

Staff Recommendation - Complete INFOR Version 11 Implementation

PROJECT YEAR	2022		2023				2024				2025				2026	
PROJECT QUARTER	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
PHASE FOUR																
Implement new system	9 months remaining															
PHASE FIVE																
Post go-live support/project closeout					3 mo.											
PHASE SIX																
Optional: Timekeeping upgrade post-go live							6 mo.									
Requested in Staff Recommendation	\$315,558				\$0				\$0				\$0			
Total estimated costs (1)	\$315,558															

Notes:

(1) Estimates \$2.2 million unexpended in the current project budget (not shown here) and total new funding request of \$315,558 to complete the project. Treats prior costs already paid as sunk costs for Version 10 and efforts already expended on the upgrade as those are non-recoverable. A possible future upgrade to the timekeeping system is included that would also provide additional payroll cost allocation functionality, for which the current quote is \$600,000. Staff would try to obtain optimal pricing should this move forward and this would return to the board in the future for separate consideration. Excludes subscription/maintenance charges that are expected to be comparable regardless of system.

Alternative 2 - Source a new system

PROJECT YEAR	2022		2023				2024				2025				2026	
PROJECT QUARTER	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
PHASE ONE																
Current System Server Migration			9 months													
PHASE TWO																
Define System needs and specifications						6 months										
PHASE THREE																
Systems RFP, Demos, and Selection								6 months								
PHASE FOUR																
Implement new system										18 months						
PHASE FIVE																
Post go-live support/project closeout																3 mo.
Cost per fiscal year (level funding)(2)	\$2,200,000		\$2,600,000				\$2,600,000				\$2,600,000					
Total estimated cost	\$10,000,000															

Notes:

(2) Assumes amongst system ranges that a new system cost is \$10 million, which is in the middle of the \$6 - \$16 million range presented by staff and which generally aligns with Butte County which is the most comparable system option. Assumes the County would attempt to pay-as-you go and be proactive in setting aside funding over the next 3 fiscal years. Further assumes that \$2.2 million of the existing project is unexpended and would be rolled into the new project. Should the County not have fiscal resources to pay-as-you-go, the County may need to borrow funds at an higher cost amount.