

Corridor F Criteria	Maximum Score Points	Please select a Whole Number from the list provided for each question. (Decimal scoring or unlisted rating scores are not permitted.)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
			Atkins	Bridge farmer	Brown & Gay	Civil Corp	Cobb, Fendley	CP&Y	Costello	Dannen baum	Freese & Nichols	Garver	Half	HDR	K. Friese	KCI	Kimley- Horn	Klotz	LJA	M. Baker	Pape Dawson	RS&H	Seller Lankes	Stantec	Unitech	Volkert
Office located within Texas	Pass or Fail	This is a Requirement to be considered.	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
<u>Project Manager's</u> Experience/Qualifications with similar projects	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	9	15	9	9	15	12	9	9	15	15	12	9	12	9	9	9	6	9	6	9	9	9	9
<u>Individuals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications with Roadway Corridor Planning	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	6	12	9	12	12	9	9	6	9	12	9	15	12	9	9	6	9	12	15	6	6	9	9
<u>Individuals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications with all Aspects of Roadway Design	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	12	12	9	9	12	6	9	9	6	12	12	9	12	9	9	6	6	6	12	9	12	9	12
<u>Individuals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications providing Environmental clearance of Roadway Projects in Central Texas	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	12	9	12	12	12	12	12	9	12	12	9	12	12	12	9	12	12	12	9	12	9	9	12
<u>Individuals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications providing Drainage planning and design services for large projects	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	6	9	9	6	9	9	9	9	9	6
<u>Individuals on Project Team's</u> Experience/Qualifications with Public involvement projects	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	12	12	9	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	6	6	12
<u>Availability of Project Manager and Task leads</u>	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
<u>Understanding of Project</u>	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
<b>Total Interview Points</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>78</b>

Department	Committee Member	Voting Member Yes or No	Signature	Date
Commissioner Precinct 2	Commissioner Cynthia Long	YES	<i>C Long</i>	12/20
Road and Bridge	Robert B. Daigh	YES	<i>R B Daigh</i>	12/20/16
Road and Bridge	J. Terron Evertson	YES	<i>J. Evertson</i>	12/19/16
Commissioner Precinct 1	Commissioner Valerie Covey	NO	<i>Valerie Covey</i>	12/20/16
Road and Bridge	Sarah Ramos	NO	<i>Sarah Ramos</i>	12.20.16
Purchasing	Teri Jeffries	NO	<i>Teri Jeffries</i>	12/20/16

**Committee Recommendation:**

The evaluation panel recommends to interview the following firms:

Atkins North America, Inc., Brown & Gay Engineers, Inc. (BGE), CP&Y, Inc., Halff Associates, Inc., K Friese + Associates (KFA)

NOTE: On 12/8/2016, The Auditor's Office has granted a one time exemption from its Professional Services Policy requirement of no fewer than five (5) evaluation committee members for projects over \$1 million, and has allowed this evaluation committee to operate with a three (3) member committee.