Evaluation Criteria of RFQ 1612-132 Comprehensive Parks Master Plan Professional Services

Criteria	Maximum Score Points	Please select a Whole Number from the list provided for each question. (Decimal scoring or unlisted rating scores are not permitted.)	Halff Associates	Studio 16:19
Office in Texas	P/F	Must Pass to be qualified		
Project Manager's Experience/Qualifications with revising and developing comprehensive park master plans	35	35 = Significantly Exceeds Requirements 26 = Exceeds Requirements 18 = Meets ALL requirements 10 = Marginally Meets Requirements 0 = Does Not Meet Requirements	26	18
Individuals on the Project Team Experience/Qualifications with revising and developing comprehensive park master plans.	30	30 = Significantly Exceeds Requirements 24 = Exceeds Requirements 18 = Meets ALL requirements 12 = Marginally Meets Requirements 0 = Does Not Meet Requirements	24	18
Availability of Project Manager, task lead and relevant staff	20	20 = Significantly exceeds requirements 15 = Exceeds requirements 10= Meets all requirements 5 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	15	10
<u>Understanding</u> of the Project and ability to expedite	15	15 = Significantly exceeds requirements 12 = Exceeds requirements 9 = Meets all requirements 6 = Marginally meets requirements 0 = Does not meet requirements	12	9
Total Interview Points	100	TOTAL	77	55

Department	Committee Member	Voting Member	Signatures	
			1.4	
			17	

Committee Recommendation: Halff is recommended to proceed for several factors. 1) Halff has completed several park plans; 2) Halff has a grant writer dedicated to the project, with a successful track record; 3) Halff indicates more critical staff available; and

4) Halff supported an entire page (#7) to support their understanding of the project.