

Julie Dachtler

From: sean ditty <duwaditty916@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 9, 2024 11:27 AM
To: Clerkoftheboard
Subject: Fwd: The Silver Bullet of Family Law
Attachments: Screenshot_20240614_003703_Gmail.jpg; 20240614_004959.jpg; 20240614_004344.jpg

CAUTION: External Sender. Please do not click on links or open attachments from senders you do not trust.

12/10/24 PUBLIC COMMENT TOPIC

----- Forwarded message -----

From: sean ditty <duwaditty916@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Jun 17, 2024, 5:54 AM
Subject: The Silver Bullet of Family Law
To: <derrek.kaff@cityofwoodland.org>, District Attorney <district.attorney@yolocounty.org>, David Marshall <David.Marshall@yolocounty.org>, Jaime Garfield-Imus <jaime@empoweryolo.org>, John Myers <jmyers@pacific.edu>, <darren.imus@cityofwoodland.org>, <news@kcra.com>, <news@fox40.com>, <news@kovr.com>, <newsroom@sacobserver.com>, <newstips@kcra.com>, <desk@abc10.com>, Victoria Danzl <Victoria.Danzl@cityofwoodland.org>, Dallas Hyde <Dallas.Hyde@cityofwoodland.gov>, <yolocountysheriffs@yolocounty.org>, Tammy Machado <Tammy.Machado@yolocounty.gov>, Zach Taylor <ztlawoffice@gmail.com>, <hdc.operator@gmail.com>, <stacie@powerlawpc.com>, <parisa@peaselaw.org>, Pasha Vafaei Esq. <pvafaei@themvplawgroup.com>, <jcameron@dixonpolice.org>

Good Morning..

Attached are 3 items, 2 are John Myers knowingly committing perjury and the other is the message to, and the response from Jaime Garfield-Imus proving that herself AND John Myers knew that the document THEY filed was a lie! However the lies and perjury didn't start there. They started from back in June 2022 when Jaime, as Ms Larson's Advocate AND attorney, filed the initial RFO for a DVRO knowing, condoning AND coaching Ms Larson to lie. Jaime did so because SHE knew that the Dishonorable judge richardson (lowercase intentionally) would ignore, overlook, and allow the lies! Not to mention Jaime had been fed info by her Husband, Sgt Darren Imus, Detective with WPD. Absolutely ZERO Integrity or ethics issue there, right Derrick and Willard? So, not only is Contempt, Perjury a Court issue it IS also something that David Marshall's boss is expected, required to prosecute! Hence The Silver Bullet of Family Law! Oh, I almost forgot to mention the person that Signed The Attached Document UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, is soon to be disbarred John Myers, who has is also a College Professor teaching, INDOCTRINATING future attorneys. Then there's the whole 1.1/8.3 issue!

Rule 1.1 A lawyer shall not intentionally, recklessly, with gross negligence, or repeatedly fail to perform legal services with competence.

Rule 8.3 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct is a significant step towards promoting transparency and accountability within the legal profession. This rule states that lawyers must report instances of credible evidence suggesting that another lawyer has engaged in certain misconduct.

9 lawyers have been CCd meaning 9 lawyers are obligated to deal with this injustice.

Tomorrow we'll discuss how Jaime was informed that I had filed an RFO to set aside the DEFAULT her and Myers had acquired with the blatant lie above on the 26th day yet claimed ZERO knowledge. However Myers responded to the RFO, again under Penalty of Perjury, claiming zero knowledge. Sooooo.... how did the serial liar know there was an RFO to respond to? Awkward right?

Good morning..

I injured my knee a couple weeks back and haven't been able to file subpoenas/documents etc. Today I received results from an MRI from last week, that show a fracture where the femur meets the knee. Dr has referred me to Orthopedics and need to be seen by the end of the week. Do to these reasons, and the fact that I'm physically not able to appear, I'm requesting a continuance. I'll attempt to acquire, prepare the correct documents and have someone file them today. If not, I'll have to appear via Zoom to continue.

Impression

Impression:

1. Marrow contusion involving the lateral femoral condyle with suspected incomplete fracture of the subchondral bone.

2. Moderate knee joint effusion.

3. Ligaments and menisci are intact.



Screens...e~2.jpg



filed her request to move nearly a year ago. The matter was
ce. The matter finally came on for trial on January 19, 202
Petitioner chose not to appear at trial or to let the Court c

reliance on the Court's Judgment approving the move
outlined in the attached declaration. After waiting 30 c
where they now live. Respondent signed a 14 month

1
2 leave the courtroom before the hearing ended does nothing to lessen the fact that he was on notice
3 of the trial. Father made no mistake. He was not inadvertent. And he certainly was not surprised.
4

5
6 **III**
7 **If Father Missed the Trial Due to Neglect,**
8 **His Neglect is Not Excusable**

9 If Petitioner is telling the truth about fracturing his leg, all he had to do was call the Court
10 to let the Court know of the injury. Petitioner did not inform the Court. Nor did Petitioner inform
1 Respondent or her attorney. Father simply failed to appear for trial. On the trial date, the Court
2 trailed the matter to afford Petitioner time to appear. When Petitioner did not appear, the Court
proceeded with the long-scheduled trial.

The Court evaluates whether neglect is excusable with the reasonable person standard. (See
Austin v. Los Angeles Unified School District, supra at p. 929). A reasonable person—even a
reasonable person with a broken leg—would take the simple step of informing the Court and the
parties that injury prevented appearance at so important a proceeding as a move-away trial
involving a young child.