	69	72	69	81	75	69	69	78	

Department	Committee Member	Voting Member Yes or No	Signature	Date
Commissioner Precinct 1	Commissioner Valerie Covey	YES	Valerie Covey	12/20/16
Road and Bridge	Robert B. Daigh	YES	Robert B. Daigh	12/20/16
Road and Bridge	J. Terron Evertson	YES	J. Terron Evertson	12/19/16
Commissioner Precinct 2	Commissioner Cynthia Long	NO	Cynthia Long	12/20
Road and Bridge	Sarah Ramos	NO	Sarah Ramos	12.12016
Purchasing	Teri Jeffries	NO	Teri Jeffries	12/20/16
<b>Committee Recommendation:</b> The evaluation panel recommends to interview the following firms: Alliance Transportation Group, Inc., Atkins North America, Inc., Brown & Gay Engineers, Inc. (BGE), Halff Associates, Inc., K Friese + Associates (KFA)				

NOTE: On 12/8/2016, The Auditor's Office has granted a one time exemption from its Professional Services Policy requirement of no fewer than five (5) evaluation committee members for projects over \$1 million, and has allowed this evaluation committee to operate with a three (3) member committee.