

ATTACHMENT F

Resolution Approving the Immediate Partial Cancellation of Agreement No. 71-206

Att. A – Findings in Support of Immediate Partial
Cancellation of Williamson Act contract No. 71-206

Exhibit A – Notice of Nonrenewal

RESOLUTION NO. _____

**RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TENTATIVE PARTIAL CANCELLATION
OF EXISTING LAND USE CONSERVATION CONTRACT NO. 71-206**

WHEREAS, on December 9, 2020, Gibson Renewables LLC (Applicant) submitted an application to the Yolo County Department of Community Services on behalf of ARW Land Partnership, L.P. (Owner) for a Use Permit and partial cancellation of the Williamson Act Contract No. 71-206 (the "Contract") to construct and operate an up to 20 megawatt alternating current (MWac or MW) solar PV electricity generating facility with an up to 13 MWac/52 megawatt hour (MWh) battery energy storage system (BESS); and

WHEREAS, the subject property (APN: 049-100-035) is located approximately 1.2 miles east of Esparto, immediately south of State Route 16, and is currently subject to the provisions of Williamson Act Contract No. 71-206 (the "Contract"); and

WHEREAS, the proposed utility-scale solar project was found to be incompatible with the agricultural operations on the site, pursuant to Section 106 of the Yolo County Williamson Act Guidelines, and with the Williamson Act statutes governing the principles of compatibility required under Section 51238.1 of the California Government Code; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant's Petition for the Tentative Cancellation of a Contract ("Petition") was determined to be complete on August 22, 2023; and

WHEREAS, a copy of the Petition, Contract No. 71-206, a general description of the land subject to cancellation, and the deadline for submitting comments on the Petition were emailed to the California Department of Conservation on October 13, 2021; and

WHEREAS, a partial Notice of Non-Renewal of the Contract was filed by Gibson Renewables LLC (Applicant) on behalf of the Owner on August 22, 2023; and

WHEREAS, comments were received from the California Department of Conservation regarding the proposed cancellation on November 1, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the County has complied with all other requirements of law that apply to the proposed utility-scale solar project and the Petition, including the preparation and certification of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), the adoption of an addendum to the previously certified EIR, the determination and certification of the current fair market value of the subject land as though it were free of the contractual restriction, and the provision of appropriate notice of all actions contemplated in connection with the proposed utility-scale solar project and the Petition, to the extent required by law; and

WHEREAS, at the October 10, 2023 meeting, the Board of Supervisors considered the application for the Gibson Solar Farm Project and related entitlements, as well as the Petition, and held a public hearing thereon; and

WHEREAS, at the March 24, 2026 meeting, the Board of Supervisors considered the request for a partial Tentative Cancellation of Williamson Act Contract for the Gibson Solar Farm Project and related actions, and held a public hearing thereon.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. The Board approves the Petition of the Gibson Solar Farm Project for partial cancellation of the Contract, based on the findings and determinations set forth in the attached "Findings in Support of Immediate Cancellation of Williamson Act Contract for the Gibson Solar Farm Project" (Attachment "A" hereto) which are hereby adopted by the Board and incorporated herein by this reference. In summary, the Board finds as follows:

A. Cancellation of the Contract is consistent with the purposes of the Williamson Act (Gov. Code §§ 51280 et seq.) for the following reasons:

- (i) A notice of nonrenewal has been served pursuant to section 51245 of the California Government Code for the Contract at issue;
- (ii) Cancellation of the Contract is not likely to result in the removal of adjacent lands from agricultural use;
- (iii) Cancellation will not result in discontinuous patterns of urban development; and
- (iv) There is no proximate noncontracted land which is both available and suitable for the use to which it is proposed the contract land be put, and development of the subject property would provide more contiguous patterns of urban development than development of proximate noncontracted land.

B. Cancellation of the Contract is in the public interest for the following reasons:

- (i) Other public concerns substantially outweigh the objectives set forth in Chapter 7 of Title 5 of the California Government Code; and
- (ii) There is no proximate noncontracted land which is both available and suitable for the use to which it is proposed the contract land be put, and development of the subject property would provide more contiguous patterns of urban development than development of proximate noncontracted land.

2. In connection with the Board's decision to approve tentative cancellation of the Contract, the Board has independently reviewed and analyzed the Environmental Impact Report, as modified by the Addendum, and deemed it as the appropriate document for purposes of compliance with CEQA.

3. The Chair of the Board of Supervisors is authorized to execute all agreements and documents necessary to effectuate the purpose and intent of this Resolution.

4. The Director of the County Department of Community Services is hereby directed to file a Notice of Determination in the manner prescribed by law.

5. Within 30 days of the tentative cancellation of the contract, the Clerk of the Board shall publish a notice of the Board's decision, including the date, time, and place of the public hearing, a general explanation of the decision, the findings made pursuant to Section 51282 of the State Government Code, and a general description, in text or by diagram, of the land under contract, as a display advertisement of at least one-eighth page in the Daily Democrat.

6. Within 30 days of the tentative cancellation of the contract, the Director of the Department of Community Services shall deliver a copy of the published notice of the decision, as described above, to the Department of Conservation.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 24th day of March, 2026, by the following vote.

AYES:
NOES:
ABSTENTIONS:
ABSENT:

Sheila A. Allen, Chair
Board of Supervisors

Attest:
Julie Dachtler, Senior Deputy Clerk
Board of Supervisors

By _____
Deputy (Seal)

Approved As To Form:
Philip J. Pogledich, County Counsel

By: 
Eric May, Senior Deputy County Counsel

Attachments:

- A. Findings in Support of Immediate Partial Cancellation of Williamson Act Contract for the Gibson Solar Farm Project

ATTACHMENT A

FINDINGS IN SUPPORT OF IMMEDIATE PARTIAL CANCELLATION OF WILLIAMSON ACT CONTRACT NO. 71-206 FOR THE GIBSON SOLAR FARM PROJECT

Project Description

The County of Yolo (County) Board of Supervisors adopts these findings in accordance with Government Code Section 51282 of the California Land Conservation Act of 1965 (Williamson Act). The County adopts these findings in support of its decision to approve immediate partial cancellation of a Williamson Act Contract on approximately 100 acres of a 147.42-acre parcel, APN 049-100-035 (Property), owned by AWR Land Partnership, L.P. to support the proposed development of the Gibson Solar Farm Project (Project). The remaining 47.42 acres would remain under contract.

A portion of a Property totaling approximately 100 acres, for which immediate partial cancellation has been requested:

Portion of (APN) 049-100-035, Williamson Act Contract (No. 71-206), executed on February 1, 1971

The Property is located in unincorporated Yolo County, approximately 1.2 miles east of Esparto, and to the west of the unincorporated community of Madison. State Route (SR) 16 runs along the northern side of the parcel and provides access to the site. The parcel is designated Agriculture (AG) in the Yolo County General Plan and is zoned Agricultural Intensive (A-N). The proposed developed area (approximately 100 acres) would be located entirely on California Department of Conservation (DOC)-designated Prime Farmland, as shown on DOC's Yolo County Important Farmland Map.

Statutory Requirement

Section 51282 authorizes a city or county to approve immediate cancellation of a Williamson Act Contract, including partial cancellation, if the agency makes one of the following findings: (1) that cancellation is consistent with the purposes of the Williamson Act; or (2) that cancellation is in the public interest. (Government Code [GC] § 51282(a).)

Cancellation of a contract is considered "consistent with the purposes of the Williamson Act" if the County makes all of the following findings (GC § 51282(b)):

1. That the cancellation is for land on which a notice of nonrenewal has been served pursuant to Section 51245.
2. That cancellation is not likely to result in the removal of adjacent lands from agricultural use.
3. That cancellation is for an alternative use which is consistent with the applicable provisions of the city or county general plan.
4. That cancellation will not result in discontinuous patterns of urban development.
5. That there is no proximate noncontracted land which is both available and suitable for the use to which it is proposed the contracted land be put, or, that development of the contracted land would provide more contiguous patterns of urban development than development of proximate noncontracted land.

Alternatively, the County can find that cancellation of a contract is "in the public interest" if the County makes all of the following findings (GC § 51282(c)):

1. That other public concerns substantially outweigh the objectives of the Williamson Act; and

ATTACHMENT A

2. That there is no proximate noncontracted land which is both available and suitable for the use to which it is proposed the contracted land be put, or, that development of the contracted land would provide more contiguous patterns of urban development than development of proximate noncontracted land.¹

“Proximate, noncontracted land” means land not restricted by contract pursuant to the Williamson Act, which is sufficiently close to land which is so restricted that it can serve as a practical alternative for the use which is proposed for the restricted land.

Agencies cannot approve cancellation solely by virtue of “the uneconomic character of an existing agricultural use,” unless “there is no other reasonable or comparable agricultural use to which the land may be put.” (GC § 51282(d).)

Findings

The County finds that partial cancellation of the subject contract is consistent with the purposes of the Williamson Act. The County also finds, as a separate and distinct matter, that partial cancellation of the subject contract is in the public interest. The basis for these findings is described below.

51282(b) Findings: Cancellation is Consistent with the Purposes of the Williamson Act

FINDING #1 - The cancellation is for land on which a notice of nonrenewal has been served pursuant to Section 51245.

Evidence: On August 22, 2023, the property owner filed with the County a Notice of Nonrenewal for 100 acres of the subject property.

Supporting Documents: Exhibit A (Notice of Nonrenewal).

FINDING #2 - The cancellation is not likely to result in removal of adjacent lands from agricultural use.

Evidence: The partial cancellation of the Williamson Act contract on the subject property is not likely to result in the removal of adjacent lands from agricultural use for the following reasons:

1. Existing land uses on adjoining parcels will not be materially impacted by the solar farm such that conversion from agriculture would be expected to result. The land is surrounded primarily by other agricultural uses with active farmland and occasional rural residential home sites. The distances from the closest residence at each location to the nearest proposed Project boundary range from about 2,000 feet to 3,200 feet. The proposed Project site is surrounded by agricultural land uses, consisting of irrigated field crops and orchards. The land is designated in the Yolo County 2030 Countywide General Plan as Agriculture (AG) and zoned Agricultural Intensive (A-N).

The lands adjacent to the project site are productive farmland that are expected to remain in active cultivation. Parcels to the north of the property are mapped by the California DOC as a combination of Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, Urban and Built-Up Land, and Other Land, some of which are enrolled in the Williamson Act. The surrounding parcels to the north of the Project support field crops and orchards.

¹ “Proximate, noncontracted land” means land not restricted by contract pursuant to the Williamson Act, which is sufficiently close to land which is so restricted that it can serve as a practical alternative for the use which is proposed for the restricted land. (GC Section 51282(c).)

ATTACHMENT A

To the west of the property is land that is under Williamson Act contract. This land is mapped by the California DOC as a combination of Prime Farmland and Other Land. The parcels to the west support field crops.

The parcels immediately east of the property are not under Williamson Act contract. The parcels are mapped by the California DOC as of Prime Farmland that currently support orchards.

The parcels immediately south of the property are under Williamson Act contract. The parcels are mapped by the California DOC as Prime Farmland that currently support orchards.

Topographically the subject property occupies flat land with the nearest topographical feature being the foothills of western Yolo County, west of the site. The site is currently used to grow wheat and tomatoes.

The proposed solar farm does not introduce any new residential or other incompatible uses into the area that would create an impediment to existing agricultural operations and surrounding land uses. Nor does the Project have growth-inducing impacts that would result in further conversion of agricultural lands to non-agricultural uses.

The Project site's zoning designation, Agricultural Intensive, permits large-scale solar systems to be installed and operated in Agricultural Intensive (A-N) zones upon approval of a Use Permit. While the proposed Project would increase the industrial character of the site, this is an allowable use that does not impact nearby agricultural uses. The Project also includes measures to protect the quality of the soils and reclaim the property into agriculture after decommissioning of the equipment. With implementation of mitigation measures identified in the Project Environmental Impact Report (EIR) (see discussion below), no direct impacts to adjoining lands are expected to result. All surrounding uses are expected to continue with no restrictions on operations or use. Therefore, existing farmland and grazing operations will have no pressure to convert to more urban uses due to land use conflicts.

2. Planned land uses on the adjoining parcels have been identified in, and are constrained by, the County of Yolo General Plan. The land is designated in the County General Plan as Agriculture (AG) and is zoned Agricultural Intensive (A-N). The intent of these designations is to retain the land in agricultural use and protect it from encroachment of non-agricultural uses. The mitigation measures identified in the EIR ensure that the potential for impacts from the proposed conversion will not adversely impact the adjoining properties. This coupled with the protections and restrictions inherent in the County's General Plan and zoning regulations (as set forth below in Finding #4), act to protect the continued agricultural uses on the adjoining properties.
3. Existing agricultural use is protected by the County's Right To Farm Ordinance. Chapter 6 (Agriculture) of Title 10 (Environment) of the County Code of Ordinances contains the County's Right to Farm regulations. The Ordinance establishes that pre-existing agricultural uses and operations are protected from nuisance claims by adjacent neighbors and provides dispute resolution procedures. This ordinance, together with the mitigation measures in the Project EIR, provides further protections to existing agricultural uses and fully mitigates potential impacts, thereby encouraging the continued agricultural use of the adjacent parcels.
4. Agriculture in general is protected and strongly encouraged by the County's General Plan policies. The Countywide General Plan Agriculture and Economic Development Element contains goals, objectives, and policies that provide important protections for agriculture. The following goal and policies related to agriculture are presented in the Yolo County General Plan, Agriculture and Economic Development Element (2009):

ATTACHMENT A

- *Goal AG-1 Preserve and defend agriculture and agricultural lands as fundamental to the identity of Yolo County.* This goal focuses on the County's key agricultural sectors, including retaining existing growers and processors of crops, as well as emerging crops and processing, support of small and organic growers, and transfer of new technologies.
- *Policy AG-1.6 Continue to mitigate at a ratio of no less than 1:1 the conversion of farmland and/or the conversion of land designated or zoned for agriculture, to other uses.* This policy is implemented using the Agricultural Conservation and Mitigation Program, which is described below.
- *Policy AG-1.14 Preserve agricultural lands using a variety of programs, including the Williamson Act, Farmland Preservation Zones (implemented through the Williamson Act), conservation easements, an Agricultural Lands Conversion Ordinance, and the Right-to-Farm Ordinance.*

The following goal and policy related to agriculture are presented in the Countywide General Plan, Land Use and Community Character Element (2009).

- *Goal LU-2 Preserve farmland and expand opportunities for related business and infrastructure to ensure a strong local agricultural economy.* This goal is implemented through the pro-grams noted in AG 1.14 above.
- *Policy LU-2.4 Vigorously conserve, preserve, and enhance the productivity of the agricultural lands in areas outside of adopted community growth boundaries and outside of city spheres of influence.* This policy is implemented through adherence to urban growth boundaries designated by Yolo County's incorporated cities, and in conjunction with LAFCO, the cities' spheres of influence.

These goals and policies have been strictly interpreted and strongly enforced by the Board of Supervisors. As a result, Yolo County has some of the strongest protections for agriculture in the state and is among the highest in percentage of land enrolled in Williamson Act contracts.

5. Required preservation of other agricultural land in permanent conservation easements will result in a net increase in protected farmland in Yolo County. Even though the site will be reclaimed to farmland following the decommissioning of the equipment, the Project is required to acquire permanent farmland conservation easements as if the Project resulted in a permanent conversion of farmland in compliance with Yolo County Code Section 8-2.404 (the Agricultural Conservation and Mitigation Program), pursuant to Mitigation Measure AG-1 of the Gibson Solar Farm Use Permit (ZF2020-0043) Environmental Impact Report (SCH #2021100191).

The County's Ordinance requires that easements be acquired at a 3:1 ratio for each acre of prime farmland affected, and be placed within 2 miles of a city's sphere of influence or the town of Esparto's Urban Growth Boundary. The mitigation ratio can be reduced to 2:1 if the easements are placed partly or entirely within the area bounded by County Roads 98 and 102 on the west and east, respectively, and by County Roads 29 and 27 on the north and south respectively; and 1:1 if placed on parcels partly or entirely within 0.25 mile of a City's sphere of influence or Esparto's Urban Growth Boundary.

These easements will help preserve the agrarian character of the immediate area, which in turn encourages other owners to continue existing farm operations. Furthermore, the mitigation requirement targets agricultural land of particularly high value, containing healthy soils and a history of productive farming, and will be placed in a manner to prevent the expansion of urban uses on agricultural land.

ATTACHMENT A

6. Mitigation measures identified in the EIR and adopted by the County as conditions on the Project will minimize and/or eliminate the potential for conflicts between the construction, operation, and decommissioning of the solar farm and agricultural operations on neighboring properties. The mitigation measures identified in the Project EIR diminish any potential effect of the solar facility to discourage or otherwise intrude upon existing agricultural operations.
7. There is no evidence in the record demonstrating that development of the solar farm, including operations consistent with mitigation measures, would prevent existing agricultural uses from continuing on neighboring properties and in the region. With implementation of mitigation measures identified in the Project EIR, no impacts to adjoining lands are expected to result. All existing surrounding uses are expected to continue with no restrictions on operations or use.
8. The infrastructure developed onsite and extended to the solar farm will be sized for the solar farm, transmission line, and battery energy storage system only. No further development is authorized by the Gibson Solar Use Permit (ZF2020-0043) approved on October 10, 2023, by the Board of Supervisors.
9. The design of the solar project does not facilitate adjacent residential development. The Project proponent is proposing a multi-use plan as part of the Project, which would include low-growing native plants to attract local pollinators to benefit nearby orchards along with other crops. The native plants would help hold topsoil and over time vastly improve tilth, and be derived from source identified plant materials whose origins include Yolo County and surrounding counties, in accordance with the Project's Use Permit and Conditions of Approval. To encourage pollinator habitat and control vegetation growth, sheep grazing would occur underneath and between the modules where and when necessary. Implementation of the multi-use plan would help retain the agricultural character of the site and the attraction of local pollinators would potentially benefit agricultural operations on adjacent land. Residential development in the vicinity of the Project and at the Project site is not contemplated, nor will the project facilitate residential growth.

FINDING #3 - The cancellation is for an alternative use that is consistent with the applicable provisions of the county general plan.

Evidence:

The proposed use is consistent with the Yolo County Code of Ordinances, Section 8-2.1104(d)(9), which permits large-scale solar systems to be installed and operated in Agricultural Intensive (A-N) zones upon approval of a Use Permit. The proposed Project would also be consistent with the Agriculture (AG) land use designation, in the Yolo County 2030 Countywide General Plan.

General Plan policies supporting solar farms include Policies LU-1.1, LU-2.4, and AG-1.6. The Project would be consistent with Policy AG-1.14 after the immediate partial cancellation of the Williamson Act Contract.

FINDING #4 - The cancellation will not result in discontinuous patterns of urban development.

Evidence: County policies preclude discontinuous growth. In particular, General Plan Policy LU-3.7 states that new urban development shall be discouraged in areas not contiguous to existing urban development. As a solar energy facility, the proposed Project is most closely affiliated with the existing electric substation located approximately one mile to the east. Although industrial in nature, it is not considered urban development like new residential and commercial structures that tend to be growth-inducing. The solar farm would be an energy facility providing a public good (i.e., renewable electricity) consistent with the County's policies to encourage renewable energy development. In addition, the proposed Project includes

ATTACHMENT A

a multi-use plan to plant a substrate of low-growing native plants that would help hold topsoil and over time vastly improve tilth, while attracting local pollinators. The multi-use plan also proposes sheep grazing underneath and between the modules where and when necessary. For the aforementioned reasons, the Project would not lead to discontinuous urban growth.

The proposed Project is located entirely on DOC-designated Prime Farmland. The future reliability and sufficiency of water for irrigation (i.e., a supply of irrigation water is required for the Prime Farmland designation) is uncertain. Prior to the approval of the Gibson Solar Use Permit, Yolo County had received an abundance of rainfall in 2023, whereas preceding years were part of the multi-year drought pattern, which occurred frequently from 2000 through 2022. The proposed Project would use substantially less water than the prior farming uses allowing water previously used to irrigate crops to be used for irrigating other crops, allowing more water to be readily available for neighboring agricultural uses.

FINDING #5 - There is no proximate noncontracted land which is both available and suitable for the use to which it is proposed the contracted land be put, or, that development of the contracted land would provide more contiguous patterns of urban development than development of proximate noncontracted land.

Evidence: During the application review process, the Applicant and the EIR Alternatives (Section D) analysis considered various sites near the project site, and in other parts of the County. The majority of the alternative sites would preclude successful development of the Project because they were not within two miles of an existing electric substation, they were not near an existing 21 kilovolt (kV) distribution line for interconnection to the electricity grid, or there were other site access or land use conflict concerns, including control of the alternative sites.

The EIR analysis considered all parcels within a 2-mile radius of a substation with flat topography, that were larger than 120 contiguous acres, and were not planted in orchards. Parcels under a Williamson Act contract were eliminated at the outset because they would not address the Project's conflict with the Williamson Act contract. Three parcels had passed the screening analysis and were fully analyzed in the EIR.

Because the Applicant did not have site control of the alternative sites during the time of the environmental analysis, it was unknown whether a landowner would have been willing to negotiate a lease. In addition, absent an electrical interconnection study, it was unknown whether the interconnection substation would have had additional capacity without requiring major improvements that would have impacted the economic feasibility of the proposed Project.

Furthermore, development of a solar facility at one of the non-contracted alternative sites would not have provided a more contiguous pattern of urban development since all of the sites are currently used for field crops or are fallow agriculture, are zoned Agricultural Intensive (A-N), and are not located adjacent to urban development.

51282(c) Findings: Cancellation is in the Public Interest

FINDING #1 - Cancellation of the contract is in the public interest because other public concerns substantially outweigh the objectives of this chapter.

Evidence: The renewable energy project proposed on Williamson Act contracted land presents an opportunity to balance policies that support the County's goals of (1) preserving productive farmland and (2) encouraging renewable/solar energy development. Partial cancellation of the Williamson Act Contract on the proposed Project site is in the public interest because of the multiple benefits of renewable energy

ATTACHMENT A

development, whereas sustainable farming in the area may be indefinitely affected by water supply uncertainty.

The record contains substantial evidence showing the Project's general environmental and social benefits to the County. Those benefits include, in no particular order:

- The Project will produce clean energy that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions that are changing the climate to the detriment of Yolo County citizens and Yolo County's most important industry (agriculture).
- The Project will comply with Yolo County's Solar Energy development standards.
- The Project is in furtherance of the County's Climate Action Plan, the County's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan, and the County's ambitious goal of achieving a carbon negative footprint by 2030.
- The Project included an application to the State for grant funding for improvements that would provide power to public facilities in Esparto and the Capay Valley during the repeated power outages that occur in the area, including from the increased occurrences of Public Safety Power Shutoffs.
- The Project will decommission the Project at the end of its useful life and restore the site to agricultural use.
- The Project would use substantially less water than the prior farming uses allowing water previously used to irrigate crops at the Project site to be readily available for other neighboring agricultural operations.
- The Project would help both Yolo County and the State to reach its renewable energy and greenhouse gas (GHG) goals, including Assembly Bill (AB) 32, Senate Bill (SB) 375, and Executive Order S-3-05.
- The Project would improve local electrical reliability and assist with satisfying Valley Clean Energy's local renewable portfolio standards.
- The energy storage component of the Project would help solve California's "duck curve" power production problem by storing energy during the times when solar production is highest- and releasing it into the system when solar production wanes and demand increases, especially during extreme hot weather events that strain the power grid.
- The Project proponent is proposing a multi-use plan as part of the Project, which would include low-growing native plants for attracting local pollinators that are derived from source-identified plant materials whose origins include Yolo County and surrounding counties. The native plants would help hold topsoil and over time vastly improve tilth. To encourage pollinator habitat and control vegetation growth, sheep grazing would occur underneath and between the modules where and when necessary.

FINDING #2 - There is no proximate noncontracted land which is both available and suitable for the use to which it is proposed the contracted land be put, or, that development of the contracted land would provide more contiguous patterns of urban development than development of proximate noncontracted land.

Please see discussion of Section 15282(b) Finding #5 above. This finding is identical to the finding required by Government Code 51282(b)(5), for cancellations consistent with the purposes of the Williamson Act. The finding is discussed above and substantial evidence in support is provided.

ATTACHMENT A

REFERENCES

Notice of Nonrenewal

Yolo County 2020 Important Farmland Map

Project Application

Site Plan for Gibson Solar Farm

EXHIBIT A

COUNTY OF YOLO

Board of Supervisors



625 Court Street, Room 204 • Woodland, CA 95695
(530) 666-8195 • FAX (530) 666-8193
www.yolocounty.org

District 1, **Oscar Villegas**
District 2, **Lucas Frerichs**
District 3, **Mary Vixie Sandy**
District 4, **Jim Provenza**
District 5, **Angel Barajas**

County Administrator, **Gerardo Pinedo**
Sr. Deputy Clerk of the Board, **Julie Dachtler**
Email: clerkoftheboard@yolocounty.org

March 1, 2024

Payne Family Partnership, L.P.
Attn: Robert B Payne
PO Box 486
Knights Landing, CA 95645

SUBJECT: Williamson Act Non-Renewal

Dear Mr. Payne,

Enclosed please find the Recorded Copy of your Williamson Act Non-Renewal Agreement No. 71-206, Ag. Preserve No. 37.

Please feel free to contact our office if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Julie Dachtler, Senior Deputy Clerk
Yolo County Board of Supervisors

cc: Yolo County Community Services
Yolo County Assessor
California Department of Conservation



2024-0002720

Yolo County Clerk/Recorder
Jesse Salinas

Thursday, Feb 15, 2024 11:43:13 AM

RECORDING REQUESTED BY:

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Courier #61

WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO:

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Courier #61

Titles: 1	Pages: 6
Fees:	\$0.00
CA SB2 Fee:	\$0.00
Taxes:	\$0.00
Total:	\$0.00
YOLO COUNTY BOS	

***FROM THIS POINT AND ABOVE IS FOR RECORDERS**

Notice of Non-Renewal for California Land Conservation Act (Williamson Act) Contract

Agreement No. 71-206

Ag Preserve No. 37

APN: Portion of APN 049-100-035, approximately 100 acres of the southern portion of the parcel.

(Robert B. Payne)

**NOTICE OF NON-RENEWAL
FOR A CALIFORNIA LAND CONSERVATION ACT (WILLIAMSON ACT) CONTRACT**

The property owners of those properties listed below hereby give notice to the County of Yolo, pursuant to the California Government Code Section 51245, of the non-renewal of said contract.

Agricultural Preserve Number: 37 Contract Number: 71-206

Book Number: 968 Page Number: 488

Document Number: No document number

Assessor's Parcel Number (s): Portion of APN 049-100-035-000, approximately 100 acres of the southern portion of the parcel. See attached exhibit.

RECEIVED

AUG 22 2023

Owners of Contracted Land:

(Names, addresses and specific parcel number)

(Primary Contact Person, first on list and include phone number)

AWR Land Partnership, L.P., a California limited partnership, 13330 Road 102, Woodland, CA 95695

**CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS**

Payne Family Partnership, L.P., a California limited partnership, PO BOX 486, Knights Landing, CA 95645

Robert B Payne


(530) 662-2354

The undersigned property owner(s) is/are a party to a California Land Conservation Act Contract with the County of Yolo. Said Contract controls that property described by the above listed parcel numbers.

This Notice of Non-Renewal encompasses all contracted land: Yes No

Date Notice of Non-Renewal was received by Clerk of the Board of Supervisors: 8/22/2023

This Notice of Non-Renewal includes the signatures of all parties having an ownership interest in the affected property(s): Yes No


Signature

8/22/23
Date

Robert B. Payne
Printed Name


Signature

8/22/23
Date

Robert B. Payne
Printed Name

(Signatures for additional property owners may be included on separate sheets. You must include a separate notary page for each signature.)

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.


STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

) ss.
COUNTY OF Yolo)

On 8/22/2023 (DATE), before me, J. Nagel (NOTARY PUBLIC), personally appeared Robert Best Payne, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.



J. Nagel (Seal)
NOTARY'S SIGNATURE

AGENCY RESPONSE

NOTICE OF NON-RENEWAL
FOR A CALIFORNIA LAND CONSERVATION ACT (WILLIAMSON ACT) CONTRACT

Date Notice of Non-Renewal was Filed: August 22, 2023

Primary Contract Person/Owner: Robert B. Payne

Agricultural Preserve Number: 37 Contract Number: 71-206

Book Number: 968 Page Number: 488

Document Number: N/A

Assessor's Parcel Number(s): Portion of APN 049-00-035, approximately 100 acres of the southern portion of the parcel.

REVIEWING AGENCIES

Yolo County Assessor's Office

Jesse Salinas
Signature

JESSE SALINAS, ASSESSOR/CLERK-RECORDER/REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

Printed name and title

Comments: _____

Approved - APPROVED

Disapproved _____

2/15/2024

Date

Yolo County Community Services Department

Stephanie Cormier

Digitally signed by Stephanie Cormier
DN: cn=Stephanie Cormier,
email=stephanie.cormier@yolocounty.org, c=US
Date: 2023.08.25 16:33:02 -07'00'

Signature

Stephanie Cormier, Planning Manager

Printed name and title


Comments: Partial non-renewal of the contract is required in order for the Board to consider a partial cancellation of the contract for the proposed Gibson Solar Project, which will go before the Board on 9/12/23. If Board disapproves the project and/or cannot make the proper Findings for cancellation, the non-renewal will be rescinded.

Approved X


Disapproved _____

8/25/2023

Date



Exhibit



- Proposed Project Site
- Reduced Footprint Alternative
- Existing 21 kV Line
- Path 1
- Path 2

Figure D-15

Reduced Footprint Alternative
Project Vicinity

