

March 13, 2020

Mr. Randy Barker Williamson County Purchasing Department 100 Wilco Way, P101 Georgetown, TX 78626

Subject: Recommendation for RFQ 2451 Planning and Design of Road Bond Projects: 4.4 Bartlett Street and 4.8 Coupland Street

Based on the attached supporting documentation, the Planning and Design of Road Bond Projects Selection Committee recommends the selection of Consor Engineers, LLC which was the top ranked firm from the evaluation process.

Thirty one firms were evaluated on March 9, 2020 by the following Selection Committee:

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

. Terron Evertson, P.E.

County Engineer

Cc: Commissioner Russ Boles, Pct 4

DATE: March 9, 2020	RFQ 2451	EVALUATION - Planning & Design of Road Bond Projects - 4.4 & 4.8 DESIGN PROJEC
DATE: March 9, 2020	RFQ 2451	 Planning & Design of Road Bond Projects - 4.4 & 4.8 DESIGN PROJECT

TOTAL	Understanding of Corridor, I from US 183 to SH 29 (and to Burnet County line) project ONLY	Availability of Project Manager, task leads and relevant staff and their previous performance on Williamson County projects	Individuals on Project Team's Experience/Qualifications providing environmental documentation and clearance for roadway projects in Central Texas	Individuals on Project Team's Experience/Qualifications providing structures planning and/or design	Individuals on Project Team's Experience/Qualifications providing roadway drainage planning and/or design	Individuals on Project Team's Experience/Qualifications providing roadway planning and/or design	PM	is the firm registered with the Texas Board of Professional Engineers (TBPE) as an engineering firm in the State of Texas?	Mandatory Criteria
350	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	Pass/Fail	Maximum Score Points
285	46	45	40	46	8	45	35	Pass	Aguirre & Fields
285	46	30	40	40	8	4	45	Pass	Alliance Transportation Group
265	8	5	40	4	8	45	45	Pass	American Structurepoint
265	8	10	40	46	å	45	45	Pass	Atkins North America
270	40	ō	8	6	\$	45	45	Pass	BGE
265	40	8	40	46	45	45	45	Pass	Binkley & Barfield
265	46	ä	8	46	.	8	\$	Pass	Bridgefarmer & Associates
295	8	45	4	46	40	- 5	45	Pass	CONSOR Engineers
265	46	10	8	46	45	45	<i>\$</i>	Pass	CP&Y
265	46	16	4	45	8	45	<i>\$</i> 5	Pass	Dannenbaum
265	8	30	40	35	ដ	40	<i>\$</i>	Pass	Dunaway Associates
295	46	8	40	. 45	8	- 6	6	Pass	Garver
280	40	ð	40	40	å	8	<i>\$</i>	Pass	Halff Associates
265	4	10	40	45	8	40	45	Pass	HDR Engineering
265	40	10	40	40	45	ts.	£5	Pass	Huitt-Zollars
245	46	10	40	40	35	8	8	Pass	Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson
270	40	20	40	40	8	45	£,	Pass	Jones Carter
200	40	10	40	40	45	8	45	Pass	K Friese + Associates
275	40	20	40	40	45	8	45	Pass	KC Engineering
270	40	30	46	35	8	8	45	Pass	Kimley-Horn & Associates
285	4	ŧ	8	45	8	8	45	Pass	LJA Engineering
295	40	45	8	40	46	8	45	Pass	LNV
275	40	20	46	46	45	45	45	Pass	M&S
295	40	ħ	4	8	4	6	45	Pass	Pape-Dawson Engineers
285	40	45	40	46	40	40	40	Pass	PGAL
265	40	10	8	40	45	45	45	Pass	Rodriguez Trnasportation Group
285	8	30	8	46	45	45	45	Pass	RPS
295	8	45	8	8	40	45	45	Pass	RS&H
260	40	10	40	46	40	45	45	Pass	Seiler Lankes Group
260	40	10	40	4	45	40	45	Pass	Wantman Group
260	40	16	40	40	40	45	45	Pass	WSB